ATTACHMENT 17

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DIVISION OF PENNSYLVANIA IN RE: PROCESSED EGG PRODUCTS ANTITRUST : MDL NO. 2002 LITIGATION : 08-md-02002 ******* THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL ACTIONS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Videotaped deposition STEVEN G. FEYMAN April 25, 2014 8:02 a.m. Taken at: Nestlé USA 30003 Bainbridge Road Solon, Ohio Donnalee Cotone, RMR, CRR NCRA Realtime Systems Administrator

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

```
2
                                                                                        INDEX OF EXHIBITS R DESCRIPTION
        APPEARANCES:
                                                                                 NUMBER
                                                                                                                  MARKED
                                                                               Exhibit 1 Defendant's Amended Notice .. 10 of Deposition
            On behalf of Defendants Michael Foods, Inc.
                                                                                Exhibit 2 Second Amended Complaint..... 62
            and Papetti's Hygrade Egg Products, Inc.:
                 Stinson Leonard Street LLP, by
                                                                                Exhibit 3 Memo to John Hill from Tom .. 74
                                                                                       Diercks, dated 9-14-06.
                 DOUGLAS R. BOETTGE, ESQ.
                                                                                       Bates Labeled MFI0109021
                 150 South Fifth Street, Suite 2300
                                                                               Exhibit 4 E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled . 76 MFI0032735-0032737
                 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
                                                                               Exhibit 5 Internal Michael Foods
Document, Bates Labeled
MFI0553015-0553016
                 612-335-1500
10
                 douglas.boettge@stinsonleonard.com
                                                                          11
                                                                                Exhibit 6 E-Mail from Pres Colwell to . 111
11
                                                                                      Steve Warner and Bill Bush w/Attachment, dated
                                                                          12
12
            On behalf of Nestlé USA and The
                                                                                       3-31-04, Bates Labeled
13
                                                                                       MFI0259556
7 Internal Michael Foods ..... 115
            Deponent:
                                                                                Exhibit 7
14
                 Jenner & Block, LLP, by
                                                                                      Document, Bates Labeled
MFI0102001-0102006
                 RICHARD P. CAMPBELL, ESQ.
                                                                                Exhibit 8
                                                                                      8 Speed Analysis, Bates
Labeled NES00004501
16
                 353 North Clark Street
                 Chicago, Illinois 60654-3456
                                                                                Exhibit 9 Fax to Terry Baker from ..... 166
18
                                                                                       Pres Colwell w/Attachments,
                 312-222-9350
                                                                                       dated 11-16-04, Bates
19
                                                                                       Labeled MEI0259539-10259546
                 rcampbell@jenner.com
                                                                                Exhibit 10 Egg Purchase 2000-2005,
Bates Labeled NES00004500
20
21
        ALSO PRESENT:
                                                                                Exhibit 11 E-Mail Chain w/Attachment, .. 225
22
                                                                                      Bates Labeled
NES00000394-00000396
                 Keith McGregor, The Videographer
23
                 Douglas B. Besman, Esq.
                                                                                Exhibit 12 E-Mail Chain w/Attachment, .. 228
24
                 Senior Counsel, Nestlé USA
                                                                                       Bates Labeled
25
                                                                                       MFI0259554-0259555
                                                                  3
                                                                                                                                              5
                    TRANSCRIPT INDEX
                                                                                         INDEX OF EXHIBITS
                                                                                 NUMBER
                                                                                               DESCRIPTION
                                                                                                                      MARKED
                                                                                Exhibit 13 E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled . 233
                                                                                       RAUPDATE0013073
                                           PAGE
                                                                                Exhibit 14 E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled . 235
RAUPDATE0013130
        APPEARANCES...... 2
                                                                                Exhibit 15 Nestle USA, Inc.'s
                                                                                       Objections and Amended
Answers to Defendants'
        INDEX OF EXHIBITS..... 4
        EXAMINATION OF STEVEN G. FEYMAN:
                                                                                 INDEX OF PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS MENTIONED NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED
10
        BY MR. BOETTGE...... 8
                                                                                 NUMBER
                                                                                         E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled . 123
11
                                                                                Exhibit 2 NES00000458-00000459
12
        REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE ...... 244
                                                                                          E-Mail from William Trask ... 105
13
                                                                                Exhibit 3 to Steven Feyman and Ed
                                                                                       Lewis, dated11-11-08
14
                                                                          15
                                                                                        w/Attachment, Bates Labeled
15
                                                                                        NES00000130
                                                                          16
16
                                                                                         E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled . 132
NES00000111-00000114
17
                                                                                Exhibit 4
                                                                          18
                                                                                          E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled . 142
                                                                                          NES00002013-00002015
                                                                                Trask
                                                                                Exhibit 6
                                                                                          E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled . 41
                                                                                 Trask
                                                                                          NES00002084-00002085
22
                                                                                          Quantity Contract: ...... 95
4615064924, Bates Labeled
                                                                                Exhibit 8
23
                                                                                       NES00004517-00004528
24
                                                                                Trask
                                                                                          Speed Analysis Bates
                                                                                Exhibit 9
                                                                                          Labeled NES0004501
```

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

	3 (1 ages 0 to 9)
6	8
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you
INDEX OF PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS MENTIONED	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court reporter please swear
NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED	the witness.
Trask E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled . 175	STEVEN G. FEYMAN, of lawful age, called
⁴ Exhibit 11 NES00000177-00000183	for examination, being by me first duly sworn,
5 Trask Two E-Mails, Bates Labeled 178 Exhibit 12 NEX0000076	as hereinafter certified, deposed and said as
6	⁷ follows:
Trask E-Mail from Ed Lewis to 179 7 Exhibit 13 Various Recipients	8 EXAMINATION OF STEVEN G. FEYMAN
w/Attachment, Bates Labeled BNES0000482-00000484	9 BY MR. BOETTGE:
⁹ Trask E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled . 185	Q. Good morning, wir. Feyman.
Exhibit 14 NES00000403-00000405	A. Good morning. Q. We met a few moments ago. My name
Trask E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled . 210 Exhibit 15 MFI01093250109326	is Doug Boettge. I represent the defendant,
¹² Trask E-Mail Chain w/Attachment, 213	Michael Foods, in this matter.
Exhibit 16 Bates Labeled NES00000394-00000396	Could you state your full name and
Exhibit 17 E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled . 216	¹⁶ address?
15	A. Steven G. Feyman. I reside at 29
Trask E-Mail Chain w/Attachment, 227 16 Exhibit 18 Bates Labeled	lbis, I-B-I-S, Drive, Akron, Ohio 44319.
NES00000072-00000075	Q. And, Mr. Feyman, do you understand
18	that today you'll be testifying both in your
19 20	individual capacity and on behalf of Nestlé? A. Yes, I do.
21 22	Q. And have you ever had your
23	deposition taken before?
24 25	25 A. Yes, I have.
	,
7	9
¹ THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the	Q. Can you let me know how many times?
² record. The time is 8:02. I represent	² A. One time.
³ Henderson Legal Services. My name is	³ Q. When was that?
Keith McGregor. I'm the videographer. The date	⁴ A. It has to be over 20 years ago.
is April 5th	⁵ Q. And what were the circumstances?
6 MR. CAMPBELL: No.	6 A. Pertained to a place of employment
THE VIDEOGRAPHER. THI SOITY. 25th.	that a number of employees took action because
 Pardon me 2014. This is the deposition of Mr. Steven Feyman. It is taking place at 30003 	 of a stock plan that was rescinded. Q. Let me briefly explain some of the
Bainbridge Road, Cleveland, Ohio. The Case	ground rules this morning since it's been a few
Number is MDL 2002, In Re: Processed Egg	years since your last deposition.
Products Antitrust Litigation in the United	12 I'll be asking a series of questions
States District Court for the Eastern District	today and the court reporter will be
¹⁴ of Pennsylvania.	transcribing your answers.
Donnalee Cotone is our reporter.	Do you understand?
Will counsel please state their	A. Yes, I do.
appearances. 18 MP ROETTGE: Doug Roottgo with the	Q. And you need to answer addibly
 MR. BOETTGE: Doug Boettge with the law firm of Stinson Leonard Street, representing 	because the court reporter can't take head shakes down on the record.
the defendant, Michael Foods.	20 A. Understand.
MR. CAMPBELL: Richard Campbell of	Q. Also, it's important that you
Jenner & Block, LLP, representing Nestlé USA as	understand the questions that I'm asking, so if
well as the deponent, Mr. Feyman.	at any time today you don't understand one of my
MR. BESMAN: And I'm Douglas Besman.	questions, I want you to stop me, ask me to
l'm house counsel for Nestlé USA.	clarify and I'd be happy to ask the question

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

	<u> </u>
10	12
¹ slightly different, in a way that you can	hours maybe, and this morning about a half an
² understand.	hour.
Is that fair?	³ Q. Did you speak to anyone in
⁴ A. I shall, yes.	preparation for your deposition today?
⁵ Q. And if you do answer one of my	⁵ A. No, I did not.
questions, I'll assume that you did understand	⁶ Q. When you noted you reviewed
⁷ the question.	materials submitted as part of the request, were
Is that fair as well?	8 these documents that Nestlé had provided to
⁹ A. Yes, it is.	⁹ defendants in the litigation?
Q. Any reason that you can't give full	A. I believe so, yes.
and complete testimony today?	Q. Do you recall approximately how many
12 A. There is none.	documents you reviewed?
13	A. Maybe 20 pages of materials.
(Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 1,	Q. And when did you reviews those
Defendant's Amended Notice of	15 documents?
Deposition, was marked for purposes	¹⁶ A. Late yesterday and again this
of identification.)	¹⁷ morning.
18	¹⁸ Q. Did you do anything else to prepare
¹⁹ Q. Mr. Feyman, I'm showing you what	¹⁹ for the deposition today?
²⁰ we've marked as Feyman Exhibit 1.	A. I did not.
Have you seen this document before?	Q. Could you briefly give me your
A. Yes, I have.	educational background?
Q. You'll see that there is well,	A. I have an undergraduate degree in
l'II identify it for the record. This is	psychology, and I have a Master's degree in
Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition of	²⁵ industrial psychology.
11	13
¹ Plaintiff Nestlé Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6).	¹ Q. When did you obtain those degrees?
You'll see that there is an exhibit	² A. I graduated undergraduate 1973 and
with a list of topics. It begins on page 4.	Master's degree in '74.
⁴ It's page 4 of the exhibit.	4 Q. And what did you do after obtaining
5 A. Yes, I have it.	5 your Master's degree?
⁶ Q. Do you see that?	⁶ A. Went to work for Wonder Bread &
⁷ A. Yes, I do.	7 Hostess Cake, a division of ITT, International
8 Q. And you understand that you are the	8 Telephone Telegraph.
9 person that Nestlé has identified to testify on	⁹ Q. And what did you do there?
behalf of Nestlé as to these topics?	A. I was a started as an assistant
¹¹ A. I do.	¹¹ personnel manager.
Q. What did you do to prepare for the	Q. How long were you there?
deposition today, Mr. Feyman?	A. I was there for 10 years.
A. I met with counsel, I reviewed the	Q. Where did you go after Hostess?
material that's in front of me here, and I	A. From Hostess I went to work for a
reviewed some of the materials that we submitted	privately held restaurant chain called Papa
as part of the request for this case.	Gillo's Of Afficiation.
Q. The material in front of you, are	Q. What did you do for them:
you referring to the notice of deposition?	A. I started in the personner function
A. That is correct. Yes.	and transitioned to the purchasing function.
Q. When did you meet with counsel?	Q. And when did that transition occur:
A. I did that yesterday, as well as	A. About two years into my stay with them.
this morning.	uiciii.
Q. How long did you spend with counsel?	²⁴ Q. And how long were you with Papa ²⁵ Gino's?
A. Yesterday approximately I'd say two	GIIIU 5:

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

	<u> </u>
14	16
¹ A. I believe a total of nine years.	vegetables, fresh vegetables, dairy products.
² Q. And what types of items did you	² Q. Did you purchase eggs?
³ purchase for Papa Gino's?	³ A. Yes, we did.
⁴ A. I purchased tomato paste for pizza,	Q. Do you recall who you purchased eggs
5 mozzarella cheese, fresh vegetables, flour, some	5 from?
6 pasta items.	6 A. I do not.
⁷ Q. What did you do next?	 Q. Were you responsible at Friendly for
A. Fapa Gillo's of Afficia was sold and	the purchase of eggs?
rient to join the min of Boston Chicken.	A. The person that purchased them
Q. That would have been approximately	reported to me, so ditimately, yes, I was
¹¹ 1993?	11 responsible.
A. Yeah. That would be close enough,	Q. And I take it you started at Nestlé
¹³ yeah.	¹³ in 2008?
Q. And what did you do for Boston	¹⁴ A. That is correct.
15 Chicken?	¹⁵ Q. What was your position when you
¹⁶ A. I was in charge of their purchasing	16 started?
17 department.	A. I started as a group manager of
Q. And in that role, what were your	ingredients, raw.
responsibilities?	¹⁹ Q. And how long did you hold that
A. Primarily purchase of poultry, along	20 position?
with some starches and fresh vegetables.	A. I continue to hold that position
²² Q. Any eggs?	22 presently.
23 A. No.	Q. And what are the ingredients within
Q. How long were you in that position?	the group manager ingredients title?
II	the group manager ingredients title:
A. I was there only one year.	A. I am responsible for the proteins,
15	17
¹ Q. What next?	which include the purchase of beef, pork, veal,
² A. That firm was sold and I went with	chicken, turkey, shellfish, finfish, frozen
³ the president of that organization on a	vegetables, frozen potatoes and eggs.
4 consulting assignment in Latrobe, Pennsylvania	4 Q. Who do you report to?
5 for a firm called Italian Oven and spent about	⁵ A. Mr. Michael Whitcombe,
6 nine months there setting up a purchasing	6 W-H-I-T-C-O-M-B-E.
department for them.	Q. What's his title?
⁸ Q. And after that?	8 A. Head of purchasing ingredients.
⁹ A. I became a meat buyer for a firm	⁹ Q. And who does Mr. Whitcombe report
entitled Jack Pack Foods in Manchester, New	10 to?
Hampshire, and I stayed there until 1995.	A. Mr. Kevin Petrie, P-E-T-R-I-E.
¹² Q. What then?	Q. What's his title?
A. I went to work for Friendly Ice	A. He is chief purchasing officer for
Cream Corporation, and I was there for 13 years.	NUSA, I believe.
	NOOA, I believe.
Thenaly ice oream.	Q. Of what:
Q. That would take us through 2000:	A. OTROSA.
A. 2000, that 5 correct.	Q. Alid is that Nestie USA!
Q. And what did you do at Friendly Ice	A. Nestlé USA.
19 Cream?	And I must say there's a number of
A. I started as a senior buyer and	terms. He could be chief purchasing officer.
progressed to vice president of procurement and	NAP was his North American Purchasing. Don't
an officer of the company.	hold me to those.
Q. What types of items did you purchase	²³ Q. There was an individual identified
on behalf of Friendly?	in yesterday's deposition, Mr. Steve Warner.
A. We bought meats, poultry, frozen	ls he still with Nestlé?

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

	<u> </u>
18	20
¹ A. Yes, he is.	¹ miscellaneous buyer of vegetables.
² Q. And what's his role?	² Q. Anyone else when you started as
3 A. Mr. Warner is head of purchasing,	group purchasing manager that reported to you
business partners.	who was involved in the purchase of eggs?
5 Q. So is he on the same level of	5 A. No, sir.
6 Mr. Whitcombe	⁶ Q. And from 2008 to present, could you
7 A. That is correct.	identify those individuals who reported to you
8 Q one being ingredients and one	8 who did have a responsibility that included
9 being business partners?	9 eggs?
¹⁰ A. Yes.	A. Subsequent to Mr. Trask, a Mr. Mark
11 Q. What's the distinction?	¹¹ Majoras, M-A-J-O-R-A-S. Keith Reardon,
A. Mr. Whitcombe is responsible for the	¹² R-E-A-R-D-O-N.
teams under him that do strategic purchasing.	IX-L-A-IX-D-O-IN.
	Q. Did Wil. Realdon replace Wil. Wajoras?
imi. Warrier is responsible for the teams who are	A. Tes, he did, for the responsibility
the conduit between procurement and the	or eggs, but not entirely.
businesses that we purchase for.	Q. Olideistood.
Q. Are there other group managers that	And what were the dates that
are responsible for eggs office than yourself:	wii. Wajoras was involved in eggs:
A. No, there are not.	A. Thi going to say 2000 to a short
I would like to just add to that	period of 2003.
liat we did a realignment of responsibilities,	Q. Okay. What about Mr. Realdon?
and approximately times months ago eggs were	A. Tillay liave illissed solliebody. Twalit
transitioned to another group. So there is a	to tillik about tilese dates.
group manager now responsible for eggs for the	So Majoris, Trask, D'Angelo, and now
last three months.	transferred over to Kulkarni, I believe.
19	21
Q. And who is that?	Q. Okay. 30 Majoris predated
A. Hashant, I - N-A-0-11-A-N-1.	Dili Hask!
Kuikailii, K-O-E-K-A-K-I [Sic].	A. Teall, I believe 50.
Q. And that's another group manager	Q. And D'Angelo followed:
responsible for eggs:	A. Tean. This a little roggy on now the
6 A. That's correct.	dating there worked, but I believe that s
Q. Are you no longer responsible for	Correct. Majoris, Trask, D'Arigelo, Kulkariii.
eggs:	Q. Allyone else report to you!
9 A. I am no longer responsible.	⁹ A. No. And you have Reardon in there,
Q. What was the reason for that	too, right?
11 restructure?	Q. What about Ed Lewis?
A. Cross cross-pollination and	A. Ed Lewis was the individual who I
training of different groups.	was hired to replace. He was retiring, so he
Q. So let's go back when you started at	was a group manager.
Nestlé, group purchasing manager.	Q. Was there a time when you were both
Who reported to you?	at the company?
A. Well, I started as a group manager.	A. That's correct.
¹⁸ Q. I apologize. So when you started as	¹⁸ Q. And did you have dual roles?
group purchasing manager, who reported to	¹⁹ A. Yeah. We both held the same title.
²⁰ you?	He was on more or less special projects. I was
²¹ A. I had William Trask who was a	on group manager responsibilities.
sourcing specialist, Ken Kostal, K-O-S-T-A-L,	²² Q. And when did Mr. Lewis leave?
²³ purchasing manager, poultry; Dale Bohman,	A. December of 2008, I think he
II or	²⁴ retired.
B-O-H-M-A-N, purchasing manager, meat, pork and	retired.
B-O-H-M-A-N, purchasing manager, meat, pork and veal; and Pat Zappone, Z-A-P-P-O-N-E. Pat was a	Q. Do you know when Mr. Lewis started

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

22 24 at Nestlé? A. Nestlé Business Services was an arm A. I do not. of the Nestlé organization whose charter was to Q. Do you know anyone at the company consolidate purchases throughout the systems of who has had any role in eggs that's still at the Nestlé USA in order to maintain standards of company that predated your arrival? quality and food safety and leverage purchasing A. Define "role." opportunities where strategically feasible. Q. Involved in any aspect of the Q. What do you mean by leverage purchase of eggs. purchase opportunities when feasible? A. No. I think I've covered everybody. A. Many of the plants utilize the same 10 10 materials for their recipes. It was our role Q. How about John Hill? 11 11 to -- and is our role to consolidate those A. John Hill was a head of purchasing 12 12 for the Dreyer's Ice Cream. purchases. 13 13 Q. Does Dreyer's Ice Cream purchase Q. Why is that a benefit to Nestlé? 14 14 A. One is it controls who we are eggs? 15 15 A. They do not. We -- Nestlé Business purchasing from to be sure that only approved 16 suppliers are utilized for the safety of the Services purchased eggs for Dreyer's Ice Cream. 17 Q. Say that again. consumer and the quality of our materials. 18 18 A. Nestlé Business Services, NBS, which Also, by buying in larger quantities 19 19 is now NAP, North American Procurement, we're under one contract, we're in a position to more 20 20 the central purchasing arm and we purchase successfully negotiate lower prices. 21 21 strategically the eggs for Dreyer's. Q. And has that occurred, that you've 22 22 Q. Was Mr. Hill involved in decisions been able to negotiate lower prices because of 23 as to which vendor would supply the eggs? 23 volume? 24 A. No, he didn't -- was not involved in 24 A. That is correct. 25 25 which vendor. Mr. Hill, as we do with all Q. Is that true also in the context of 23 25 businesses, was the person who we reviewed our eggs? strategy with and the various options associated A. In the context of all the things we with the purchase of eggs. purchase. Q. So he would be familiar with the Q. Since 2008 forward, what portion of reasoning why one egg vendor would be utilized your time has consisted of purchasing eggs as versus another vendor, correct? distinguished from the other items that you're A. Not so much. It was really about responsible for? cost. A. Probably 10 percent or less. Q. Did Mr. Hill at Dreyer's have any Q. Has that changed since you've been 10 10 preferences for a particular type of egg that at Nestlé? 11 11 A. Only when they took away the egg was unique or different than other parts of 12 12 Nestlé? responsibility, it became zero. 13 A. Mr. Hill would not have a 13 Q. So when I use the term "Nestlé 14 14 preference. Business Services," I'll be also referring to 15 15 Q. You mentioned the names Nestlé North American Procurement, as I understand the 16 16 functionality hasn't changed. Business Services and -- was it North American 17 17 Procurement? A. I understand. 18 A. North American Procurement, correct. Q. So what types of items does Nestlé 19 19 Business Services purchase for Nestlé? Q. When did that change occur? 20 20 A. And that's about one year ago we A. Anything that can be strategically 21 changed the name of the organization. procured. So we're not -- we're talking about 22 22 Q. Any change in functionality? items that are purchased by more than one 23 23 A. No change in functionality. location in a relatively substantial amount, 24 24 Q. Could you describe Nestlé Business which can be everything from travel services to 25 Services? capital equipment, office supplies, health and

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

28 26 life and medical benefits, along with all the A. My understanding, as I've seen the raw materials, functional ingredients. categories of them, they're unique, they're Q. You mentioned it's an arm of Nestlé. different than what I'm buying, they're very What do you mean by that? small quantities, and they're best purchased A. I'm not intimate with the entire locally by that group, so they wouldn't be considered strategic. reporting relationship. Nestlé Business Q. What makes you say they're unique? Services did not report into one specific business. We were connected to NUSA by a way A. I didn't recognize some of the that I'm not familiar with. descriptions. I've not delved into it deeply, 10 10 Q. Who do you understand your employer but I think there's -- some requirements are 11 11 to be? different than what I have. 12 12 A. Nestlé USA. It's what's on my Q. What do you mean by "requirements"? 13 13 check. A. There may be some change in the way 14 14 Q. So when you mentioned that Nestlé the component comes to that factory specifically 15 15 Business Services purchases on behalf of Nestlé, for what the use is versus what I buy. 16 does that include all corporate affiliates of Q. Do you have a sense of the volume of 17 17 Nestlé USA? eggs that are purchased related to confection? 18 A. It does not. A. I saw the numbers once in my career 19 Q. What would be an example of here and it's extremely low. It doesn't fit 20 20 affiliates of Nestlé USA that NBS does not into anywhere in the other \$24 million worth of 21 21 purchase for? purchases I do or did. 22 A. I think there may be some health 22 Q. And the 24 million, is that of eggs? 23 materials that NBS is not purchasing. Other 23 A. That was of eggs. 24 than that, we have -- we touch all the other 24 Q. Would you say less than a million? 25 divisions A. Oh, yeah, it was less than a million 27 29 Q. And in connection with eggs, is that dollars. And that's the best of my the case? recollection. A. That's correct. Q. Was there a specific location that those eggs were delivered to for confection? And the exception on eggs would be Purina. I do not procure for them. A. I do not know where they went to. Q. And is that solely related to the Q. Do you know if those were custom inedible egg? created eggs for confection? A. That's correct. A. They could have very well been. And when you say "confection," mark And confections buys a small amount 10 10 of eggs that I don't handle because they're not that confection/nutrition. It's that same 11 11 strategic for us. They're unique to them. group. It could have been going to either of 12 Q. Who's responsible for those? them. 13 13 A. I do not know. Q. And what would nutrition use for 14 14 Q. Do you know what egg products those eggs? 15 15 are? A. They -- previously we owned a 16 company called Power Bar. I believe that's been A. I don't know that either. 17 17 Q. Do you know who the vendor is for spun off recently. And they could have been 18 18 using them in that Power Bar formulation. those eggs? 19 A. I do not. Q. And confection would just generally 20 20 Q. Who at Nestlé is involved with the be candy? 21 purchase of those eggs? A. Yeah, it could be sweets and candy, 22 A. I would say the group manager, you're correct. 23 23 Carol Klein. Q. What sweets and candy uses eggs? 24 24 Q. And why, again, are those A. I don't know. I've never worked for confection-related eggs outside of your group? that division. I've never seen the formulas.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

30 32 Q. Are all the egg buyers in NBS eggs? located in Solon? A. I've never seen a Kellogg A. Not presently. The buyer now is specification. I can't comment on that. located in Glendale, California. Q. Is it possible? MR. CAMPBELL: Objection as to form. Q. This is as of three months ago? A. That's correct. You may answer if I object, yeah. Q. But before then, were all egg buyers THE WITNESS: I can? located here? MR. CAMPBELL: You can. A. With the exception of nutrition and THE WITNESS: Okay. Anything is 10 10 confections, yes. possible. 11 11 Q. And where was nutrition and BY MR. BOETTGE: 12 12 Q. Who was involved at Nestlé in confection located? 13 13 A. I don't know where those buyers preparing the technical specifications? 14 14 were. It's a virtual organization, so people A. It would be a joint activity of the 15 15 don't necessarily work out of their office. technical applications group known as TAG, 16 16 T-A-G, and the specification writing group, or Q. I want to try to understand how NBS 17 17 would work with the various divisions at Nestlé specification authors. 18 for the purchase of eggs. Q. And that's true in connection with 19 19 Would they tell you what eggs they eggs? 20 20 needed? A. That is correct. 21 21 A. Yes, they would. Q. Is the technical applications group 22 22 Q. They would prepare the spec? within NBS? 23 A. Yes, they would. 23 No, it is not. Α. 24 Q. And the spec can become fairly 24 What group are they in? 25 technical, can it not? A. They are a part of the businesses 31 33 A. It is very much so. that they develop for. And if I might, the technical Q. What about the specification writing complexity is hinged upon whether it is a group, who are they with? proprietary product for us versus a commodity A. The specification writing group is a joint service, not a part of NBS, but they Q. Could you distinguish, tell me what service all the businesses. you mean by the differences between --Q. So let's now talk briefly about the A. A commodity item would be something businesses at Nestlé that purchase eggs. indistinguishable in nature that everybody buys, Can you list those for me? 10 10 A. Nestlé Prepared Foods, which is the everybody uses and it's only formulated one way. 11 11 Proprietary or custom is something frozen meals. There is eggs purchased for the 12 12 that we designate certain changes to be made of Hot Pockets business, Toll House Cookie, 13 13 value-added processing or other ingredients to Buitoni, the ice cream, Dreyer's. 14 14 be included. Q. Häagen-Dazs as well? 15 15 Q. And were there examples of that in A. That's -- Häagen-Dazs, I believe, is 16 connection with egg purchases? a licensed item that we make, so I buy the eggs. 17 17 A. There were not for NBS or NAP. I don't know what they go into necessarily by 18 18 Q. All eggs that Nestlé purchased were brand. I just know they go to the ice cream 19 commodity eggs? division. A. That's correct. Q. Is Häagen-Dazs in the ice cream Q. Apart from your comment that they're division? commodity eggs, is it fair to assume that the A. Yes. It's manufactured by them. 23 23 technical specification that Nestlé had for eggs What else? 24 24 may have varied from, as an example, the A. Joseph's Pasta. They are no longer a part of our business. They've been sold technical specification Kellogg may have for

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

34 36 recently. I think that's it. I think I've individual covered the businesses. Does that suggest that the various Q. Are you familiar with the term divisions we talked about are responsible for "shelf life"? approving a vendor or --A. Yes, I am. A. Not the divisions. So that we have Q. And is that something that someone a central audit group who goes and inspects the from the division would advise NBS they would factory that we seek to get approved and they need for their egg? would send their approval or rejection. Same A. That's correct. And that would thing with the TAG group, analyzing the 10 10 consistency of the materials. But it's not by come -- be on the specification. 11 11 Q. Do the folks in the technical business; it's by function. 12 12 applications group have technical training? Q. When you say "function," what do you 13 13 A. I don't -- I've never seen their mean? 14 resumés or their -- I can't answer 14 A. Quality, safety, food safety, vendor 15 15 affirmatively, no. improvement team, central audit, financial for 16 16 financial status and stability. Q. You don't know one way or the other? 17 17 I do not know. Q. What's the vendor improvement team? 18 18 Q. How does NBS know which vendors to A. We have learned over time some best 19 buy egg from? practices that are good for Nestlé and good for 20 20 A. We utilize a bidding process that is the industry, and we will share those best 21 21 extended to only approved vendors. On occasion practices with our suppliers. 22 22 we will allow unapproved vendors to participate, Q. Okay. Can you give me examples in 23 but they know full well going in that they will 23 the context of eggs where that's occurred? 24 24 not be given any volume until such time as A. I don't have any regarding eggs that 25 25 they're approved. I'm aware of. 35 37 Q. Mr. Feyman, I'm going to be showing Q. How does an egg vendor become approved? you from time to time today documents that we A. There's an on-boarding process marked yesterday as deposition exhibits in the through the years. It's changed and evolved, deposition of William Trask, so I'll be but the on-boarding process is about securing referring to the exhibit number that we used in certificates of insurance, understanding their that deposition. financial wherewithal. Are they properly A. I understand. capitalized? Does their physical plant meet Q. The first document I'll show you 9 Nestlé's standards? Do their materials pass which fits that description is what we 10 10 microbiological examination? Does their factory identified as Trask Exhibit 6. 11 11 have a HAP ASA Plan, has an analysis and Do you recognize this document? 12 12 critical control points? Do they have a proper A. Yes. I do. 13 13 hold and recall process in place, and can they MR. CAMPBELL: I'm sorry, Doug, what 14 meet our specification for the material 14 was it? 15 15 consistently? BY MR. BOETTGE: 16 16 Q. Who at Nestlé is responsible for Q. And what is this document? 17 17 determining whether a vendor is approved or not MR. CAMPBELL: What was it? 18 18 MR. BOETTGE: This is Trask approved? 19 19 A. It's a result of all these Exhibit 6. 20 20 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. departments sending in and approving their 21 individual pieces, and then procurement BY MR. BOETTGE: 22 excellence group consolidates it and shows them Q. And what does this document purport 23 23 on a spreadsheet as being an approved vendor or to be? 24 24 not approved vendor. Α. A supplier strategy of some type. Q. You mentioned departments sending in Q. Who prepared it?

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

38 40 A. I do not know. "preferred vendor list"? Q. Is this the type of document that's A. I'm familiar with preferred vendor. I'm not familiar with preferred vendor list. I prepared on a regular basis? A. I've never seen one of these before have not seen one before. and we do not and have not prepared them since Q. What is a preferred vendor? A. It would be a term used to describe during my tenure. Q. When you say you've never seen one a long-term vendor who's served us well who's of these before -priced competitively that we may feel A. Before today. comfortable doing more business or recommending 10 10 to use in other areas. Q. But you recognize the document? 11 11 A. I recognize it because I saw it this Q. Is there any other documents at 12 12 morning. Nestlé that identify those vendors that are 13 13 Q. But before this morning, you never preferred vendors versus those that are not? 14 14 A. I do not know. I have not seen one. saw it? 15 15 Q. How does Nestlé keep track who's the A. Never saw it, sir. 16 16 Q. I'd focus your attention on the preferred vendor or not? 17 column identified as Strategy. And you see A. I think it's anecdotal at best from 18 there are a list of descriptions? the specific subject matter, experts who are the 19 19 A. Yes, I do. buyers. 20 20 Q. Are you familiar with those Q. And who would those experts be for 21 21 descriptions: Core, T3, T2? eggs? 22 22 A. For eggs, it would have been all A. I do not know any of those. I have 23 never seen those before this morning, and I do 23 those people responsible we listed earlier. 24 not use them in my work today. 24 Q. What are the factors that Nestlé 25 25 Q. I want to turn your attention to the considers or analyzes when it purchases or 41 fourth page, and there's a description of what a solicits bids for eggs? core supplier is. A. Well, first of all, they must be an approved vendor. They must be able to meet the Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. specifications. They must be a factory that's Q. And there's identification that in good standing, if they're USDA inspected. "These suppliers are those who support They must manufacture the products in food safe Dreyer's." and employee safe environments, and they must be competitively priced to allow us to compete in Do you see that? A. Okay. (Reading out of the hearing the marketplace. 10 of the reporter.) I do see that, yes. Q. Would you agree that quality, the 11 Q. Does this suggest to you that this quality of eggs, is particularly important for a 12 12 is a document that's specific to Dreyer's food manufacturer? 13 13 A. It's extremely important. 14 14 A. I -- I do not know. Q. I want to show you what's been 15 15 Q. Yes. Are you aware of the various previously marked as Trask Exhibit 7. This is 16 16 an e-mail; the top e-mail is from Ned Rokke to divisions characterizing the vendors that supply 17 17 yourself and Bill Trask. their eggs? 18 18 A. No, I am not. Do you see that? 19 A. Yes, I see that. Q. You'll see at the bottom of the document that identifies a name of the document Q. I turn your attention to the second and the Bates number. There's a reference to page of the e-mail. There's a comment that "egg 22 this being a preferred vendor list, 8-27-2007. products have a high potential for 23 23 Do you see that? microbiological contamination." 24 24 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. A. Yes, I do. Top -- the first Q. Are you familiar with the term

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

42 44 sentence you're speaking of? A. Yes, it is. Q. Yeah. Q. And why is that? A. Yes. A. We only have a certain amount of Q. And do you agree with that? docks to receive from. If all the trucks show A. Yes, I do. up at one time, we'd be able unable to get them Q. And there's a reference to unloaded in an organized manner to get them microstandards. received. What are microstandards? Q. Are you aware of any factors in A. They are the counts or presence of connection with eggs that differentiate a 10 10 producer's egg products from another producer's? certain bacteria or pathogens which we establish 11 11 A. I am not aware of any factors on the limits on our specification for the products we 12 12 egg specification. I consider them a commodity. buy. 13 13 Q. And who establishes those limits? Q. How about the taste or texture of 14 Who --14 eggs? Is that any consideration that Nestlé has 15 15 A. The technical applications group in used in choosing one egg supplier versus 16 16 conjunction with the quality management team. another? Q. And there's a comment about Nestlé's A. Only in one case, which is where the 18 18 exacting microstandards. eggs are purchased fully cooked for inclusion in What does "exacting standard" mean? our meal assembly, and that's the scrambled egg 20 20 A. In the way I -- I don't know 21 21 how -- what was meant by the person who wrote Q. And who makes that product? 22 this, but in today's world, we are unwavering. 22 A. It's been purchased from Cargill 23 It must meet the standard or exceed the 23 Kitchens for a number of years. 24 24 standard, and it cannot be received or used if Q. Anyone else? 25 25 it does not, and we give them specific numbers A. Other people make it, yes. 43 45 that must be met. Q. Has Nestlé purchased that product Q. Would you agree that Nestlé's from anyone other than Cargill? A. We have not. We've sampled it from standards are such that not every manufacture others but are not satisfied with the material. can meet them? Q. Does Nestlé market the products it A. Yes, I agree with that statement. Q. Have there been instances when makes using egg products as being superior in Nestlé has determined that an egg producer does quality? not meet Nestlé's exacting standards? A. I don't believe so. A. I'm not aware of any egg producer Q. Nestlé doesn't attempt to 10 being excluded. distinguish to a consumer, as an example, that 11 11 Q. What factors does Nestlé consider its Dreyer's Ice Cream is of a higher quality 12 12 that you haven't touched on already when than other ice cream manufactured by different 13 13 choosing egg product suppliers? 14 14 A. Well, I think we've really covered A. I'm not intimate enough with the 15 15 the major ones. Dreyer's marketing strategy. I have never given 16 16 Q. How about reliability, is that them any information to support something being 17 17 better than something else, so I don't -- I important? 18 18 A. Service is tracked, yes. can't answer that. 19 Q. What do you mean by "service is Q. Back to Trask Exhibit 7 that's in 20 20 tracked"? front of you, also on the top of the second page, there's a comment, "Secondly, egg proteins A. The time of arrival, is it the 22 quantity we ordered, does it arrive within a can vary greatly in" -- I'm going to try to 23 23 certain window of time that it makes its pronounce this -- "organoleptic quality. Poor 24 receiving appointment for. ones can be sulfurous." Q. Is that important to Nestlé? What does that mean?

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

46 48 A. I do not know. determine what caused the shortcoming of the Q. Are you aware of egg proteins quality of the offering. becoming sulfurous? Q. Can you think of any examples where A. I am not. that's occurred? Q. There's a comment on the first page A. Oh, it happens all the time. Everything is tested for texture and taste, and about being a certified supplier status. This is in the e-mail from Betsy Jones. it takes place on proteins, tough chicken, mushy A. About where on it? Q. Sure. Q. And that's true with respect to eggs 10 10 This is in the third sentence of the as well, correct? 11 11 e-mail from Miss Jones to Mr. Hahn and A. I can't say yes to that with the 12 12 Mr. Rokke. exception of the IQF, scrambled eggs. These are 13 13 A. That would be in reference to being a component that are mixed in. I'm not 14 14 an approved vendor. technically astute enough to know what they 15 15 Q. And is that your understanding, could or could not do to ruin the meal, but --16 16 what's listed here, that a certified supplier Q. Is the spectrum of egg products that 17 17 means that Nestlé tests their incoming a vendor is able to sell also important to 18 ingredients on an audit basis? Nestlé? 19 A. I'm going to say conditionally yes, A. Yes, it is. 20 20 because this correspondence was written Q. How so? 21 21 October 24th, 2008. I started August 28th, I A. If a vendor were to present itself 22 think, of 2008. And the terms have changed over 22 as an approved vendor, a low-cost producer or a 23 the years. I believe certified supplier is now 23 competitive cost-producer, it's always 24 an approved -- on the approved vendor list, a 24 advantageous to put as much weight on the 25 vendor approval list. trucks. So if I can buy three components, get 47 So I'm not sure what some of these 40,000 pounds on a truck, I'm going to have a things might have meant back then. I was too lower freight rate than if I'm only buying one component from them and the truck has only got 20,000 pounds on it. The rest of it is empty. Q. Would you agree that if a vendor had issues with quality, they could fall off the I'm paying for the truck, and the disbursement approved vendor list? of those freight costs become more expensable to 20,000 pounds than 40. A. That is correct. Q. And then there's a comment at the Q. Any other reason that's important, that a particular vendor may sell different egg top from Mr. Rokke, "Bill/Steve, note comments 10 10 from R&D relative to our conversation." products? 11 11 Do you see that? A. It also puts us in an ensure supply 12 A. Yes. I do. position that's favorable to our strategies. 13 13 Q. What do you mean by that? Q. And do you recall the conversation? 14 14 A. The more approved vendors you have 15 15 Q. Is this an example of an instance in supplying the myriad of items, the better your 16 16 which R&D would be germane to purchasing opportunity should a plant burn down, get 17 17 flooded, blow up, labor action, governmental decisions at Nestlé? 18 18 intervention. It gives me some options. A. It could be, yes. Q. Is it also a consideration for Q. What are the circumstances in which egg purchasing at Nestlé becomes involved with Nestlé the number and location of plants that an 21 R&D? egg producer may have? 22 A. If the materials received and A. Location, yes. 23 utilized in manufacturing did not yield the Q. Why is that important? 24 24 A. Lower freight if it's closer to our appropriate taste, check, texture, color,

flavor, an investigation would be conducted to

production facility where we receive the goods.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

50 52 Q. Any other reasons? disbursed it to the employees for research. A. Well, with the number, if a producer Q. What did you tell them? of any materials for Nestlé has more than one A. Supply any and all documents location manufacturing the same thing, that's pertaining to this request. Do not destroy part of an ensure supply strategy. If one of anything. Do not erase anything. And do it by their plants goes down, I can buy it from the timeline that they were requested to do it. another approved company that knows how to work Q. What was the team that you had with us and give us our goods. provided that direction? Q. When you say "knows how to work with A. At the time -- let's see. This was 10 10 us," what do you mean by that? about two years ago that I think this thing 11 11 A. Well, understands our standards of started and requests may have come in. So I'd 12 operation, our requirements for quality, say at that time it was Keith Reardon and 13 13 microbiological, the standards for the factory, Pauline Morawski, M-O-R-A-W-S-K-I. 14 14 Q. Anyone else? the factory has been inspected. And since every 15 15 piece of equipment and every component up to the A. I think that was it. There may have 16 pH of water affects things that are been some requests by them to other people to 17 manufactured. Changing location to reach write some queries to get data, and I think that 18 18 acquires sometimes adjustments of formulation of we had to pull some materials from some off-site 19 what people may provide to me. storage, maybe Iron Mountain, so other people 20 20 So if they know what the standard were involved in those requests, but the key 21 21 is, then they can produce it in another factory gatherers were those two people. 22 under slightly different circumstances and still 22 Q. And can you describe again Keith's 23 meet my standards. 23 role? 24 Q. Are you familiar with a document 24 A. Keith was a sourcing specialist 25 prepared by Nestlé that evaluates vendors on a supporting the protein buyers. 51 53 quadrant basis? Q. Did he replace Mr. Trask? A. No, you can't -- no. We changed our A. I know of one that I'm intimate with, yes. structure, so Trask was in a different sourcing Q. Could you describe that? specialist role. That role doesn't exist, as A. I believe you're talking about a Mr. Trask held it, anymore. But he did replace document that feeds off of our seven step Trask from a standpoint of a developmental strategic strategy process where we rank all of position where we give people a category to our vendors on numerous criteria that then feed manage. into a spreadsheet that becomes a bubble chart In the case of Trask, he was given 10 consisting of four quadrants and assigns a it because of an illness of an employee, to 11 status to each vendor based on the quadrant they manage eggs. In the case of Reardon, he was 12 12 given it to see how he would do as a strategic 13 13 Q. Do you know if any of those buyer. 14 14 documents were produced in this litigation? Q. When did Mr. Reardon start at 15 15 A. I do not. I don't recall seeing any Nestlé? 16 16 A. At Nestlé, I do not know. He was or supplying any. 17 17 Q. Were you involved in gathering with the firm about five years, I believe. 18 18 documents? Q. When did he start as a sourcing 19 19 specialist and have a role with eggs? A. Yes, I was. 20 Q. What steps did you take to gather 20 A. I cannot remember the exact time. Would it have been after Trask? 22 A. I utilized my team who had some Yes, it was absolutely after Trask. 23 23 involvement at one time or another that was Q. So it would have been sometime in 24 24 still with me to comply with the requests that 2010 or so?

were sent over. So I was the lead and I

A. Yeah. It would have to be in that

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

	` <u>`</u>
54	56
¹ area.	¹ Q. What was her role with eggs?
Q. What about Pauline Morawski?	A. She was the egg buyer for about two
³ A. Morawski.	³ years.
⁴ Q. Morawski.	⁴ Q. Who did she replace?
5 A. Pauline has been an administrative	⁵ A. She replaced Reardon.
⁶ support person for, I think eight years now	⁶ Q. Where did Reardon go?
within the procurement function.	7 A. Reardon left the firm.
8 Q. What's an administrative support	8 Q. You mentioned there are four
9 person?	⁹ assigned strategic areas on the bubble chart?
A. They assist in any and all needs of	A. Yeah. There's four quadrants.
the buyers, from arranging calls to assisting	Q. Do you know what those quadrants
with correspondence to following up on any and	¹² are?
all outstanding matters that they're asked to do	A. One of the names have changed a
so. Calculating prices, issuing purchase	couple of times, so I could be incorrect. I'm
15 orders.	going to say critical, bottleneck, strategic and
¹⁶ Q. The document that you mentioned	¹⁶ maybe routine.
¹⁷ that	Q. And you would rank a vendor related
A. Bubble chart.	to each of those categories?
Q. The bubble chart. Does that have a	A. It's a no. We would not rank the
²⁰ name?	vendor to those categories. That spreadsheet
A. Yeah, I think it does. I don't	would will rank the vendor from the
recall. Segmentation.	standpoint of financial stability, all these
Q. How often is that document prepared?	different factors, flows through some type of
A. Could be once a year, it could be	formula that then puts the bubble based on size
every five years, depending on anything that	of purchases from them. The more we buy from
changes in the category. Q. And you mentioned category. Would	them, the bigger the bubble, and it places them in those quadrants.
ggs be a	³ Q. In addition to financial stability,
A. Eggs would be a category.	what are the other factors?
⁵ Q. So there would be a separate	5 A. I just I'm just not intimate
segmentation prepared for eggs?	enough with it to remember them.
A. If there was one. I can't say that	Q. So other than size of purchases,
there is one then. I'm not even exactly sure if	what other factors go into whether an egg vendor
there is one now. It's a \$24 million purchase.	or any vendor here would be critical,
Thave \$000 million plus. That's a small piece,	bottleneck, strategic of routine:
not really a high visibility category from that	A. I tillik tilat quality, experience
Standpoint, so I don't think there is one.	with them is in there, too.
Q. Who would you ask to find out if, in	Q. What else:
lact, such a document exists:	A. Reliability. They get some input
A. I lobably ask Alli b Aligelo wild was	nom the factories. Are they on time.
the last fall time buyer prior to transferring	Q. Anything else:
these out of my department. Representation 18 Q. Andy?	A. That's all I can recall. Results: Q. Would you look at whether other
19 A. Ann, A-N-N. D'Angelo, D,	producers manufacture a certain product?
20 apostrophe, A-N-G-E-L-O.	A. Yeah, that comes into that. That
Q. She worked for you?	kind of puts them into a bottleneck situation.
A. She works for me.	So there's got to be some things that feed to
Q. And she had a responsibility with	that. Is it might be a question in there
Q. And she had a responsibility with	24 about ensure supply. Is it single source or
eggs? 25 A. Yes, she did.	²⁵ multiple source.
7.1. 100, 0110 didi	maniple odaloui

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

58 60 Q. What about strategic? What factors deposed today in case I don't come home, you into strategic? A. Well, those factors I just gave you So I've told a number of people that would apply to all of them, and it spits it out there's a lawsuit that I'm involved in. into those quadrants, so I can't say what is Q. And your boss --Mr. Whitcombe. weighted more than others. Q. So would you agree that these Q. What did you tell him about the factors that we've talked about over the last few moments, quality, reliability, spectrum of A. Just that I would be tied up on 10 10 Wednesday and Friday. I mean, we've also purchases -- or spectrum of products, et cetera, 11 11 are factors other than price that Nestlé discussed on a number of occasions that there 12 12 considers when choosing to purchase a particular was a lawsuit that we're seeking recovery for 13 13 egg product? damages. 14 14 A. Those factors are definitely Q. What else did you discuss with 15 15 considered and there's probably many more, but Mr. Whitcombe about the lawsuit? 16 A. That would probably be that. That didn't -- they don't come to my immediate 17 17 memory. would probably be the extent of it. 18 Q. And you're aware, correct, that Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Whitcombe 19 19 Nestlé has filed a suit against a number of any of the allegations in the lawsuit? 20 20 entities, including United Egg Producers and a A. No, I don't think I actually got 21 21 number of egg producers? into the details. I think that I told him it 22 22 A. Yes, I am. was related to controlling prices and that was 23 Q. What's your understanding of the 23 self-explanatory. 24 allegations in the lawsuit? 24 Q. Did you talk to anyone at Nestlé 25 MR. CAMPBELL: I object and instruct about the lawsuit before the lawsuit was filed? 61 the witness not to answer on the ground that to A. I don't believe so. I think the the extent his knowledge is gained from me in first knowledge was when I saw something in the privileged conversations, it is immune from discovery. Q. You saw something in the press that However, if he has any knowledge Nestlé had filed the lawsuit? A. No. That there was a class action outside of those conversations with me or the legal department in Nestlé, then he may answer. suit of some type. BY MR. BOETTGE: Q. Did you talk to anyone at Nestlé Q. And that's fair. about Nestlé filing its own complaint? 10 10 A. Okay. A. I've talked to counsel. That's it. 11 11 Q. With that caveat, what's your MR. BOETTGE: You know, why don't we 12 12 understanding of the allegations in the lawsuit? do this? Why don't we take a short break. 13 13 A. That the egg producers controlled We've been at it for a little while. 14 14 output, thus controlling and managing prices to THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. 15 15 be higher than what they probably should have The time is 9:17. 16 been. (Recess taken.) 17 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the Q. Have you talked to anyone at Nestlé 18 18 other than counsel about the lawsuit? record. The time is 9:26. 19 A. To the degree of the people that did MR. BOETTGE: Mark this as Feyman the research, I told them what they were Exhibit 2. researching for. And then my boss, of course. And anytime I was tied up on these -- the 23 depositions, preparation for depositions, 24 materials. I mean, I think that -- I couldn't remember who I told. I told my wife I was being

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

62 64 A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So again, clarifying today, when I (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 2, Second Amended Complaint, was marked refer to eggs, I'll be referring to egg for purposes of identification.) products, correct? A. I understand. - - - - -BY MR. BOETTGE: Q. Is that fair? Q. Showing you, Mr. Feyman, what I've Let's look at in Exhibit 2, the marked as Exhibit 2. It is the second amended paragraph 105. complaint that Nestlé has brought against MR. CAMPBELL: Page 46. 10 10 MR. BOETTGE: Thank you. defendants in this matter. Let's take a look 11 11 at -- and for the record, it's the redacted copy THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 12 that I'm using as an exhibit. BY MR. BOETTGE: 13 13 Q. Do you see there is a chart at the Let's take a look at paragraph 17 on 14 14 page 10. I just want to get some understanding bottom of page 46 going to page 47? 15 15 here of the definition of Nestlé. A. Yes. 16 16 A. I just got to get that open. Q. And it identifies the top 20 egg 17 17 Okay. Paragraph 17. producers who owned approximately 55 percent of 18 18 Q. And there's a reference of Nestlé laying hens. 19 Prepared Foods Company. Do you see that? 20 20 Do you see that? A. I see that chart. 21 21 Q. Which of these 20 producers have A. Yes, I do. 22 22 Q. And what is Nestlé Prepared Foods made proposals to supply Nestlé with egg 23 Company? 23 products? 24 A. That is DiGiorno Pizza, Hot Pockets, 24 A. To my knowledge and tenure, Rose 25 25 Stouffer's, Lean Cuisine. Like I said, prepared Acres, Rembrandt, Sparboe, Michael's Foods, 65 foods company. Cal-Maine. That would be it. Q. Is Nestlé Prepared Foods Company a MR. BESMAN: Can I ask a clarifying separate corporate entity than Nestlé USA? auestion? A. I don't believe so. It's just a MR. BOETTGE: Sure. part of Nestlé USA. MR. BESMAN: When you refer to Q. And what is Nestlé Dreyer's Ice Nestlé -- again, I know you defined it -- are Cream Company? you referring to Nestlé USA and its U.S. A. A part of Nestlé USA. affiliates or Nestlé in the entire world? Q. Again, is it a separate entity? MR. BOETTGE: I'm referring to who's 10 10 A. I don't know. been identified in the complaint, I believe, is 11 Q. Are you aware of any Nestlé related 11 Nestlé USA, Inc. 12 entities that have assigned their claims in this 12 MR. BESMAN: Okay. Sorry. Thank 13 13 lawsuit to Nestlé USA? A. I am not. 14 BY MR. BOETTGE: 15 Q. Did any Nestlé -- and for the 15 Q. Which of those 20 producers did purposes of today's deposition, when I say 16 Nestlé purchase egg products from? 17 "Nestlé," I'll be referring to Nestlé as broad 17 A. Rose Acre, Rembrandt, Michael Foods. 18 as possible to include all divisions, 18 Q. What's your understanding or when do 19 affiliates, entities that are underneath the 19 you recall Cal-Maine --20 Nestlé umbrella. 20 MR. CAMPBELL: Doug, just a minute. 21 Is that fair? 21 He's not through looking at this. 22 A. Yes. 22 MR. BOETTGE: Oh, I'm sorry. 23 Q. Did any Nestlé subsidiaries, 23 THE WITNESS: I'm all set. 24 affiliates, divisions, purchase shell eggs since 24 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. 25 1999? 25 BY MR. BOETTGE:

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

68 66 Q. When do you recall Cal-Maine A. I've only heard that name mentioned proposing to supply Nestlé with egg products? from the past when I took over, but I have no A. That would be before my time, but I firsthand knowledge of them selling to us. Q. Do you have any firsthand knowledge saw the name in the records. Q. What records? or any knowledge that, in fact, Sparboe had A. The things that were pulled together proposed to supply Nestlé with eggs? A. I do not. that showed some volume purchases when the materials were put together. Q. You do not know either way? Q. But your understanding is Cal-Maine That's correct. 10 10 did not --Q. You just heard their name 11 11 A. I do not know either way. Just saw referenced? 12 12 A. Correct. the name. 13 13 Q. Was Sparboe on this document that Q. Can you describe for me again what 14 14 you were looking at when you say "volume you mentioned earlier? 15 15 purchases"? A. No, I don't recall seeing them. 16 16 A. It appeared that there was some Q. Do you recall the dates of this 17 17 spend against that company's name, to my extract, how far back it went? 18 18 recollection. But I did not buy them or sign A. It would have been inclusive of the 19 19 for them. dates requested by the exhibit materials, so 20 20 Q. Did you ask anyone at the company as whatever was asked of me, that's what we put in 21 21 to whether Nestlé had ever purchased egg for a parameter. 22 products from Cal-Maine? 22 Q. Did you have any communications with 23 A. I did not. 23 any -- or did anyone at Nestlé have any 24 Q. Was this a spreadsheet you were 24 communications with any of the producers that 25 25 looking at? are listed in this chart that you're aware of? 67 69 A. It was an extract of spend that went A. Any communication? back into the history and it was quite a while Q. Correct. Apart from Michael Foods, ago. Rembrandt and Rose Acre. Q. Was it an extract from SAP? Are you familiar with any A. I think so, yes. communications anyone at Nestlé had with anyone Q. Did you ask someone to prepare that from those companies? extract for you? A. I am not. Apart from those people? A. That extract would have been asked Q. Correct. for as part of the documents requested. A. Excluding those people? 10 10 Q. Do you know that extract was Q. Excluding Michael Foods, Rembrandt, 11 11 supplied? Rose Acre? 12 12 A. I do not. I can only assume yes. A. Yep. I am not. 13 13 Q. And what was the query that created Q. Apart from Rose Acre, Rembrandt and 14 14 Michael Foods, do you think any of the other 17 the extract? 15 A. Just -- it would have been spend on 15 companies listed in the chart are viable 16 the egg category. candidates to supply Nestlé with egg products? 17 Q. Did you see any volume associated 17 A. I do not know. 18 with that? 18 Q. You don't know one way or the other? 19 A. I didn't see any volume, no. A. Correct. 20 Q. You see zero volume? Q. For which Nestlé products or brands 21 A. There was no volume that I recall. did Nestlé purchase egg products from 22 I just saw a dollar figure. Michael Foods? 23 Q. What about Sparboe? What's your 23 A. Prepared Foods, Joseph's Pasta, Toll 24 understanding that Sparboe had proposed to 24 House Cookies. I believe that would be it. 25 supply Nestlé with eggs? Q. Dreyer's?

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

70 72 A. I don't believe we used Michael's Q. Did you do anything in preparation for Drever's. for this deposition to educate yourself as to the eggs that -- or whether the eggs that Nestlé Q. Did Nestlé require Michael Foods to purchased from Michael Foods were UEP certified? provide any of its egg products to be UEP A. I did not. certified egg products? A. Yes, we did. Q. What do you base your understanding Q. Which ones? that Nestlé in 2007 demanded that the eggs that A. All of them over time. Michael Foods used to supply Toll House be UEP Q. So Nestlé has required Michael Foods 10 10 A. Ask that question again. to supply the eggs that it used for prepared 11 11 foods to be UEP certified eggs? Q. Sure. 12 12 A. That's correct. I'm saying, what's the basis of your 13 Q. When did that happen? 13 knowledge that in 2007, or starting in 2007, 14 14 A. It was not at one single point. It Nestlé began requiring that the eggs 15 15 Michael Foods supplied for Toll House would be was progressively over time. 16 16 Q. What about with respect to the UEP certified? 17 17 prepared foods? A. The orientation and cross 18 18 A. Probably 2007 it started. information I received from Ed Lewis, taking 19 19 Q. It's your testimony that Nestlé over for him, there was discussion that this was 20 20 demanded Michael Foods to provide UEP certified an ongoing trend. 21 21 Q. What did Mr. Lewis tell you? eggs for the eggs that were used in the prepared 22 22 foods group? A. The marketing groups were anxious to 23 A. Yes. 23 be sure that the animal welfare considerations 24 24 Q. What about Joe's Pasta? were given to where we buy eggs from. 25 25 A. Joseph's? Yes, as well. Q. And who were the marketing groups? 71 73 Q. And when do you recall Nestlé A. I wouldn't know their names back demanding that Michael Foods supply Nestlé with then. They're -- we have a brand marketing UEP certified eggs to be used in the Joseph's group for every brand. Q. Okay. So this would have been --Pasta product? A. Subsequent to 2008, but I don't A. So one of those -remember an exact date. Q. This would have been a requirement Q. Was there a time prior to 2008 when coming from each specific brand's marketing Michael Foods was supplying egg to Nestlé for group? Joseph's Pasta that was not UEP certified? A. It could have been from one, could 10 10 A. I do not know. have been from all of them. I do not know. 11 11 Q. Who would know? Q. Have you had any discussions at any 12 12 A. The buyer at Joseph's Pasta. time with any of the marketing groups in 13 13 Q. Do you have any information that connection with animal welfare? 14 would suggest that Michael Foods did not 14 A. Yes. I have. 15 15 provide -- or any information to suggest that Q. Could you describe those 16 16 Michael Foods -- one way or the other, whether discussions? 17 17 Michael Foods provided certified egg to -- or A. We've had discussions about the law 18 18 non-certified egg to Joseph's Pasta before 2008? that started in California concerning cage-free 19 19 A. I do not. birds and the effect it's going to have on egg 20 20 Q. How about Toll House? When did supply. 21 Nestlé demand that the eggs that Michael Foods Q. Any other discussions that you've 22 supplied for Toll House be UEP certified? 23 23 A. I would say starting someplace A. That would pretty much be it. That 24 around 2007 was a request, but I don't know when 24 was a major one. the demand date was set. Q. Did you have any discussions with

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

74 76 anyone with respect to UEP certified? MR. BOETTGE: Mark this Trask -- I'm A. Only my buyers and to ensure that we sorry, Feyman 4. This one. require UEP certified. - - - - -Q. Was this a requirement that was (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4, included in the spec? E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled MFI0032735-0032737, was marked for A. I don't believe so. Q. It was separate from the spec, purposes of identification.) but --A. I believe so. BY MR. BOETTGE: 10 10 Q. -- nevertheless a requirement? Q. Showing you, Mr. Feyman, what's been 11 11 A. Right. marked as Feyman Exhibit 4. It's an e-mail 12 12 produced by Michael Foods. You'll see the top Q. Mr. Feyman, I'm showing you a 13 13 portion on the first page has been redacted. I document that we've marked Feyman Exhibit 3. 14 14 provided an unredacted copy to your counsel, and 15 15 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 3, we'll represent that the redacted portion 16 16 Memo to John Hill from Tom Diercks, relates only to internal correspondence at 17 dated 9-14-06, Bates Labeled Michael Foods. 18 MFI0109021, was marked for purposes You'll see at the bottom of page 1 19 19 there's an e-mail from a John Hill. of identification.) 20 20 Do you see that? 21 21 Q. It's a document produced by A. Yes. 22 22 Michael Foods from a Tom Diercks addressed to Q. To Tom Diercks and copying 23 John Hill and Jacki Pecek. 23 Jacki Pecek. 24 Do you see that? 24 Do you see that? 25 25 A. Yes, I do. Yes. 75 77 Q. And I think you mentioned John Hill Q. And the e-mail begins, "Thanks for is specific to Dreyer's? taking time to discuss animal welfare concerns." A. Yes. Do you know what those concerns were Q. And what's his role at Dreyer's? that Mr. Hill is referring to? A. I do not. A. His role was head of purchasing. Q. What's his role now? Q. Do you see at the bottom of the A. He is retired. second page there's an e-mail from Tom Diercks Q. When did he retire? dated September 14th in which he attaches a A. I'm not sure of the exact date. 10 Q. How about Jacki Pecek? Do you see that? 11 11 A. She is retired. 12 12 Q. When did she retire? Q. In which he notes the letter 13 13 A. Approximately two years ago. explains options for UEP certified eggs. 14 14 Q. Have you seen this document before? Do you see that? 15 15 A. I do not recall. 16 16 There's a reference in the second Q. And then what we've marked as Feyman 17 17 paragraph with respect to certified sugar yoke, Exhibit 3 is a letter that explains options for 18 18 a notation that Michael Foods could "provide UEP certified eggs. 19 19 Laurel, Fort Wayne and Houston UEP certified Do you see that? 20 20 eggs effective October 15, 2006." A. Yes. 21 21 Do you see that? Q. Any reason to doubt that Feyman 22 22 A. Yes, I do. Exhibit 3 is something other than the letter 23 23 Q. And were Laurel, Fort Wayne and that Mr. Diercks e-mailed to Mr. Hill and 24 24 Houston plants that produced Dreyer's ice cream? Miss Pecek? A. Yes, they were. A. I have no way of knowing.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

78 80 Q. Is that a fair inference? certified egg in 2006? A. I just -- I have no way to know. It A. I can't talk about something that I wasn't even here for. I don't know, no. predates my tenure. Q. The bottom e-mail on the first page Q. You don't know either way? A. Don't know either way. of Feyman Exhibit 4 has a reference to details provided as to the cost implications of changing Q. Are there any documents at Nestlé that would indicate when it began purchasing UEP specifications. Do you see that from Mr. Hill? certified egg from Michael Foods and for which Yes. I do. divisions? 10 10 Q. What do you understand are the cost A. Not that I'm aware of. 11 11 implications of changing specifications from Q. And who would you talk to at Nestlé 12 12 non-UEP to UEP? today that would give you any information as to 13 13 A. Either the price would go up or go when Nestlé began purchasing certified eggs from 14 14 Michael Foods? down. 15 15 A. For which division? Q. Do you know whether the price goes 16 16 up if UEP certified is demanded? Q. For Nestlé. I mean, for Dreyer's. 17 A. The price typically goes up, yes. A. For Dreyer's? Monte Mace. 18 Q. And those are discussions that you Q. Monte Mace is still with Nestlé? 19 have with the vendor? A. Yes. 20 20 Q. And you believe Monte Mace would A. Correct. 21 21 Q. So you have an understanding that have information as to when Dreyer's -- or when 22 demanding UEP certified egg will result in 22 or if Dreyer's began purchasing certified egg 23 Nestlé having to pay a higher price for those 23 from Michael Foods? 24 eggs, correct? 24 A. He may. I can't comment on his 25 25 A. Yes. knowledge. 79 81 Q. Do you know if anyone talked with (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 5, Monte Mace in connection with obtaining Internal Michael Foods Document, documents for purposes of this litigation? Bates Labeled MFI0553015-0553016, A. No. Q. You're not aware either way? was marked for purposes of identification.) A. I'm not aware. Q. You did not, though, correct? A. I did not. Q. Showing you, Mr. Feyman, what we've marked as Feyman Exhibit 5. I'll represent this Q. Tell me, Mr. Feyman, what did you do 10 10 is an internal Michael Foods document to educate yourself with respect to the types of 11 11 Bates-stamped MFI0553015. Like the e-mail, I'm eggs and egg products or types of egg products 12 providing you a redacted copy of the document. that Nestlé has purchased from Michael Foods? 13 13 I've supplied your counsel with an unredacted A. I met with various vendors who 14 14 сору. supply eggs to Nestlé to understand what each of 15 15 And I want to direct your attention the components are. 16 16 to the bullet at the bottom of the first page. Q. But with respect to preparing for 17 17 There's a notation that "Nestlé's/Dreyer's" your deposition. 18 -- that Michael Foods -- "will be switching to A. Oh, I didn't do anything to 19 UEP certified sugar yolk for Dreyer's in familiarize myself. 20 Q. Why did Nestlé begin requiring that October. Annual volume is 4 million a year. 21 21 Dreyer's be supplied with certified egg? UEP premium obtained is 4¢ per pound." 22 22 Do you see that? A. I do not know. 23 23 A. Yes. Q. Do you know why Nestlé began 24 24 requiring that it be supplied with certified egg Q. Does this suggest to you that Michael Foods supplied Dreyer's with UEP to any of its divisions?

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

82 84 A. No. ice cream division wanted to make claims. Q. What do you mean by making claims in Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself with respect to that question? the ice cream division? A. Only to understand what UEP A. That they're using -- considering animal welfare in the purchase of eggs. represented. Q. And how did you educate yourself as Q. Oh, that they were making claims to what UEP represented? that they did use --A. Well, on the web. A. Ben & Jerry's, yes. 9 Q. Do you have any understanding as to Q. Are you aware of any complaints 10 10 why Nestlé began requiring UEP certified egg? lodged against Ben & Jerry's regarding animal 11 11 A. A desire by the marketing teams to welfare? 12 12 avoid falling behind others and be competitive A. I am not. 13 13 on claims. Q. So it's your understanding in the 14 14 Q. And say that again. ice cream division a desire to demand UEP 15 15 Be competitive on claims. certified egg because Ben & Jerry's was making 16 16 Q. What does that mean, "be competitive the claim that it used UEP certified egg? 17 17 on claims"? A. Correct. 18 18 A. If somebody calls in our 800 number Q. Are you aware of any other reason 19 19 and asks about animal welfare with birds, we can that Nestlé's ice cream division began requiring 20 20 answer in the affirmative. that the eggs that it is supplied with be UEP 21 21 Q. And if you were not supplied with certified? 22 22 UEP certified eggs, you could not provide that A. No. 23 same answer? 23 Q. And with respect to prepared foods, 24 A. That's correct. 24 what's your understanding as to why prepared 25 25 Q. Are you aware of instances in which foods began demanding UEP certified egg? 83 85 Nestlé did receive such a call on its 800 A. I do not know. number? Q. Joseph's Pasta, do you know why A. Not firsthand, no. Joseph's Pasta --A. I do not know either. Q. Are you aware of that anecdotally? A. Anecdotally, yes. Q. And Toll House, do you know? Q. And likewise, anecdotally, you're A. Same answer. I do not. aware that Nestlé was able to respond to that Q. Do you understand that only a UEP inquiry certified producer can supply Nestlé with UEP A. Yes. certified egg? 10 10 Q. -- with the fact that it is being MR. CAMPBELL: Objection as to form. 11 11 supplied with UEP certified egg? That's not the case. 12 12 A. Correct. THE WITNESS: Should --13 13 Q. And who did you have that discussion BY MR. BOETTGE: 14 14 with? Q. Do you understand the question? 15 15 A. I do not recall. A. Yeah, I'd like you to repeat the 16 16 Q. You mentioned a desire to avoid question. 17 17 falling behind others. 18 Do you have any understanding as to What do you mean by that? 19 A. There was a competitive situation in whether Nestlé can buy egg that's represented to ice cream that -- I'm trying to remember the be UEP certified egg only from a producer that name, up in Vermont. is a UEP certified producer? 22 Q. Ben & Jerry's? A. I believe that's what makes it UEP 23 23 A. Ben & Jerry's. At the time, I think certified, so, yes. 24 owned by Unilever or maybe hadn't been bought by 24 Q. So if a producer was not UEP Unilever yet. They were making claims and the certified, it's your understanding that producer

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

86 88 could not sell UEP certified egg, correct? Q. Anything else? A. That's correct. A. Specifically, no. Q. What does Nestlé use the sugared Q. So it's your understanding that yolks that it purchases from Rose Acre for? Michael Foods was a company that was UEP certified? A. Production of Häagen-Dazs ice cream. Q. Dreyer's as well? A. Yes. A. Dreyer's as well, yes. MR. CAMPBELL: Objection as to time Q. Does Nestlé require Rose Acre to BY MR. BOETTGE: provide the sugared yolks to be UEP certified? 10 10 Q. Do you recall when Michael Foods 11 11 became UEP certified? Q. Do you recall when that was? 12 12 A. I do not. A. I do not. 13 13 Q. And again, would you need to talk to Q. Do you recall if anyone at Nestlé 14 14 Monte -- or would Monte Mace be the person who ever expressed any objection to Michael Foods 15 15 having joined the UEP certified program? would have the most information as to when Dreyer's/Häagen-Dazs began requiring 17 17 And, in fact, as an egg buyer, you Rose Acre to supply it with --18 would want Michael Foods to be a UEP certified A. Yes. 19 19 producer, correct? -- certified egg? 20 20 A. Yes. Are there any documents or records 21 21 at the company that would reflect when Nestlé Q. And why is that? 22 22 A. So that they were available to began requiring that its eggs be certified? 23 supply us egg products where we wanted UEP 23 A. Not that I'm aware of. 24 24 Q. Would that be noted anywhere in the certified eggs. 25 25 Q. So the three divisions you mentioned transaction data? 87 89 that you're aware of for Michael Foods selling A. No. Q. Do you recall a time when Nestlé eggs to Nestlé would be prepared foods, Joseph's would require UEP certification for some brands Pasta and Toll House? A. Yes. And that includes Buitoni, or divisions but not others? Joseph's Pasta. A. I do not. Q. Do you know if Michael Foods is Q. You don't know either way? still selling eggs to Nestlé for each of those A. Either way, no. divisions? Q. Who would be the best person or who 9 A. They are still selling them. I would have the most information as to was there 10 10 don't know to whom. a time when one part of Nestlé required UEP 11 11 Q. In other words, you understand that certified and another part of Nestlé did not? 12 12 Michael Foods is still supplying eggs; you don't A. I do not know any one person. 13 13 know to which division? Q. Who would be some of the people that 14 A. That is correct. 14 you would need to ask? 15 15 Q. For which Nestlé products or brands A. I wouldn't -- I couldn't even begin 16 16 did Nestlé purchase egg products from Rose Acre? to guess who would know. 17 A. Specifically, I do not know. Q. Would it be logical to believe that 18 18 Q. Do you know which egg products individuals within each of the divisions 19 19 Nestlé has purchased from Rose Acre? involved in purchasing would know the answer to 20 20 A. Sugared yolks. That's the only one that question? I'm comfortable to say I'm positive about. A. Those divisions don't do any 22 Q. What about Michael Foods? What are purchasing. Our group does the purchasing. 23 23 the egg products that Nestlé has obtained from Q. But I understand that it's the 24 Michael Foods? 24 marketing groups in those divisions that inform 25 A. Liquid whole extended shelf life. the purchasing group that it will be demanding

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

90 92 UEP certified egg? A. Another way would be a decision that A. That message came from the marketing came down that would say from the head of group, but I don't know the names. purchasing or anybody else. Q. Are you aware of that occurring? Q. So it would be logical to assume the A. I am not. marketing group for each of these divisions would have information that would inform whether Q. You don't know either way? and when that division began requiring UEP I do not know. certified egg, correct? And who would have issued that A. Possibly. order? 10 10 Q. Are you aware of anyone asking A. I don't know. 11 documents from any of the various divisions or 11 Q. When you note that your 12 groups in connection with this lawsuit? 12 understanding that all egg that Nestlé purchases 13 13 A. No. is UEP certified, is that the result of a demand 14 14 Q. You don't know either way? that Nestlé has made that all of the egg be UEP 15 15 certified? A. Do not know either way. 16 Q. Do you recall if anyone at Nestlé A. It could be, yes. 17 ever expressed any objection to Rose Acre having Q. But you don't know? 18 joined the UEP certified program? A. I don't know. 19 A. No. Q. So it's possible that some of the 20 20 Q. And as I asked with Michael Foods, egg that Nestlé is purchasing today is non-UEP 21 21 as an egg buyer, isn't it true that Nestlé would certified, correct? 22 22 want Rose Acre to be able to provide UEP A. Anything's possible. 23 certified eggs? 23 Q. You just don't know? A. Yes. 24 24 A. I don't know. 25 Q. Is it your understanding that all Q. What did you do to educate yourself 91 93 the egg that Nestlé purchases today is UEP as to whether any of the egg it's purchasing certified egg? today is non-UEP certified? A. Yes. A. Nothing. Q. What do you base that on?A. Anecdotal. Q. So when Nestlé will purchase eggs on behalf of a division, will there be a separate Q. What do you mean by that? contract for those eggs or will there been one contract with a vendor that will include eggs to A. Buyers were instructed to make sure they're UEP certified. be used in different divisions? A. One contract could be used by Q. So you have an understanding for 10 10 different factories which then leads different each of the divisions that the purchasing arm of 11 11 divisions. Nestlé was instructed by those divisions to 12 12 Q. And who's the buyer that's purchase only UEP certified egg? 13 13 A. I do not. identified in those contracts? 14 A. Whoever was the buyer that was in 14 Q. You don't know? 15 15 charge of eggs at the time. A. I don't know. 16 16 Q. And apart from an individual's Q. But you do understand -- but it's 17 17 your understanding that all of the egg that 18 18 MR. CAMPBELL: Can I just clarify? Nestlé purchases is UEP certified, correct? 19 I think he misunderstood the question. A. Yes. 20 20 By "the buyer," he means which Q. And the reason that Nestlé would 21 Nestlé entity was the buyer. demand UEP certified egg is if the marketing 22 MR. BOETTGE: That's fair. 22 division of the brand told purchasing that it 23 MR. CAMPBELL: Is that fair, Doug? 23 should require UEP certified egg, right? 24 MR. BOETTGE: Yes, correct. 24 A. That's one of the ways. BY MR. BOETTGE: Q. What's another way?

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

94 96 Q. Which entity is listed as the buyer have the same form as what we're looking at as in the contract? Trask 8? A. It wouldn't be. It would be listed A. Yes, they do. Q. Then if we look, there's different on the contract the factory that's allowed to items listed in this contract and there's a buy off that contract. Q. Got it. delivery address from each item? A. Yes. So you may have in a contract a number of different factories, and then what I'm Q. Are those delivery addresses getting at is ultimately there's a, I would associated with different factories? 10 10 imagine, a signature block or a representation A. That's correct. 11 11 that this contract is on behalf of a Nestlé Q. Mr. Feyman, are you familiar with 12 12 the term "grain-based contract"? entity. 13 13 Is that your understanding? A. Yes, I am. 14 14 A. No. It is not -- there's no such Q. And what's your understanding of the 15 15 thing as that. term? 16 Q. So the contract just lists various A. It would refer to a contract in the 17 17 factories? price of the commodity or material you're 18 18 A. Yeah. And -- and -- the contract purchasing that fluctuates based upon grain. 19 19 lists the material, and the material is approved Q. So grain is the cost driver in that 20 20 for certain factories to purchase. It doesn't contract? 21 21 list the factory. A. In that contract, yes. 22 22 Q. Okay. Q. Are you familiar with the term 23 A. But only the appropriate factory 23 "Urner Berry"? 24 that uses that material can order off that 24 A. Yes, I am. 25 contract. So that's behind the scenes in the Q. And what is Urner Berry? 95 97 A. Urner Berry is a reporting agency programming. Q. We'll take a quick look at a that publishes pricing information. Q. And what information does Urner contract to clarify. Berry publish? MR. CAMPBELL: Why don't you show A. Breaking stock prices for eggs, also him Trask 8. MR. BOETTGE: Yes. some poultry information and some other BY MR. BOETTGE: materials. 8 Q. Would you consider the Urner Berry Q. Let's take a look at Trask 8. This 9 price to be the market price? is a copy of a contract that we identified in a 10 10 A. Yes, I do. deposition of Mr. Trask. 11 11 Q. And your understanding that the Do you recognize this document? 12 12 Urner Berry market price of eggs is not a cost A. Yeah. I recognize it as an extract 13 13 of a contract from our SAP system. driver in a grain-based contract? 14 A. I'd like you to say that again. Q. When you were referring to the 15 15 document that shows the volumes that the various 16 Well, let's step back. And I think divisions can purchase from, is this the type of 17 17 you mentioned in a grain-based contract, the document you're referring to? 18 18 cost driver is the cost of grain? A. That's right. 19 19 A. Correct. Go ahead. Yes. Q. Is there a different contract that 20 Q. So if you wanted to understand how Nestlé would consider to be its contract when it 21 much you'd be paying for eggs in a particular purchases eggs from a supplier? 22 month, you'd look at what is the price of grain 22 A. No. No. 23 for that month? 23 Q. This is the contract? 24 A. No. 24 Α. Yes. Q. What would you look at? Q. Do the contracts Nestlé uses today

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

98 100 A. Grain would be one of the drivers. Q. Why is that? Also, energy, overhead, yield, strength. A. Supply and demand dictates the component prices. Q. Would the Urner Berry market price of eggs be a cost driver in a grain-based Q. Can you give me an example? A. If there's a big export of dried-out contract? egg whites, that demand could drive up the price A. No. Q. So in a grain-based contract, you of the market for egg whites worldwide and yolks don't have to look at the Urner Berry market to could stay at the same price or dried whole eggs figure out what you're going to pay, correct? could stay at the same price. 10 10 Q. What do you understand are the A. Correct. 11 11 Q. Likewise, you don't have to look at factors --12 12 the supply of layer hens to know what you're MR. CAMPBELL: Children's day, ah? 13 13 going to pay in a grain-based contract, correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Bring your 14 14 A. Correct. children to work today, folks. 15 15 Q. And, in fact, in a grain-based I'm sorry. 16 16 BY MR. BOETTGE: contract, the price that you pay as an egg buyer is unaffected by what happens on the Urner Berry Q. No, I was just thinking when I came 18 18 market, correct? in, I noticed all the candy and I thought kids 19 A. Correct. here must think --20 20 Q. And likewise, in a grain-based A. Yeah. 21 21 contract, the price that you as an egg buyer pay Q. -- all businesses sell all that much 22 22 is unaffected by what happens to the supply of candy. 23 hens, correct? 23 A. That's very funny. A. Correct. 24 24 Q. I think it's terrific. 25 Yeah. Q. Does Nestlé track the market price 99 101 Q. What factors do you understand drive of eggs? A. Yes, we do. the market price of egg products? THE WITNESS: What did you do to Q. How? A. We look at the Urner Berry, and if them? need be, pull out charts of historical prices. MR. BESMAN: It's bring your kids to Q. Does Nestlé subscribe to any work day. We should have all brought our kids. third-party source of information as to the THE WITNESS: The major -- the major market of eggs? driver of egg prices is the supply of eggs. A. We get materials from another BY MR. BOETTGE: 10 company called Informa. Q. What other factors? 11 Q. And what do you do with that A. The way I buy, that's the factor. 12 material? 12 Q. Are you aware of any other factors? 13 A. Not very much because it's not very 13 A. Of course, demand affects the supply 14 14 adequate for forecasting. of eggs, but it's still the supply of eggs. 15 15 Q. What do you mean by that? Q. What about feed costs? Do you 16 A. Haven't found it to be able to understand that feed costs would affect the 17 forecast the market. 17 price of eggs? 18 Q. What else do you use to forecast the A. I do not understand that unless I 19 have a grain-based contract. 20 A. The history of the Urner Berry Q. You don't have an understanding as 21 pricing is pretty much the Bible. to if the cost of inputs to an egg would go up, 22 Q. Would you agree that the prices for 22 that that may have a corresponding impact on the 23 different types of egg products don't move in 23 price for eggs? 24 sync? 24 A. In theory, I agree with that. In 25 Yes, I do. practice, that is not the case.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

102 104 Q. What do you base that on, that it's going to be paying for eggs during the term of not the case? the contract, correct? A. Correct. A. Experience that in certain commodities, including eggs, the price can go Q. Do you know if Michael Foods ever the exact opposite direction of input costs. offered Nestlé a grain-based pricing proposal? Q. You gave an example of when the price of one part of an egg -- or the trending Q. You don't know either way? in price for one part of egg may not follow for A. I don't know either way. 9 another part of egg. Like whites and yolks, Q. What did you do to educate yourself 10 10 correct? as to whether, in fact, Michael Foods ever 11 11 A. Yes. offered Nestlé a grain-based pricing proposal? 12 12 Q. Are you aware of any other examples A. I did nothing. 13 13 Q. Who would know? where the price of an egg product may fluctuate 14 different than another egg product? 14 A. I would know if I reviewed the 15 15 A. I'm sure there are, but I don't have recent history. 16 16 them, and I didn't give that example. You asked Q. What do you mean by that? 17 17 me, can it, and I said yes. A. I could talk to the last buyer and 18 18 Q. Are you aware of that being the say, did they ever offer. 19 19 case? Q. And did you do that in preparation 20 20 for the deposition today? A. For? 21 21 A. I did not. Q. Yolks and whites. 22 22 A. It could, but I'm not aware of a MR. CAMPBELL: We have to redo the 23 specific instance. 23 whole last 15 minutes now, Doug, because you 24 You understand that's a different 24 didn't have your mic on. 25 25 question than . . . MR. BOETTGE: I think I just knocked 103 105 Q. What's a fixed contract? it off, but let's hope so. A. It would be a quantity and price BY MR. BOETTGE: that's established at the date of signing for Q. Take a look at what we marked future dates or a future period of time. yesterday as Trask Exhibit 3. Q. So by entering into a fixed A. Got it. No, that's Feyman 3. contract, Nestlé would know at the time of Q. You know what? I think I might have contracting what price it will be paying in the it here. Sorry. That was unfair to you. future? A. Are you trying to trick me? A. Correct. Q. Is this part of the thing? 10 10 Q. Is it correct also that when Nestlé MR. CAMPBELL: It's only with him. 11 11 receives a fixed price, it does not know how the Most guys are -- have better ethics. 12 12 producer has set that fixed price? Do you have Trask 3? 13 13 A. No. We have a pretty good THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 14 14 understanding how they set the fixed price. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. 15 15 Q. Does the producer tell you how they BY MR. BOETTGE: 16 set the fixed price? Q. There's a reference here to the 17 17 Ariba analysis? A. No. It's an experienced 18 18 A. Yes. understanding that history is used to set the 19 Q. What's that? fixed price. Q. But you don't know that for certain, A. Ariba is a computer program that you 21 correct? can utilize to dispense requests for information 22 22 A. Correct. or requests for proposals to vendors. 23 23 Q. Like in a grain-based contract, in a Q. And does the vendor enter 24 24 fixed contract, Nestlé doesn't have to look at information into Ariba in response? the Urner Berry market to know what price it's A. Either that or they attach a

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

106	109
106	108
spreadsheet.	the right thing?
Q. Does Nestlé still use Ariba?	Q. You are. You are.
A. res, we do.	A. Okay. Let's do it that way.
Q. And what do you understand to be the	4 Q. Okay. 2.2 egg white solid powder.
attachment to the cover e-mail of Trask 3?	Do you see that?
A. These are the results of an Ariba	A. Yes, I do see that.
⁷ event.	⁷ Q. Okay. And then there are various
⁸ Q. I'm going to direct your attention	fixed prices that are being offered, correct?
to the second column under Michael Foods.	A. And that's the one, two,
A. Yes.	three fourth column you talk about?
Q. And specifically to the product	Q. That's the well, it's identified
that's listed as egg white solids powder,	in the row number as 2.2.2, that's price per
¹³ 50-pound kosher.	pound, January through March?
Do you see that? It's the second	A. That's why I keep oh, okay.
item.	15 2.2.2?
MR. CAMPBELL: I don't see it, Doug.	Q. Correct.
Where? Can you give a number?	A. And it reads "January-March (fixed),
MR. BOETTGE: Sure.	¹⁸ 4.950 USD"?
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I got it. 2.2	Q. Correct.
you're talking about?	²⁰ A. Okay. I'm with you.
MR. BOETTGE: That's correct.	Q. And what do those fixed prices
THE WITNESS: Right here.	²² represent?
MR. CAMPBELL: I see it. Okay.	A. That would represent a price per
THE WITNESS: I see that.	²⁴ pound.
²⁵ BY MR. BOETTGE:	²⁵ Q. So Michael Foods is offering that
Q. There's a formula pricing column at 2.27? A. 2.27? Q. 2.2.7. That's down one whole block, correct? Q. It's part of the egg white solids group under 2.2. Let me step back. So this is why don't you describe what this document is purporting to do here. A. This is consolidating the responses by various vendors to the Ariba event.	they would sell this white solids powder in the October through December period for \$4.80 a pound? A. Now, you jumped down on me. So 2.2.5 now you're looking at? Q. Correct. A. They would offer it for that period at \$4.80, that's correct. Q. So if Nestlé were to adopt Michael Foods' proposal, Nestlé would know a year in advance the exact price that it would be paying for this product, correct?
by various vehicles to the Ariba event.	paying for this product, correct:
Q. And is an Ariba event what is an Ariba event?	A. We would this appears to be the
Anda event? A. It's a request for proposal, RFP.	Tolido of the Ki i for Ariba. These prices then
Q. How often would those go out?	get negotiated. This is the hist step.
A. It goes out based upon the life of	Q. Understood.
the contract, when it's expiring.	A. Okay. So it may not be that price
Q. And for this Ariba event, there were	or another vendor may change that price. This
a number of different items; is that right?	is a starting point.
A. That's fair, yes.	Q. Understood.
Q. And looking at the second item	A. Okay.
that's listed, that's the egg white solids	Q. But were Nestlé to accept without further negotiation what Michael Foods is
powder under 2.2?	24 proposing
A. Yeah, I got it. Am I pointing to	25 A. That would
	A. Illat would

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

110 112 Q. -- Nestlé would know a year in Q. How do you recognize this? A. I recognize that it's -- no, that's advance the exact price that it would be paying for the --Deposition Feyman 7 -- or 6. I don't recognize A. October through December they'd know the document. would be \$4.80 a pound if those conditions that Q. Lunderstand. Lunderstand. you presented were, in fact, true. This is an e-mail from Pres Colwell Q. Correct. No further negotiations. to Steve Warner and Bill Bush. Now, look down one at 2.2.7. Do you see that? A. Yes. I do. 10 10 Q. And under Michael Foods, do you see Q. And who is Steve Warner? 11 11 a description there? A. He was the head of purchasing for 12 12 A. Yes, I do. "Michael Foods GB raw ingredients. 13 13 proposal"? Q. When you mention "raw ingredients," 14 14 Q. Yes. And is the GB reference there is that still a term that's used at Nestlé? 15 15 a reference to grain-based? A. Yes. 16 16 Are you in the raw ingredients A. More than likely. Q. 17 17 Q. Do you know who would have group? 18 18 considered Michael Foods' grain-based proposal Α. Yes, I am. 19 19 at this time? Q. Is eggs part of raw ingredients? 20 20 A. Yes, they are. They were. A. I would have. 21 21 Transfer. They're part of the commodity group Q. Do you have any recollection of 22 22 right now. that? 23 A. I have recollection of discussion of 23 Q. And that was three months ago? 24 all the grain-based proposals since 2008 to the 24 Three months ago. 25 date I started. And Steve Warner was the head of raw 111 113 ingredients purchasing? Q. And do you have any specific recollection as to the Michael Foods grain-based A. On this date? proposal? Q. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. What is your recollection? Q. Who has that job now? A. I turned them all down. A. Mike Whitcombe. Q. Why? Q. Do you know how long Steve Warner A. Too much volatility. held that job? Q. What do you mean? A. I do not. 10 10 A. I have no control of where the Q. Do you know if anyone asked 11 11 prices go because I have no control of grain Mr. Warner for documents in connection with this 12 12 markets, and I could put our businesses in a litigation? 13 noncompetitive position. 13 A. I do not. 14 14 Q. And what about Mr. Bill Bush? 15 15 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 6, A. I don't know him. 16 16 E-Mail from Pres Colwell to Q. And the attachment to this e-mail is 17 17 Steve Warner and Bill Bush identified as a Michael Foods grain-based 18 w/Attachment, dated 3-31-04, Bates pricing quote. 19 Labeled MFI0259556, was marked for Do you see that? A. Page 1? Yes, I do. purposes of identification.) Q. Does what's identified as Feyman 22 Q. I'm going to show you what I believe Exhibit 6 suggest that Michael Foods in 2004 23 23 we've marked as Feyman Exhibit 6. It's a proposed a grain-based pricing contract to 24 24 document Bates-stamped MFI0259556, an e-mail --Nestlé? A. I recognize it, yes. A. Either proposed or was asked to

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

114 116 provide. Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you know which? A. I could not tell from this. Q. Do you have any knowledge one way or the other whether, in fact, in July 2006 Q. You note a familiarity in 2008 with Michael Foods presented a grain-based agreement a grain-based pricing proposal from to Nestlé? Michael Foods. Was that proposal invited by Nestlé? A. I do not. I was not here. Q. Who would have received that Q. Do you know one way or the other grain-based pricing proposal from Michael Foods? 10 10 whether Michael Foods would have provided a A. I do not know. 11 11 grain-based pricing proposal if Nestlé had not Q. Do you know whether, in fact, Nestlé 12 12 invited that request? accepted a grain-based pricing proposal that 13 13 A. I believe not because I requested Michael Foods offered in 2006? 14 14 A. I do not know. the grain-based proposal. 15 15 Q. But you don't know one way or the Q. Does this document suggest to you 16 16 that, in fact, Michael Foods did present a other whether Michael Foods would have 17 voluntarily offered -grain-based pricing contract in July 2006? 18 A. I do not. A. That only suggests that. 19 19 Q. Do you know if in 2004 Nestlé Q. You have no information that would 20 20 dispute that, correct? purchased eggs from Michael Foods on a 21 21 grain-based pricing contract? A. Nor to confirm it. 22 22 A. I have no way of knowing. Q. You don't know either way? 23 Q. You didn't -- did you do anything to 23 A. Either way. 24 educate yourself on that question? 24 Q. Who else has offered grain-based 25 A. I did not. pricing to Nestlé? What other vendors? 115 117 Q. And how would you know? Who would A. I believe I asked all of them at one be the best person to ask if Nestlé ever time for that information. purchased eggs from Michael Foods on a Q. And did you receive grain-based grain-based pricing? pricing from all of them? A. I do not know from back to that A. Proposals, yes. Q. And who were those that you asked? date. A. Ballas, Rose Acre, maybe Primera. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 7, It depends on the year, who was selling us at the time. I think it was in 2008, so that's my Internal Michael Foods Document, 10 Bates Labeled MFI0102001-0102006, recollection. 11 11 was marked for purposes of Q. Are you aware of Nestlé ever 12 12 identification.) purchasing eggs on a grain-based model? 13 13 A. I am not aware they ever did. 14 14 Q. Mr. Feyman, I'm going to show you Q. You don't know either way? 15 15 what we've marked as Feyman Exhibit 7. It's a A. I do not -- I'm not aware that they 16 16 Michael Foods internal document, Bates-stamped ever did. I've never heard affirmative. 17 17 MFI0102001. It is also redacted, and I have Q. Did you do anything to educate 18 18 supplied your counsel with an unredacted copy. yourself as to whether Nestlé has ever purchased 19 19 Do you know who Vince O'Brien is? eggs based on grain-based model? 20 A. I do not. A. Back in 2008 when I worked for Q. If you'd turn to the fourth page of Mr. Warner -- he was my original boss that hired the document, there's an indication that me -- there was a big drive to push the 23 23 Michael Foods "presented grain-based agreements purchasing of agricultural materials into the 24 lowest common denominator of cost, which would to the following customers in July." And Nestlé is identified. be the biggest driver, and go to formula

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

120 118 pricing. And that was his direction to me and O Yes that's why I reached out to attempt to do it in A. Okay. Urner Berry tracks sales and that area, as well as a number of other areas. is driven by supply. In a grain-based contract, essentially the supplier of that egg or egg Q. When you noted a drive to push product is saying to lay the egg, it costs this, purchase of agricultural materials, would that to provide the heat and the nurturing, it costs include eggs? A. Yeah, it was eggs. Eggs were this, to pay for the mortality, it costs this, and to pay for the chicken, the feed to make the included, yes. Q. And you mentioned into the lowest egg, it costs this. And, therefore, we will 10 10 charge you those components, plus our utilities, 11 Lowest cost driver. our insurance, our employee labor, and a nominal 12 12 Q. What do you mean by "the lowest cost margin for our hard work. 13 13 driver"? Whereas in Urner Berry, behind the 14 14 scenes, that's built in there, but that's not A. In the case of the eggs, grain plays 15 15 how that price is derived. It's derived by a major part, feed plays a major part in the 16 16 cost of eggs and chickens. looking at sales, which are a function of 17 17 Q. And "the cost" meaning the price supply. 18 that you paid? Q. So you can divorce yourself from 19 A. Final price, yes. supply if you enter into a grain-based contract? 20 20 Q. And so the drive was to do what A. Correct. 21 21 exactly? Q. And you noted that this was a drive 22 22 A. To convert our purchasing strategy for Mr. Warner? 23 from a fixed price strategy or an Urner 23 A. It was a corporate strategy review. 24 Berry-based strategy, which is basically the 24 Since he was my boss, it came to me. 25 same as a fixed price because that's where the Q. And when was that? 119 121 fixed price comes from --A. 2008/2009. Q. Again, you don't know that for Do you recall when in 2008? certain from particular vendors, correct? I do not. A. Yeah, I do not know that. When did you --Q. So --A. It could have been discussed in '8, A. -- into a grain-based formula implemented my first full year, in '9. I think pricing. That was the strategy I was asked to that would be it. implement. Q. And this was a discussion you had shortly after you came into Nestlé? Q. And did he tell you why he wanted 10 10 A. I believe so, yes. to push that strategy? 11 11 A. The organization believed it would Q. And when did you start in '08? 12 12 bring us lower prices. August 2008. Α. 13 13 Q. When you mentioned into the lowest Q. What did you do to implement that cost driver, that would be the grain-based? strategy? 15 A. Yeah. Grain-based would be the A. I had Trask on the Ariba event lowest cost strategy of purchasing and then request form the price proposal. 17 17 you'd -- grain is the largest price driver, so Q. Did you do anything else? 18 18 that's why you call it a grain-based. No, not that I recall. 19 19 Q. And that would be as opposed to an Fair to -- well, did you adopt any 20 20 Urner Berry-based? of those grain-based proposals from anyone? 21 21 A. Correct. A. I did not. 22 22 Q. And I guess I'm still confused why And again, your reasoning was 23 23 grain versus Urner Berry if you're looking for concern of the volatility of the --24 24 A. Volatility. the lowest cost driver. A. You want me to explain it to you? -- of those cost drivers that go

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

122 124 into a grain-based? yourself --A. Of the grain-based cost driver. A. Yeah. Q. Did you have any further discussions Q. -- and Mr. Lewis. with Mr. Warner about this? And is this an example of the types A. I reported back to him why I of strategy documents that you would ask rejected it. Mr. Trask to put together? Q. What did you tell him? A. Yeah. This looks like an update to A. That in talking with our commodity what is being done, yes. Yeah. people, they were concerned that such a high Q. And then I wanted to -- well, let me 10 10 degree of impact to the cost of my eggs were step back. 11 11 going to be grain, and if we had a bad weather So there's different locations 12 12 event or a -- excuse me -- a pest or something identified in the e-mail. Bakersfield, Laurel, 13 that could include Russia stepping in and buying 13 Fort Wayne, Salt Lake City. 14 14 a whole bunch of grain -- there were so many Do you see that? 15 15 A. Yes, I see Bakersfield. Yes, I do. factors that I was -- I had far less to lose 16 than I had to gain by saving money in a Q. And this is an e-mail to John Hill; 17 grain-based formula because it may not save me is that right? I'm sorry, the subject of this 18 18 money if one of these things happened. They e-mail is E-Mail to John Hill? 19 19 dissuaded me from it. A. Yeah, that's the subject listed, 20 20 Q. You believe there was more risk in yes. 21 21 the grain-based model than in a formula that's So fair to assume that Bakersfield, 22 22 based on Urner Berry model? Laurel, Fort Wayne, Salt Lake City at this time 23 A. Absolutely. It's volatility risk. 23 were all plants that were used in creating Q. Did you have any discussions with 24 24 Dreyer's Ice Cream? 25 A. Yes. anyone else at Nestlé related to grain-based 123 125 pricing? Q. And when I say "Dreyer's," I guess I A. Just Trask. mean Häagen-Dazs as well? Q. How about Ed Lewis? A. Mm-hmm. Yeah. A. I don't think so. I don't -- I'm Q. And are there similar -- would it be reasonable to find similar e-mails to going to say no. individuals at each of the various divisions for MR. BOETTGE: Let's take a short break. whom you purchase that would lay out the MR. CAMPBELL: Sure. strategy that purchasing is looking at for acquiring eggs for that product? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 10 record. The time is 10:50. A. No. 11 11 Q. What's unique about Drever's? (Recess taken.) 12 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the A. Such a high percent of the cost are 13 13 eggs of their finished product. record. The time is 11:03. 14 BY MR. BOETTGE: 14 Q. And as a result, Mr. Hill would be 15 15 Q. Mr. Feyman, I'd like you to now put more involved in the decision to purchase eggs? 16 16 A. Well, more sensitive to what we've in front of you what we marked yesterday as 17 17 Trask Exhibit 2. And focus on the bottom e-mail done and the options we have. 18 18 Q. And then at the bottom of the first from Bill Trask, and that's to a Mr. Lewis and 19 19 page there's a comment that Nestlé is "currently yourself. 20 20 soliciting both fixed and formula pricing for Do you see that? 21 21 A. Okay. Deposition 2, and you want me Michael Foods." 22 22 to focus on the --Do you see that? 23 23 Q. The bottom e-mail. This is the bottom bullet on the 24 24 first page. Α. Yes. 25 The e-mail from Bill Trask to A. "Currently" -- yes, I see that.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

128 126 Q. Is that your recollection? heals price. So if there was volatility, either A. It's reasonable. way, I might want a shorter contract or I may Q. Do you know why you were soliciting want a longer contract. both fixed and formula pricing? Q. What would be the instances where A. We would always look at both ways. you'd want a shorter fixed contract versus a What's the cost on the fixed and how does that longer fixed contract? compare to an Urner Berry plus. A. Because when they calculate the Q. When you say "Urner Berry plus," price in a highly volatile market, on a fixed what do you mean? price, they build in a margin of error. The 10 10 higher the price, the more volatility, the more A. Well, that's -- that's -- that's the 11 11 formula price. See, this isn't a grain formula. they build in. 12 12 So a grain formula would be something specific On a shorter period, because they 13 13 if it said grain formula. This is you're won't get stuck and I won't get stuck in the 14 14 either buying it -- this is how much you're wrong place, there's less of what we call the 15 15 paying for the egg component per pound for this hedge built in to cover for any volatility. 16 many pounds over this period of time, that would Q. What do you mean by "hedge"? 17 17 be a fixed. A. Well, if something costs 30¢ a pound 18 Or you're buying it over the Urner and I want to buy that material from you for a 19 19 Berry quote plus some overage associated with five-year period, and since there's no futures 20 20 market that I can use to base my purchase 21 21 Q. And you would want to have both decision on, you say, I'll sell you that for 22 22 prices, or at least -five years at 40¢ a pound. That 10¢ would be 23 A. I would look at both prices, that's 23 the hedge. 24 correct. 24 Q. Were there times what you would ask 25 25 for fixed contracts of lesser duration? Q. And there's an indication here in 127 129 A. Could very well be. Than six the second paragraph of the e-mail that the "quotes will cover 6-month and 12-month months? pricing." Q. Correct. Do you see that? A. Probably not, but it could have A. Okay. Second paragraph? happened. Q. Yes. That begins, "I plan to wrap Q. Six months would, in your up the negotiations"? understanding, be the lowest length of time that you would ask for for a fixed contract? A. Okay. I see that. Q. And then an indication, "The quotes A. I'm sure I've asked for less 10 will cover 6-month and 12-month pricing." someplace, so I'd say, you know, typically, yes, 11 but it could be less than six months. 12 12 Q. Is that an indication that Nestlé I have some contracts in other 13 had requested quotes that covered 6 and 12 13 things that are daily prices. I mean, it's a 14 14 months of time? weekly price, so --15 15 A. That is correct. Q. But for eggs? 16 16 A. Eggs, yeah, probably six months. Q. And what was the reason that Nestlé 17 17 asked for quotes in those time increments, 6 and Q. Is it fair to say it's unusual for 18 it to be under six months? 12 months? 19 A. That's fair. A. I can't answer for this specific 20 one, but we would do that if we expected to see Q. And then the last sentence of the 21 volatility in the market. e-mail notes, "When we have them, we will get 22 22 Q. So if you expect volatility, you'll with DGIC to discuss the appropriate mix to meet 23 23 ask for a fixed price contract for a longer DGIC's tolerance for fixed versus variable 24 24 period of time? pricing." A. I could do it either way for -- time Do you see that comment? What's the

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

130 132 reference there to "tolerance"? What's your have that option on the table. Q. Let's take a look at what's been understanding as to what that means? A. Well, it's tolerance and appetite. marked as Trask Exhibit 4. Do you have -- does the business A. Got it. have the appetite to pay a little bit more to Q. This is another e-mail from Bill Trask to you and Mr. Lewis. And the first have a fixed price or float with the market to be competitive with their competition? What's sentence of the e-mail that is underneath the the appetite for risk? term "John," notes, "We will continue to take Q. And that varied by division? advantage of the formula-based pricing from 10 10 A. Yeah. It definitely varies by 11 11 What did you understand Mr. Trask to division. 12 12 MR. CAMPBELL: Did you raid the mean by that? 13 13 kids' table? A. I don't remember what I thought in 14 14 BY MR. BOETTGE: 2008. I can only give you an opinion of what I 15 15 think now. Q. There's a comment that Mr. Trask 16 16 makes at the end of the first paragraph that Q. That's fair. 17 17 begins with the sentence, "However." A. Okay. It's a price off of the Urner 18 18 A. The end of --Berry. 19 19 Q. Maybe it would be helpful to read Q. So at that point in time, was the 20 20 Urner Berry down? that entire first paragraph to get the context. 21 21 A. First I got to find it. A. I do not know. 22 22 Q. Sure. Q. And then the fourth sentence there 23 A. Is this still on Exhibit 2? 23 notes, "I think it's still a good idea to keep 24 Q. It is. This is Trask Exhibit 2. 24 Michael Foods in the mix because they provide a 25 25 This is the e-mail from Trask to yourself and fixed price." 131 133 Mr. Lewis. Do you see that? A. Okay. I see. "However, I am not A. Yes, I do. sure"? Q. Was Ballas providing a fixed price at this time? Q. Sure. A. I do not know. A. Okay. Q. But read that first paragraph to Q. Then he states, "which we can hedge against if the market drives the formula price give context. (Document review.) Okay. I've read through the roof." it. What do you understand Mr. Trask to 10 Q. What do you understand Mr. Trask to have meant by that? 11 11 A. What I understand today? 12 12 A. Mr. Trask was thinking that he could 13 13 convince a vendor to allow him to zigzag between A. He was probably referring to the 14 14 fixed price off the -- which comes off the Urner fact he had two vendors in that location. And 15 15 Berry history or daily and monthly prices that remember, I mentioned there's a sourcing -- the 16 come off the Urner Berry quotes. He thought he factory can pick who they buy from at a given 17 17 could try to get them to switch, let him switch time. He could move back and forth between 18 back and forth, and have both on the table, either vendor, whichever was more favorable, and 19 which, of course, was not the case. that was how he would hedge against a run-up. 20 20 Q. What do you mean "not the case"? Q. And at this point Michael Foods had A. Well, you can't -- no vendor, at a fixed-price contract available to -least in the egg business and probably nowhere A. Based on what this says, yeah, I 23 23 else, is going to allow you to move back and would say yes. 24 24 forth between what's most favorable to you Q. So if the market was going up, Nestlé could avail itself of the Michael Foods because they'll put them out of business if they

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

134 136 fixed-price contract? is a third-party source --A. As I interpret this today, that's A. Yes. what I'd say is the case. Q. -- that Nestlé would look to for Q. Further in that e-mail, there's a market information? statement, "If Dreyer's can lift UEP A. Yes. certification, a possible alternative could be Q. And I may have asked, did Nestlé Rembrandt." look --It's about halfway down. A. Is it Informa or Urner Berry here? 9 A. The first paragraph? Get me back to the spot you're citing. 10 10 Q. First paragraph. Q. Sure, no, fair point. 11 11 A. Okay. I'm trying to find it. I'm looking at the subject line of 12 12 Okay. I see it. (Reading out of Mr. Trask's September 19 e-mail. 13 13 the hearing of the reporter.) Okay. A. Okay. Informa, yes. Now I see 14 14 Q. What do you understand Mr. Trask to where you're talking about. 15 15 have meant "If Dreyer's can lift UEP Q. And is it your understanding that 16 16 certification, a possible alternative could be the information that Mr. Trask is providing here 17 17 Rembrandt"? is coming from Informa? 18 18 A. My interpretation today --A. It looks more like it's coming from 19 19 Q. Correct. Urner Berry. That's why I -- he may have 20 20 A. -- would be that at the time misspoke. I can't -- I can't tell. It's just 21 Rembrandt was not UEP certified and probably had 21 -- but it doesn't look like Informa. It looks 22 22 a more favorable price. like Urner Berry comments. 23 Q. Your understanding was Rembrandt was 23 Q. Did Mr. Trask provide updates to the 24 UEP certified? 24 rest of the purchasing team as to what he 25 A. It was not. learned from Informa? 135 137 Q. Not. And lifting UEP certification, A. Probably not to the team. If he learned something from Informa or Urner Berry, meaning that they would not continue their requirement to be supplied with UEP certified he would share it with the stakeholders, like John Hill. egg? A. That's correct. Again, my Q. How about yourself? interpretation today. A. Yes, he would cover it with me as Q. Do you know if Dreyer's ever did lift their UEP certification? Q. And you see that he distinguishes, A. To my knowledge, they did not. or at least has a separate paragraph for shell 10 10 Q. But had they, they could have egg values and egg product values? 11 11 potentially obtained cheaper eggs from A. Right. 12 12 Rembrandt? Q. And the comment on the first 13 13 A. They could have obtained cheaper sentence, second paragraph, "Egg product values 14 14 eggs from Michael Foods, yes. didn't budge once again this week and have been 15 15 Q. If you look at the last -- or I'm surprisingly strong and resilient to changing 16 16 sorry -- the third page of the e-mail chain, I market conditions of late." 17 17 should say. On the third page of the e-mail A. Okay. I got to find it again. 18 18 Q. I'm sorry. That's the first chain there's an e-mail from Mr. Trask to a 19 19 number of individuals, including, you mentioned sentence of the second paragraph. A. (Reading out of the hearing of the Monte Mace, John Hill, Ed Lewis and yourself, 21 copying Steve Warner. reporter.) Okay. I see that. 22 22 Do you see that? Q. As an egg buyer, did you have an 23 23 A. Yes, I do. understanding that the shell egg market was 24 24 Q. And the subject is Informa Weekly different than the egg products market? Egg Comments. And I think you mentioned Informa A. Yes, it is different.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

138 140 Q. And how so? inventories. They both are going to price off A. Shell egg is driven by supply and of the available eggs. One is breaking stock, then demand is on the side of the consumer at one is retail. But since retail is perishable, retail. And industrial products, like we buy, the price moves much more quickly against is based upon supply and then supply of breaking demand. stock and then quoted price for the industrial And industrial is storable, so if buyers. there's an inventory, even if they cut their Q. How is that different than what you supply of breaking stock, the price goes just indicated as far as the shell egg market? differently than the retail markets because 10 10 A. Well, the similarities, it's all there's -- they got to work off those 11 11 based upon supply, but they can move -- the inventories. They work off the inventories, 12 12 price can move separately. They don't inventories go down, prices go up. 13 13 necessarily always move at the same time. Q. So again, your point is for egg 14 14 Q. What are the reasons that they would products, the price works off the breaking stock 15 15 move differently? inventory? 16 A. Because most of these components are A. Yes, that's correct. 17 17 storable. All these dried spray materials were Q. And there are other factors that go 18 18 made from eggs that were broken weeks, months, into it? 19 19 years ago. Those inventories may be adequate to A. There are other factors, but that's 20 20 support the price or allow price to drop. a big driver. 21 21 Whereas the retail market, you know, Q. And again, if Nestlé were purchasing 22 22 it's a fresh product that's perishable, so you off a grain-based contract, it would not be 23 can't store eggs very long. 23 subject to inventory of breaking stock; instead 24 Q. And again, Nestlé could have avoided 24 it would be subject to other cost drivers? 25 25 the fluctuation in the Urner Berry market by A. Correct. 139 141 purchasing through a grain-based contract? Now, I want to qualify. When I say A. Well, we would go from one "breaking stock," it's the breaking stock price volatility to a much greater volatility as the that is driving this. It's the inventories that experts directed me, so I -- I want to avoid it. affect price, okay? I don't price off of In fact, in 2010 or '11, grain went so high that inventory numbers; I price off the cost of I would have probably put the ice cream business breaking stock. out of business. So, I mean, that's hindsight, Q. If you're on a formula-based but there's no avoiding anything. It's about contract? A. On a formula, right. 10 10 Q. But you mentioned the supply Q. And again, a formula-based contract, 11 11 of -- were you referring to the supply of eggs that's not grain-based? 12 12 when you mentioned supply? A. That's correct. 13 13 A. On which comment? Q. As an egg buyer, did you recognize 14 14 Q. Well, we talked about differences the difference between egg producers who 15 15 between the shell egg market -produced primarily market-based shell eggs and 16 16 A. Right. those that produced egg products? 17 17 A. I don't think I differentiate. I Q. -- and the market for egg products. 18 18 A. The supply of egg products are think they both produce both at one time or 19 19 storable. Frozen, dry. The only ones not another. 20 20 storable is whole. Q. What do you mean by that?

21

22

23

24

not aware of it.

different items?

22

23

24

Q. So when you referred to supply in

distinguishing between egg markets, shell egg

and egg product, you're referring to supply of

A. Yeah. I'm talking about

A. Well, what doesn't go to retail

demand ends up in the breaking stock. I don't

separate person producing breaking stock. I'm

know, and maybe -- I don't know if there's a

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

142 144 Q. Okay. But when you're thinking of communicating to the business stakeholders what purchasing for Nestlé, do you think of egg the input costs for their business would be. producers the same or do you think of those NBS, the Nestlé Business Services, bought the producers that principally produce egg products eggs. versus those that principally produce shell Q. Who decided which eggs to buy? A. Based on their formulas. eggs? A. Principally produce egg products. Q. But who was the person at -- was it Q. And why is that? someone at NBS or was it someone with Joseph's A. Because those are the ones that who made the final decision which eggs --10 10 A. Which component to buy or who to buy respond to our request for proposal. 11 11 Q. So based on your understanding of from? 12 12 Q. Who to buy from. the market, do you believe it's an accurate 13 13 A. We did an Ariba event and made a statement that the prices of shell eggs and egg 14 14 products do not move up and down together? decision as strategic buyers. 15 15 A. They -- at any given time they can Q. If you look at the last page of the 16 do together, inverse or direct. It just depends e-mail chain, there's a listing of suppliers, 17 what's going on in the marketplace. and this is between Michael Foods and Ballas. 18 Q. Let's take a look at what we marked Do you see that? 19 yesterday as Trask Exhibit 5. Try to go in A. Yes, I do. 20 20 Q. And there's a reference to order here to make it a little easier here. 21 21 Michael Foods' shelf life. A. Well, you had them in order, but I 22 22 got them all mixed up now. Do you see that? 23 23 A. Yes, I do. Q. Let's look at the bottom e-mail in 24 the chain which is on page 2, an e-mail from 24 Q. Is shelf life important to Nestlé? 25 Bill Trask to Joe Roberts copying you. Yes, it is. 143 145 A. This is page 2 or page 3? Q. This is page 2. A. Because we require materials to have 50 percent of their shelf life left on them A. Okay. Strategy? Or where are you? Q. I'm at the top of the e-mail that's, before we utilize them in order to ensure the again, from Bill Trask -highest quality goes to our consumer and we make the best food we can. A. Okay. Q. -- to Joe Roberts and yourself. And Q. Is shelf life a consideration that's the subject is Joseph's Pasta Liquid Whole Egg used in deciding from which egg vendor you'll purchase from? 10 10 Do you see that? A. Under certain circumstances, yes. 11 11 Q. What are those certain A. Yes, I do. 12 12 circumstances? Q. And what is this document purporting 13 13 A. It's usually about storage space and 14 14 A. It looks to be an update of the availability in the local marketplace and lead 15 15 market situation to the purchasing manager at 16 16 Joseph's Pasta. Q. Was it more valuable to Nestlé to 17 17 Q. And was the purchasing manager at have a supplier have a longer shelf life than a 18 18 shorter shelf life? Joseph's Pasta part of your purchasing group? 19 A. Not at that time, no. A. No, not really. It depends on the 20 20 Q. He was in Joseph's Pasta division? material and the popularity and how frequently 21 21 we produce it. It's all over the board. I 22 Q. And so was Mr. Roberts responsible can't give you one single answer. I have to 23 23 for ultimately making the decision which eggs to look at each individual material. 24 24 purchase? Q. If you look at the fourth bullet on A. No. He was responsible for the prior page under Market Outlook.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

146 148 A. So I'm on page 1 now? something. I apologize. Q. I'm sorry, you're on page 2. This Q. No, you're fine. Sometimes -- I just can't find it. is still that e-mail from -- it's not easy. A. Okay. Market Outlook. Which bullet Q. Sure. And actually, I think I gave you the wrong bullet. It's the fifth bullet. now? Q. The fourth bullet down. MR. CAMPBELL: Fifth bullet. He Yeah. misspoke. Yes. See, there he goes again, Q. And there's references there to trying to trick you. corn, soybean meal and crude oil? THE WITNESS: Will you watch him? 10 10 Do you see that? MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. 11 11 A. Yes, I do. THE WITNESS: Okay. Now, what are 12 12 you looking for? I increased the --Q. And why is Mr. Trask providing 13 13 information about the cost for corn, soybean BY MR. BOETTGE: 14 14 meal and crude oil? Q. Sure. This is the bullet directly 15 15 A. These would be some of the inputs beneath the bullet about markets relating to 16 into the cost of making an egg. corn, meal and oil. 17 17 Q. And why was that relevant to Nestlé? A. Okay. 18 18 A. I don't know that he meant for this Q. The fifth bullet. I apologize. And 19 19 to be anything more than -- it looks like he it begins, "While not perfect predictors" --20 20 copied and paste something out of -- so it A. Okay. There we go. 21 21 just -- we don't center information to our Q. Okay. And read that sentence, if 22 22 businesses. He gave them an update. I think he you would. 23 copied and paste. 23 A. (Reading out of the hearing of the 24 Q. Sure. 24 reporter.) I've read it, yes. 25 Is the cost of corn, soybean meal Q. Do you agree with the sentiment 147 149 and crude oil something that Nestlé looks at that's expressed, that markets for corn, meal when considering the price of eggs? and oil provide a general direction for A. No, we don't because we don't buy on downstream items that use these commodities as grain formula. The only time before I look at inputs? those is when we're buying those for other A. Under the best of circumstance and businesses that buy soybean oil and stuff like general direction, as soon as I see the word that as an ingredient. It has no bearing for me "assumption," you know what that does. because it's not a future predictor of anything Q. What do you mean "under the best of in certain markets like eggs. circumstances"? 10 Q. So you mention this is a cut and A. In a perfect world, they should be a 11 11 predictor or direction, but it does not always 12 12 Do you have an understanding that happen that way. 13 13 this was included as information that would lead Q. Why don't you take a look at what we 14 someone to understand where the egg market would 14 looked at earlier as Trask Exhibit 8. It's a 15 15 copy of the contract from June 15th, 2008. 16 16 MR. CAMPBELL: It's this one. A. I -- I -- I don't recall talking 17 17 about the document. I don't recall why Joe THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 18 requested it, and I just can't answer that. BY MR. BOETTGE: 19 19 Q. If we look at the fourth bullet, Q. You can use mine. 20 20 there's a comment, "While not perfect A. No, I got it. 21 21 predictors, these markets do provide a general MR. BESMAN: Do you need a break? 22 direction for downstream items that use these I'm pointing to the court reporter. 23 23 commodities as inputs." THE REPORTER: No, I'm okay. It's 24 24 Do you see that? getting loud, though. A. You guys must think I'm blind or MR. BESMAN: I know. It must be

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

150 152 tough on you to -at this right now, I can't tell. So I know MR. CAMPBELL: It's going to build it -- let me give you an example outside of eggs so that you know I'm not just picking on character for her. THE WITNESS: They'll be over soon. I don't think they're here much longer. Fresh meat is priced on a daily market and a weekly basis. But what I put in MR. BESMAN: We've got to keep this door shut for the court reporter. She can't the contract, I got to tell them fresh meat costs \$5 a pound. I need a number. Every week THE WITNESS: Okay. I have my fresh meat price changes. I send those 10 10 Exhibit 8, Trask. prices to the factories. The POs were issued 11 11 BY MR. BOETTGE: four to six weeks before I knew what the price 12 12 Q. And is this an example of a 6-month is. They got to use the price in the contract. 13 13 We'll go in and change the price before it's contract? 14 14 delivered so the invoice and the price match so A. No. I -- I --15 15 MR. CAMPBELL: (Pointing.) I can pay the bill. 16 THE WITNESS: (Document review.) Now, I can't tell whether these are 17 17 There are different materials here. There's fixed prices or they're going to be prices at 18 18 some of these that don't have conditions that one or breaking stock plus. 19 are less than six months. See? Q. I think I understand what you're 20 20 For discussion's sake, I'm going to saying. 21 21 A. Yeah. say yes because the date here is six months, but 22 22 on each individual item there seems to be some Q. When we looked back at the Ariba 23 conditions that are less than six months. 23 analysis for 2008, we saw that there were prices 24 BY MR. BOETTGE: 24 for different three-month periods, but they were 25 25 Q. And let's look at the first one. I fixed out three months, three months, three 151 153 think what you're referring to, there's a months, and I believe I asked you if Nestlé were condition valid -to purchase from that fixed-price contract, they A. Right. would know a year ahead of time exactly what Q. -- June 15th, '08 to September 28th, price they'd be paying if they opted into that 2008? fixed contract, correct? A. Yeah, that's what I'm referring to. A. Yeah. If they took four periods of three months and they were fixed prices, then Q. Okay. And then a separate condition, October 1, '08 to December 31, '08? you know one year ahead of time. A. Yeah. Yeah. Q. Okay. But what you're saying is 10 10 Q. So you would be -- if you purchased looking at this Trask 8, you can't tell --11 11 pursuant to this contract, you would be paying 12 12 Q. -- whether, in fact, these prices different prices based on the time period? 13 13 were just set in there initially or were the A. That's right. 14 Q. But isn't it also true that you 14 prices that Nestlé paid? 15 15 would know on June 15th, 2008 what price you'd A. That's correct. There's a 16 16 be paying on December 31st, 2008? placeholder -- they may be in there as a 17 17 A. Okay. I've got to qualify this, placeholder so we can cut POs against it. I 18 18 that if we have a fixed-price contract and a don't know the answer to it. 19 19 formula price off the Urner Berry breaking Q. How would one know? What would you 20 stock, they're both going to look the same here look at to know? because we must put in a price when we put in a A. You'd probably have to go -- have to 22 22 contract. pull invoices out of Iron Mountain to 2008. I 23 23 But then as those formula prices don't even know what the retention is of them. 24 24 change, my people go in with the factory people I don't know the answer to that.

and adjust the purchase order price. So looking

Q. If you look at the third page of the

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

154 156 document, there's -- well, let's just go through Q. Do you recognize this document? A. I do not. Q. Let me ask you this: Are you There's different items listed, familiar with this arrangement of data? correct? A. This would be some type of query A. Correct. that was put into the system. That's what I Q. And like as an example, the first item, this egg albumen powder spray, there's a recognize. listing as per spec number and then there's a Q. And the system being SAP? spec number. This is on the second page where A. Yes. 10 10 And I'll represent to you that this this item continues. Q. 11 11 Per spec number and then there's a was a document that was produced in this 12 12 litigation -spec number for that, correct? 13 13 A. Yep. A. Okay. 14 14 Q. -- from Nestlé. Q. And this would be the price or the 15 15 conditions where this product would be delivered What do you understand this to be? 16 to Bloomington, Illinois? A. A query put into the system to list 17 17 A. In the case of that material, yes. by vendor and material code and description, 18 Q. And then if you look down, the next along with a PO number an invoice amount for 19 19 few items follows that similar -- there's a spec certain egg products. Now, let me look at the 20 20 number and then a delivery address. 21 21 Now, I'll represent that this was Do you see that? 22 22 A. Yes. purported to be transaction data from 2006 to 23 23 2011 from Nestlé, and if I were to print out all Q. And then if you look to the third 24 page for Item 50, there is in that same section 24 of the data, it would be 52 pages long. 25 we're looking at toward the left of the square, A. Okay. I see. 157 a comment about "UB unpast whole, high side plus Q. So I'm just printing out for our .12." purposes the first two and the last two pages. A. Oh, I see. Do you see that? A. I do see that. Q. And let's go through the columns Q. What is that a reference to? really quickly. A. I don't know what unpast -- that You see on the left there's a Vendor could be unpasteurized. column? They may have made a notation in A. Yes. 9 here that this is a -- this is a formula price Q. And Ballas Egg Products is a vendor 10 10 breaking stock plus 12¢, okay? You can make of Nestlé? 11 11 comments on these individual lines, but they're A. Yes. 12 12 not required or mandatory. This is the first Q. And then there's a Fiscal Month. 13 13 time I saw that one. Do you see that? 14 So I would guess this designates a 14 A. Yes, I do. 15 15 formula price on this one. Q. And what does that relate to here? 16 16 Q. Can you draw any inference where A. I don't know. I don't know what 17 17 that is not indicated; that, in fact, it's a that means. 18 18 fixed contract not changing? Q. Do you have an understanding that 19 19 A. You cannot. You cannot. that's the purchases that were made during that 20 Q. Would you take a look at what has 20 month for the egg product? 21 been marked as Trask Exhibit 9? A. I do not have that understanding. 22 THE WITNESS: I'll race you. I've never seen that term. 23 23 MR. CAMPBELL: It's this one. Q. You don't have an understanding 24 THE WITNESS: Got it. 24 either way? BY MR. BOETTGE: A. No.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

158 160 Q. Do you know if Nestlé's SAP data A. Anytime the system generates a captures transactions? requisition requiring materials, it's converted A. I'm sure it does. into a purchase order. Q. Do you know if that transaction data Q. So the purchase order would show, in was produced in the litigation? fact, that eggs were purchased? A. Anything that was in the SAP system A. That's correct. that was on the request for documents was Q. And is it your understanding that queried into SAP or pulled from storage in Iron the invoice amount is the amount that was Mountain and would have been turned over. identified on the invoice for that purchase? 10 10 A. Since I don't compare the two, I'm Q. Do you understand that what's -- or 11 11 would you characterize what's contained in going to use -- I can't say yes or no. I only 12 12 Trask 9 to be transaction data? can say that this got an invoice amount. 13 13 A. I think it is what it's labeled to Q. And you don't know whether that 14 14 be. I mean, you're calling it transaction data. invoice amount is what's identified on the 15 15 It's specific information about vendors and purchase order column corresponding to that 16 16 materials and purchases. I don't know what else purchase, correct? You don't know one way or 17 17 to call it. the other? 18 Q. Does it reflect the actual prices A. I have every reason to believe it 19 19 that Nestlé paid? does and you can probably test one by 20 20 A. I have no way of knowing that. multiplying invoice quantity by unit price. You 21 21 Q. Does Nestlé have in SAP information know what I'm saying? 22 that would show the actual prices that Nestlé 22 23 paid for egg products? 23 24 A. Yes, it would. 24 25 Q. And do you know if that data records 159 161 purchases for each purchase that was made? A. Say that a different way. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, Speed Analysis, Bates Labeled Q. Do you know if the -- I think you indicated that the transaction data or the SAP NES00004501, was marked for purposes data that Nestlé has identifies the actual of identification.) purchases that Nestlé made for eggs? A. Yes, that would be an accurate Q. Showing you, Mr. Feyman, a document representation of pricing. that I'll represent is an excerpt of the data 9 that is contained in Trask 9. Again, Trask 9 Q. And do you know if that data 10 10 captures specific transactions as opposed to being an excerpt. But from all of that data 11 11 aggregating a group of transactions? from the Bates-stamped NES00004501, the 12 12 A. Specific transactions are there. information that's contained on Feyman 8 is an 13 13 Q. Do you know one way or the other excerpt for that data. 14 whether what's shown in Trask 9 is identifying a 14 And I'll further represent that the 15 15 specific transaction or aggregate transactions? information that's on Feynman 8 was obtained by 16 16 A. That would be a specific identifying purchases from Michael Foods for the 17 17 period July through December of 2008 for the transaction. 18 18 particular material 22002238. Q. You think there are -- this is 19 Do you see that? representing specific transactions? 20 A. Yes. A. I see all of that, yes. 21 Q. What makes you believe -- say that? 21 Q. Let's turn to page -- let's compare 22 22 A. Because there's a purchase order this to Trask 8. So have both of the documents 23 23 number, so it ties out two-way transaction. in front of you. 24 24 A. Okay. Q. When is a purchase order number Q. And let's go to the fourth page of created?

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

162 164 Trask 8, and particularly Item 100. 6-15-08"? A. Yes, I do. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. And do you see that material number Q. And that's the condition -- I for Item 100 is 22002238. apologize -- that I want you to focus on. Do you see that? A. Okay. A. Yes, I do. Q. What is the information that's Q. And do you understand that to be the conveyed by the use of the term "condition valid same material as what's reflected in Feyman 8? from" with a date? A. Yes. A. That would be the prices valid for 10 10 Q. And this is for egg whole liquid that period of time. 11 11 pasturized 30 pounds, correct? Q. Understood. A. Yes, it is. 12 12 And do you recognize that the prices 13 13 Q. And what is egg whole liquid that are listed for the dates on the contract, 14 14 pasturized used for? Trask 8, match the prices that are identified on 15 15 A. Oh, I wouldn't be able to share Feyman 8? 16 16 that. I don't know. A. Well, that's the -- and the 17 17 Q. And I think you indicated your interesting part of it is I got a conflict 18 18 understanding that a fair inference of the data between -- no, I do not. From -- so from 9-29 19 19 that's contained on Feyman 8 reflects the to 9-30, there's a 1.01, and I don't know why 20 20 purchase price that Nestlé paid for items? that's there because it's only one day. 21 21 A. That's correct. Q. Is that a conflict or is that --22 22 Q. And do you recognize that the prices A. Well, it's an unexplained condition. 23 that are listed in Feyman 8 for this material 23 I don't understand. So your question is? 24 22002238 matched the prices listed in the 24 Q. Do the prices that are listed on 25 25 contract that is Trask Exhibit 8? Feyman 8 match the prices that are listed in 163 165 A. Just give me a moment to check. Trask 8, the contract? Yeah, they match two of the three A. Yes, they do. conditions. Q. So we talked earlier about your not Q. What do you mean by that? knowing based on the contract itself, Trask 8, A. Well, on Item 100, there are three whether, in fact, these prices are fixed prices prices, 1.02, 1.01 and 1.12. or formula prices that were plugs; is that fair? A. Correct. Well, not plugs. A On Feyman 8, there's two prices, marker. 1.02 and 1.12. Q. Marker. The price that was in Q. Let's talk about the conditions that 10 10 existence at that day -are identified on Trask 8. 11 11 A. Okay. You noted that there are three 12 12 Q. -- but may not, in fact, be the conditions, correct? 13 13 price that --A. Yes, I did. 14 Q. What's being conveyed by those A. Correct. 15 Q. -- Nestlé would pay for those goods. 15 conditions? 16 Does going through this exercise A. It looks like transactions from 17 17 that we went through with Feyman 8, does that Michael Foods is a condition, Column B, filtered 18 18 suggest to you that at least that item, for July through December, fiscal month. 19 19 22002238, in fact, these were fixed prices? Q. I understand. I may have misspoke. 20 20 A. Yes, it does. I was asking about Trask 8 --21 Q. And, in fact, you could go through 21 A. Oh. 22 that exercise with all of the items and 22 Q. -- the contract, and specifically, 23 23 determine whether in fact this was fixed-price there's the term "condition valid." 24 contracting or formula-based contracting? 24 Do you see that on Trask 8? This is A. You would have to, yes. for Item 100. There's "condition valid from

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

168 166 Q. And, in fact, if you do that and Do you see that? come to the same conclusion we reached here, A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you know who the "Steve" is that would lead you to believe with some that's being referenced there? confidence that, in fact, that is fixed-price A. I have no idea. contracting? Q. Then it states, "Liquid pricing A. As you went through the proving out of the invoice payment, yes. fixed Jan through June, delivered 12,000 or more per bulk transfer." (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 9, What does that mean? 10 10 A. That the tanker has to -- we have to Fax to Terry Baker from Pres Colwell 11 11 w/Attachments, dated 11-16-04, Bates take at least 12,000 pounds per load and that it 12 12 Labeled MFI0259539-10259546, was is a fixed price for that period of time. 13 13 Q. So is that a condition that you must marked for purposes of 14 14 identification.) have 12,000 pounds per tanker? 15 15 A. Or they could assess a higher price 16 16 on you that may be -- I wasn't part of those Q. Showing you, Mr. Feyman, what we've 17 marked as Feyman Exhibit 9. It's a negotiations. 18 Michael Foods document that is Bates-stamped Q. Sure. But your understanding --19 19 MFI0259539. A. It predates me. 20 20 Do you see that? At least recognize Q. -- sitting here is that so long as 21 21 Nestlé acquired 12,000 or more, its pricing the Bates number on that? 22 22 would be fixed from January through June, is A. Oh, yeah. 23 Q. Okay. Turn to the third page of the 23 that fair? 24 document. I just have a few questions about 24 A. It appears to be, yes. 25 25 Q. And then the next sentence, "Nestlé 167 169 has the right to convert whole and yolk powders Do you see there's a signature at the bottom right? in Danville to super sacks with lead time for A. Yes, I do. transition." Q. And who's that signature of? Who What's that a reference to? signed this contract? A. I can refer or tell you what a super A. The last name is, looks like it's sack is. Instead of a -- excuse me. W-A-R-N-E -- and the only name that's close to Instead of 50-pound bags, which that is that Warner. So, I mean, I can't say typically a lot of people see things loaded, a that's his signature. I don't recognize that as super sack is a woven 2000-pound bag that is 10 his signature. used to more efficiently deliver dry 11 Q. Sure. What was Mr. Warner's first 12 12 name? So, I mean, we -- we must have been 13 13 A. Steve. planning to try to convert the yolk products or 14 Q. And does the first name that's 14 dry products into super sacks. 15 15 identified here look like Steven to you? Q. Real guick. Back to Trask 9, which 16 16 is the excerpt of the spend analysis data? A. I just don't think I'm qualified to 17 17 A. Got it. Yes. identify his signature. 18 18 Q. Okay. And this is a contract with a Q. Just quickly, when you see spend 19 19 start date of January 1, 2005, an end date of analysis invoice based, which is the top left, 20 December 31, 2005? what do you understand that to be a reference 21 21 A. It appears to be, yes. 22 22 Q. And there's language, A. Invoices we used for the spend 23 23 "Contract" -- this is now on the first page, analysis. 24 24 typed in language in the middle, "Contract Q. And a spend analysis is what? 25 created Steve to Pres Colwell, 11-2-04." A. I think it's a very generic term.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

	++ (1 ages 170 to 173)
170	172
1 It could have you specify the condition, so	Q. Have you ever seen their data?
it could be any and all many things. This spend	A. I don't recall.
analysis has the columns marked as such. That's	Q. Do you have an understanding whether
4 what was requested.	Dreyer's maintains transactional data?
 Q. Does spend analysis suggest to you 	⁵ A. Oh, I'm sure they absolutely do.
that these are dollars that Nestlé spent?	⁶ Q. Globe is, I think, a term you
⁷ A. That is correct.	mentioned and I see that on Trask 9.
⁸ Q. And then I think we were talking	8 What is Globe?
⁹ about the month, year, then there's Plant as a	⁹ A. It's a software package.
10 column.	Q. Does it use SAP?
Do you see that?	A. Yes.
12 A. Yes, I do.	Q. What is the software package that
Q. And what's the significance of that	Dreyer's used?
14 column, Plant?	A. I do not know.
¹⁵ A. It tells you for whom that purchase	15
order was issued by.	16 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 10,
¹⁷ Q. Are purchase orders issued by every	Egg Purchase 2000-2005, Bates
¹⁸ plant?	Labeled NES00004500, was marked for
¹⁹ A. Yes, they are.	purposes of identification.)
²⁰ Q. Are all of the plants included in	20
²¹ SAP?	Q. Showing you, Mr. Feyman, what we've
A. Yes, with the exception of Joseph's	marked as Feyman Exhibit 10, and I'll represent
Pasta, and I don't know when I don't know	this is data that Nestlé produced in the
when Dreyer's went online. I don't know when	litigation purporting to be sales data for the
Dreyer's got on to the Globe system.	²⁵ period 2000 to 2005.
Q. Was Dreyer's somewhat later than others getting on the Globe system? A. Yes. Correct. Q. Do you know when that you don't know A. No idea. Don't even know if it's in there now. Q. And what would be the plants that would be related to Dreyer's? It would be Laurel? A. Yeah. Laurel. Q. Bakerdale	Do you recognize data reflected in this fashion? A. Sales data? Q. Correct. A. Or purchase data? Q. I'm sorry. Purchase data. I apologize. A. Well, I recognize what it looks like. This is 2001 to '5. I was not here at the time, so I can't I can't say yes or no. Q. Have you ever had occasion to review sales data prior to 2006?
¹³ A. Bakersfield.	A. No, I have not for any reason.
Q. Bakersfield.	MR. CAMPBELL: You have misspoke.
A. Tulare, Houston.	You meant purchase data.
Q. St. Louis?	MR. BOETTGE: I apologize. I meant
A. St. Louis.	purchase data. Thank you.
Q. Salt Lake City?	MR. CAMPBELL: Did you mark this,
A. Salt Lake City.	¹⁹ Doug?
Q. Do you know whether or not that data	MR. BOETTGE: I did. I think
is in SAP?	²¹ it's
A. I do not.	THE WITNESS: 10.
Q. Who would know?	MIK. BOETTGE. TO.
A. Flobably somebody on our fi side. I	IVIN. CAIVIFDELL. 10. Okay.
don't know the answer to that.	MR. BOETTGE: Let's take a short

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

174 176 break for most things we buy, due to our competitive THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. position in the finished product marketplace." Of the time is 12:05. What's your understanding as to what Mr. Hill is referring to as "being as close to (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the the market as possible"? A. As close to the Urner Berry price as record. The time is 12:17. BY MR. BOETTGE: possible. Q. Mr. Feyman, we spoke earlier about Q. And what did you understand was his 9 the possibility that the transaction data from reason for wanting to be as close to the Urner 10 10 Dreyer's is not on Globe, correct? Berry market as possible? 11 11 A. Because of the competitive product A. Yes. 12 12 Q. Do you recall that? marketplace. 13 13 And I just want to know how I would Q. What does that mean? 14 14 know whether it's not there, and is one way I A. Everybody makes ice cream the same 15 15 could learn whether the information is not on way. 16 16 So if the competitors are also using Globe is by looking at all of the plant 17 locations and if I do not see Laurel, I would be Urner Berry pricing market to purchase their 18 18 confident that I do not have the transaction eggs, then Dreyer's would also want to use the 19 19 data for Dreyer's? Urner Berry market as a basis to price its eggs? 20 20 A. Yes. But transaction was supplied a A. I don't think that's what it's 21 21 different format for the Dreyer's businesses. saying. 22 22 You have them. Q. Then what is it saying? 23 Q. You believe that information was 23 A. It's saying that if we're not close 24 separately supplied? 24 to the market and somebody else is, we have a 25 25 A. Yes. competitive disadvantage. 175 177 Q. So we've talked about formula Q. So there was a desire to use the pricing that's not grain-based pricing. same pricing methodology that Dreyer's Is that pricing that's based on competitors used? A. I don't think that's what it's Urner Berry? A. Urner Berry breaking stock, yes. saying. I think it's saying we've got to use Q. And is that based on monthly Urner the methodology to get us closest to the market. Berry price quotations? Q. And then tell me, again, your A. It could be average monthly, yes. understanding as to what is the competitive Q. How about quarterly? position a reference to then. 10 A. Not knowing how our competition is A. Possibly. 11 11 Q. And I think we discussed in a pricing their eggs or buying their eggs. We 12 12 fixed-priced contract, again, you don't know for know the lowest costs would be close to the 13 13 certain how the vendor set their price for that actual market as possible, so keep me close to 14 14 period of time of the contract, correct? the market. 15 15 A. Yes. Q. And this is a reference from 16 16 Dreyer's. Q. Let's take a look at what we've 17 17 marked as Trask 11. And there's an e-mail from Did you understand that to be a 18 similar belief or desire from the other John Hill on the bottom of the first page to divisions from which you purchased eggs? Bill Trask copying yourself and Steve Warner. Do you see that e-mail? A. No, it is not. 21 Q. This is unique to Dreyer's? A. Yes. 22 Q. And there's a comment from Mr. Hill That's correct. 23 23 on the first paragraph, "As I think you know, How were others different? 24 24 Dreyer's senior management has a very strong Eggs play a much smaller impact on the cost of goods to build the products, and, bias to being as close to the market as possible

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

	170		100
	178		180
1	therefore, it's not so critical.	1	associated with Dreyer's?
2	Q. Not so critical to be what?	2	A. Yeah. He's the he's the NBS,
3	A. Away from the market.	3	Nestlé Business Services representative
4	Q. You mentioned approximately 24	4 5	stationed at Dreyer's.
5 6	million annual spend on eggs for Nestlé,	6	Q. Got it.
7	correct?	7	So this is an e-mail relating to
8	A. That's correct.	8	purchases for Dreyer's?
9	Q. What portion of that is eggs for	9	A. Mm-hmm.
10	Dreyer's?	10	Q. Does Dreyer's purchase egg whites?
11	A. I do not know a separation.	11	A. No, they do not. Well, I don't know the answer to that.
12	Q. How would you know?	12	
13	A. I'd have to run a query in the	13	Q. But you understand principally
14	system.	14	Dreyer's would be purchasing yolks?
15	Q. Let's go to what's been marked as Trask 12.	15	A. Yolks.
16		16	Q. And so why is there a reference here
17	A. 12, you say? Correct. Q. This is an e-mail from Bill Trask to	17	to whites if Dreyer's is purchasing yolks? A. If whites climb in value, yolks
18		18	could potentially drop.
19	Mr. Mace, copying you. There's a comment, the	19	Q. Is that what your understanding
20	second sentence well, let's begin at the top.	20	normally occurs?
21	"Ballas is now running even with Michael Foods."	21	A. That's the only thing that could be
22	Do you know what that's a reference	22	referring to. It doesn't always occur that way.
23	to?	23	Q. So let's go to Exhibit 12,
24	A. No, I do not.	24	Trask I'm sorry. Trask 13.
25	Q. And the next statement, "Unless the	25	A. 13, right.
	G. 7 and the Hoxt statement, Chiese the		7. 13, nght.
	179		181
1	whites start climbing in value, I would start	1	Q. Was the pricing relationship between
2	leaning on Michael Foods to deliver product."	2	yolk and white something that Nestlé looked at?
3	Do you know what that's in reference	3	A. Not really. It was anecdotal for us
4	to?	4	because it changes nothing.
5	 It could be which to buy from the 	5	Q. What do you mean "it changes
6	same material. We saw that previously.	6	nothing"?
7	 Q. Where Michael Foods is offering the 	7	A. The if we're on a formula price
8	fixed price and Ballas is the formula price?	8	with breaking stock, it doesn't change the value
9	A. Well, it could be either/or. It	9	of breaking stock. If we're on a fixed price
10	could be either direction. They're just saying	10	off of Urner Berry history, it doesn't change
11	switch it around.	11	Urner Berry history.
12	Q. Let's go to Trask Exhibit 13. Let	12	Q. If we look at Exhibit 13, this is an
13	me go back to 12.	13	e-mail from Mr. Lewis to John Hill. You're
14	There's the reference to whites, egg	14	copied, and there's a comment, "Granted, it does
15	whites, correct?	15	not get into what if scenarios should a
16	A. On 12?	16	replacement be found for yolks, but I wanted to
17	Q. Yes.	17	address the questions about why yolks haven't
18	A. Where?	18	followed wholes and whites closely when they've
19	Q. Second sentence, "Unless the whites	19	shown weakness."
20	start climbing in value."	20	What is that reference to?
21	A. Okay.	21	A. There must have been some type of
22 23	Q. And Monte Mace was with Dreyer's,	22	discussion about how much those yolks are
23	correct?	23	costing and somebody in R&D must be working on a
25	A. No. Monte Mace is with NBS.	24	replacement for them. You know, instead of
II ~~	Q. Okay. Was he at a time in 2008	25	buying yolks. That's the only thing I can
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

182 184 assume. read it three times and I don't agree with some of the parts of the statement. So I didn't Q. And possibly buying a different product to substitute? write it. I can't explain it. Q. What do you not agree with? A. Maybe. It never happened that I'm A. The relationship of -- comparing aware of. Q. Let's take a look at the next page. vital wheat gluten to other -- I don't know what And there's a description under the bullet Why. they're trying to say here. And again, do you understand Why to Q. Is it fair to say you just don't be answering the question, why the price of understand what they're trying to say here? 10 10 yolks have not always followed the price of A. I don't understand it. 11 11 whites? Q. Not that you disagree, you just 12 12 don't understand? A. Let me see. Let me read it. 13 13 (Document review.) A. I've never heard anybody compare 14 14 Okay. Now your question is? wheat gluten to egg whites. 15 15 The question is: What is the Q. You understand that's what's being 16 16 question Why? What is this purporting to done here? 17 17 answer? A. It appears to be, but I don't think 18 A. The market situation above. this makes sense to me. 19 19 Q. And the market situation being yolks Q. What about the next bullet. "Low 20 20 being the price leader compared to wholes and white demand means that if an egg is separated 21 21 whites? to provide yolks, yolks are going to bear the 22 22 A. I would say so, yes. majority of the cost." 23 Q. And what does that mean to you? 23 A. Yes. True. 24 A. That means at the current time yolks 24 Q. And what do you understand that to 25 are in demand, therefore, they're going up in mean? 183 185 price. A. It means if -- if you're breaking Q. Would you agree that factors other eggs to get yolks, the whites are left behind, than the supply of yolk drive the price of yolk? so somebody has got to pay for that egg. So the A. Demand. Demand drives the price of yolk is going to be a premium price. The white is going to stay behind and get dried. yolk, yeah. Q. Do factors other than supply or Q. Let's go to Trask 14. demand drive the price of yolk? This is an e-mail from Mr. Lewis to A. No, not in my opinion. Bill Trask. You're copied. Q. What about the demands for white? A. Mm-hmm. 10 10 Would that have any impact on price of yolk? Q. And the e-mail at the bottom of 11 11 A. It could, but doesn't. If for some page 1 is a note to Mr. Lewis and Mr. Trask, and 12 12 reason there was a huge demand for white, then he references, "It may be applicable for Buitoni 13 13 you'd end up with a surplus of yolk, so you'd or cookie dough." 14 14 have a drop of price, but that's never happened And then the top e-mail suggests 15 15 that I'm aware of. from Mr. Lewis, "This might be a way to further 16 Q. Let's take a look at the Why here as reduce eggs in Toll House cookie dough since it 17 17 explaining this yolk white pricing. "Relatively involves replacing egg protein with whey 18 18 inelastic demand due to few alternatives versus protein." 19 egg whites, which compete against other Do you see that? 20 proteins." A. I want to read that. Do you see that? 22 A. Yes. (Document review.) Okay. And the Α. 23 23 Q. Is this a recognition that whites question is? 24 have more substitutes or alternatives available? Q. Is this a reference to a possibility of replacing or reducing the amount of eggs with A. I don't know what that means. I've

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

188 186 affairs. an alternative source of protein? A. It seems to refer to that. Q. How long has she held that title? Through my tenure, so it's at least Q. Was it your experience that some egg Α. product purchasers reduced the amount of eggs by 2008. replacing those eggs with a non-egg substitute? Q. And you understand she has A. It's not my experience. affiliations with Food Marketing Institute? Q. You're not familiar with that? A. I think so. A. Not familiar with it. Q. And also with Grocers Manufacturing 9 Q. Do you know what the result of this Association? 10 10 direction from Mr. Warner was? A. I think so, yes. 11 11 A. I do not, and it's never happened. Q. What have you spoken to her about in 12 12 Q. What do you mean "it's never connection with those two groups? 13 13 A. Nothing. happened"? 14 14 A. We didn't replace eggs. Q. Have you spoken to anyone at Nestlé 15 15 Q. There's that comment in the first about Nestlé's affiliation with those two 16 16 full sentence, "This might be a way to further groups? 17 reduce eggs in Toll House cookie dough." A. I asked my assistant to check and 18 18 Do you see that? see if we were associated with FMI and she 19 19 Yes. reported back to me that public affairs says 20 20 Q. Had the eggs in Toll House cookie we're a member of FMI and GMA. 21 21 dough been reduced? Q. Did you learn anything other than 22 A. I wouldn't know because this was 22 the fact that Nestlé was members of those 23 dated August 29th, which would be one day after 23 organizations? 24 I joined the company. So I don't know what the 24 A. Nothing whatsoever. 25 past was. That was it? 187 189 Q. Is Nestlé a member of any trade A. That was it. group? THE WITNESS: Take that off the A. I believe we're a member of a number record so these people will let me go, you know? of them. I know we're a member of GMA, Grocery I'm a learner Manufacturers Association, and I think FMI as BY MR. BOETTGE: well. Q. Do you know or have any awareness of Q. Do you have any involvement with Food Marketing Institute addressing the issue of either of those groups? animal welfare? A. None whatsoever. A. I think that in some of my blurbs I 10 10 get in e-mail I've seen something, but I don't Q. Did you ever have any discussions 11 11 with anyone at Nestlé who does have contacts recall specifically what it is, and I'm not in 12 12 with either of those groups? the newsletter or anything like that. 13 13 Q. What about GMA? Do you have any A. Yes, I do. 14 14 Q. Who are those individuals? understanding that GMA has --15 15 A. Ros O'Hearn, who's our A. I know nothing about them. 16 16 vice president of public affairs. Q. What do you recall from the e-mails 17 17 Q. Say that name again. that you received that FMI is involved or has 18 18 A. Ros O'Hearn, O'H-E-A-R-N. addressed the issue of animal welfare? 19 Q. Rossum [sic]? A. Very little, except I seem to have a A. Ros. Oh, I'm sorry. Ros is the remote recollection of seeing some reference to first name. Rosalyn. it. Didn't ponder it. Didn't pay too much Q. Got it. attention to it. 23 23 A. And the last name, O'Hearn, O'Hearn. Q. Who at Nestlé would be most 24 24 Q. What's her title? knowledgeable as to the roles that FMI has A. She's vice president of public played in connection with animal welfare?

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

190 192 A. I would have to say Ros O'Hearn on animal welfare since the end of 2008, so it's would be the one. an initiative that has been growing in strength Q. And that's the name with GMA? in all the years since then. So it wasn't one A. I think that's where we have to starting point. Q. Is the sustainable audit something start, yes. Q. Any other trade groups that you're that's new? A. The actual formal audit is something aware of? A. Not that I'm aware of. that's new, but the inspection of facilities Q. Are animal welfare issues important that sell to us has been going on for a long, 10 10 to Nestlé? long time. 11 11 A. Yes, they are. Q. And do you know when the inspections Q. Why? 12 12 began looking at how hens were treated? 13 13 A. No, I do not, and I don't know if A. The condition of animals is 14 14 paramount in food quality, food safety. they've been done yet on the sustainability 15 15 Additionally, our consumers don't want animals group. That's a brand new process. 16 to be harmed in the making of their food or Q. Okay. This process of looking at 17 17 inhumanely treated. We as an organization feel how hens are treated is -- in your understanding 18 a responsibility to the environment and to the that's new? 19 animals, that they shouldn't suffer. A. No. The sustainability group on the 20 20 Q. Have you been aware of any special audit is new. The inspection of 21 21 initiatives within Nestlé on the subject of facilities that supply to us is at least ten 22 22 animal welfare? years old and they would not approve of a place 23 A. It's now included in our -- part of 23 that was treating animals inhumanely. 24 24 our sustainability audits. Q. Do you know if their standards have 25 25 Q. What do you mean by that? changed over the past ten years --191 193 A. We want to ensure that the vendors A. I do not. we buy from are not doing more harm than good Q. -- as to whether an animal being and are making sure that these agricultural treated inhumanely? commodities continue to be able to be available A. I do not. I only get a pass/fail to future generations, and so animal welfare is and it's not input. one of the considerations that they go out and Q. Have you had any discussions with rate the vendor, they make sure of humane anyone as to what is involved in determining treatment. whether animals are being treated humanely? Q. In connection with eggs, what does A. No, I have not. 10 10 that mean? Q. Are you aware of any egg vendors 11 11 A. If -- well, it's not if. When the failing a test as to their animals not being 12 12 plant is inspected, their factory would get a treated humanely? 13 failing grade if they were discovered to have 13 A. Not that's supplied to me. 14 unsanitary conditions that weren't good for the 14 Q. Egg vendors that supply --15 15 birds or the eggs or if the birds were A. Oh, I thought you said ag vendors. 16 16 unnecessarily suffering. Agricultural. 17 17 Q. What criteria would the audit group Q. I'm sorry. 18 18 use to determine if the birds were suffering? No, I don't know of any that have 19 19 A. I do not know. I'm not intimated failed. 20 with the standards. Q. Other than the sustainable audit 21 Q. Who would know? process, are you aware of any corporate 22 22 Central audit group, I believe. statement or announcement of principles relating 23 23 Q. And when did that initiative come to animal welfare? 24 24 A. There are statements out on our into being? A. We've been putting increasing focus website that's available to the public and to

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

50 (Pages 194 to 197)

196 194 vendors. A. I can't answer that. I don't do the Q. Do you know if those statements have audits. I don't see the actual results. I just changed? see the outcome. A. I do not. Q. So when you mention there's kind of Q. Who would know? been a growing focus on animal welfare, what do A. I think probably public affairs you base that statement on? manages the information out there. A. I base that on the introduction of Q. Is public affairs also the group the animal welfare matter into the responsible that would make the decision whether to change sourcing audits. 10 10 any aspect of its animal welfare statement? Q. Pause there. Introduction of the 11 11 A. No, I think there would be more animal welfare --12 12 people involved, but I'm not sure whom. It A. As a part of responsible sourcing. 13 13 would probably come from Vevey. Q. And that used to not be part of 14 Q. From who? 14 responsible sourcing? 15 15 A. No. It wasn't responsible sourcing A. Vevey, Switzerland, our parent 16 16 audits that were done previously. company. 17 17 Q. Are you aware of any announcements Q. So I'm confused. 18 18 from Switzerland regarding animal welfare? Were there two different types of 19 19 A. I am not. audits? 20 20 Q. So other than the sustainability A. Yeah, two different audits, correct. 21 21 One is for food safety -audits that you understand there's been kind of 22 22 a movement toward more -- well, why don't you Q. Okay. And the food safety audits 23 describe what you mean by evolution with respect 23 have been done for as long as you can remember? 24 24 to the sustainable audits. A. That's correct. 25 A. Well, over a period of time the Q. And you're not sure whether animal 197 195 focus on the audits have broadened. In fact, welfare is looked at in connection with a food they're, really under what's called RSA, safety audit? responsible sourcing audits, that cover all A. No. I am sure that an inspector who these issues. sees an animal being mistreated will report it Q. So if I understand, for the past ten and we will not be able to buy from them because years, egg vendors have been audited. that's the standards that we operate under. A. All vendors have been audited. Q. But separately you're aware of a Q. Okay. And do you have an movement toward responsible source audits? A. An improvement into the auditing of understanding as to ten years ago, whether those 10 10 audits included the welfare of the hens? those particular areas, humane treatment of 11 11 A. I do not know. I can only go back animals. Sustainability of the agricultural 12 to eight years ago, seven years ago, when I commodity. Fair and equitable pay to the people 13 13 started, and, yes, it would include that. We that are doing the work. 14 14 would not buy from somebody who is mistreating Q. And do you know whether, in fact, 15 15 animals. there have been any responsible source audits of 16 Q. And again, whether an animal was egg vendors yet? 17 17 mistreated or not was something that you don't A. I do not. 18 18 know how that was determined? Q. And likewise, you wouldn't know what 19 A. I don't know. standards are being used to determine welfare? 20 20 Q. And you don't know whether that's A. I do not. 21 Q. And that would be public affairs who changed, the standard as to whether a hen is being mistreated or not? would be most knowledgeable? 23 23 A. No, I do not know. A. I think that's the place to go, yes. 24 24 Q. So what do you understand has Other than the movement to changed with respect to audits of egg vendors? responsible source audits, are you aware of any

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

198 200 other changes in the company's policy regarding of the UEP program? animal welfare? A. I do not know. A. No. Q. Who would know? Q. Do you know why the company has A. I don't know that either. changed and is now moving toward responsible Q. Do you know if Nestlé believed that the certified program would have an impact on A. I don't think it's changed. I think egg supply? it's evolved into covering more and more areas. A. I don't know. Q. And why? What's your understanding Q. And likewise, do you know if Nestlé 10 10 believed the certified program would have an 11 11 A. It's good for people. It's good for impact on prices? 12 12 the environment. Without sustainability, there A. I don't know that either. 13 13 will be nothing to harvest to put in these Q. Currently, does Nestlé have an 14 foods. And food safety is the utmost concern. 14 understanding that the certified program has had 15 15 MR. CAMPBELL: Watch your mic. an impact on supply? 16 16 THE WITNESS: Go ahead. A. I believe it has from understanding 17 17 Sorry about that. the basis to this lawsuit. 18 BY MR. BOETTGE: Q. Separate from that, does Nestlé 19 19 Q. Other than public affairs, are there have --20 20 any groups at Nestlé involved in any aspect of A. Separate from the lawsuit, I 21 21 wouldn't have an opinion on it affecting supply. the promotion or consideration of animal 22 22 welfare? Q. How does Nestlé believe the 23 A. I don't believe so. 23 certified program has impacted prices? 24 Q. When did Nestlé first learn of the 24 MR. CAMPBELL: Object to that. 25 25 UEP animal welfare program? Instruct him not to answer to the extent that 199 201 A. I can't answer that. It was the answer would depend upon discussions with the -- they had knowledge of it when I arrived counsel. If he has independent knowledge of that, then he may answer. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, it was THE WITNESS: Independently, if all the egg producers were together, aside from just what? THE WITNESS: They had knowledge of considering the safety of the animals, they have it prior to my start here. to discuss outputs or controlling outputs or BY MR. BOETTGE: killing excess birds that could get into the Q. Did you do anything to educate laying flock, it would have a group in one 10 10 yourself as to Nestlé's reaction to that UEP industry doing everything that they're not 11 program prior to you starting at the company? supposed to be doing, and that's discuss pricing 12 12 and supply. So it would effect price. A. I did not. 13 13 Q. And what is Nestlé's understanding BY MR. BOETTGE: 14 14 of the UEP program? Q. How would it affect price? 15 15 A. Nestlé or mine? A. If they adjusted supply based upon 16 Q. As the corporate representative, 16 that group's discussions. 17 17 let's go with Nestlé. Q. Do you have any knowledge that, in 18 18 A. UEP is a group of egg producers who fact, they did adjust supply? 19 joined together in order to ensure that the A. I do not. 20 animals were treated humanely, and if you Q. Do you have any understanding as to 21 21 complied, you got a UEP certification. whether there's any relationship between the 22 22 Q. Is that your personal understanding certified program and supply? 23 23 as well? Α. I do not. 24 A. That's correct. 24 Q. And likewise, I'll ask on behalf of 25 Q. Does Nestlé support the preservation Nestlé.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

52 (Pages 202 to 205)

202	204
Does Nestlé have any understanding	or Humane Society or like groups had contacted
² as to a relationship between the certified	Nestlé with animal welfare concerns?
3 program and the supply of hens?	³ A. I did not.
4 MR. CAMPBELL: Again, I object to	⁴ Q. What do you understand about this
5 the extent that Nestlé's understanding comes	5 most recent contact by PETA?
from my advice to Nestlé	⁶ A. I actually think it was a palm oil
⁷ THE WITNESS: Right.	or something unrelated to anything I buy,
8 MR. CAMPBELL: as its counsel,	8 someplace in southeast Asia. I know very little
9 then I instruct you not to answer.	⁹ about it. I just had heard that we were
But if Nestlé, to your knowledge,	10 contacted.
any understanding outside of that, then you may	Q. Understand.
answer.	30 specific to eggs, are you aware
THE WITHESS. NO, FOO HOL. FOO	or any contact by an animal rights group like
14 not. 15 BY MR. BOETTGE:	the Humane Society or PETA contacting Nestlé as to how its vendors treat hens that supply eggs
Q. And again, speaking on behalf of	to now its vendors treat heris that supply eggs 16 to Nestlé?
And again, speaking on behalf of myself.	17 A. No, I do not.
Q. How about as Nestlé as the corporate	Q. Does Nestlé purchase cage-free eggs?
19 representative?	A. Yes.
THE WITNESS: I was not instructed	²⁰ Q. For what groups?
not to answer.	A. Dreyer's Ice Cream.
MR. CAMPBELL: Well, no. You may	²² Q. When did Nestlé decide to purchase
answer if you know whether Nestlé as opposed to	²³ cage free for Dreyer's?
you has any knowledge about that.	²⁴ A. Approximately four years ago.
²⁵ THE WITNESS: Ask the question	²⁵ Q. Who supplies those eggs?
203	205
¹ again.	¹ A. I don't recall.
² BY MR. BOETTGE:	² Q. Who was involved in making the
³ Q. Okay.	decision?
⁴ A. On a field here.	⁴ A. Dreyer's Ice Cream marketing. I
5 Q. On behalf of Nestlé	5 don't know the person's name.
⁶ A. Yes.	⁶ Q. Do you know why they made that
Q does Nestlé have any	⁷ decision?
understanding as to the relationship between the	8 A. Because their major competitor
⁹ certified program and the supply of hens?	9 was had done it.
A. I do not believe so.	Q. So this is like UEP purchase of
Q. Are you aware or any animal wellare	OEF eggs following ben & Jerry S?
groups like the Humane Society of FETA	A. Correct.
 approaching Nestlé about animal welfare? A. Yes. 	 Q. Any other groups at Nestlé purchase cage-free eggs?
15 Q. What are you aware of that?	Lage-free eggs?
A. We've been contacted by PETA.	A. No. 16 Q. Does Nestlé take animal welfare
Q. When did that occur?	standards into account when making egg product
A. In the last year, I believe.	18 purchase decisions?
Q. Do you know if they were contacted	¹⁹ A. Just right now it has to be UEP
earlier by PETA?	²⁰ certified.
A. I do not.	²¹ Q. And I think we talked, you're not
Q. Possible they were; you don't know?	familiar when that occurred?
²³ A. Anything is possible.	²³ A. No, I am not.
²⁴ Q. Did you do anything to educate	²⁴ Q. To your knowledge, was that in place
yourself for this deposition as to whether PETA	when you started in August of 2008?
	ı II

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

206 208 A. I don't believe so. started, or when you started, you had an understanding that the UEP certified requirement Q. I think you indicated Nestlé was purchasing UEP certified eggs, to your existed as to only one part of Nestlé, correct? A. The UEP eggs that were coming in understanding, back to 2007, correct? were by happenstance. Somebody who was selling A. I think so. us that had UEP eggs, that's what we took. And Q. But when you began in August 2008, over the period of time, we realized the it wasn't requiring all of its egg suppliers to be UEP certified, correct? importance of the experience and we expanded it. A. Correct. So it wasn't by design one company, one brand. 10 10 Q. Well, isn't it fair to say that at Q. So I guess that would lead to the 11 11 some point Dreyer's required UEP certified? conclusion that some of the eggs Nestlé was 12 12 A. Yes. I think that started before I buying at that time were certified and some were 13 13 not certified, correct? came in. 14 A. I believe so. 14 Q. Okay. And that was a hard, fast 15 15 Q. And why was it that some of the eggs rule, correct? 16 A. That's correct, yes. Nestlé was buying were certified and some were 17 17 Q. If you could not supply UEP 18 A. I don't think all the suppliers were certified eggs, you could not sell to Dreyer's, 19 UEP certified at that time. That would be correct? 20 20 my -- my guess at it. I don't know the answer A. You understand correctly. 21 21 Q. But that requirement that all eggs to it otherwise. 22 22 Q. Doesn't Nestlé always have the must be UEP certified was specific to Dreyer's 23 ability to change suppliers to require UEP 23 at the time? 24 certification? 24 A. That was the only place that had the 25 A. It depends on the timing. Are we in requirement, yes. 209 contract or are other vendors approved. There's Q. For other parts of Nestlé, there was many factors. not -- there was no requirement? Q. So is it your understanding when you A. That's correct. started in August of 2008, there was a -- well, Q. And your understanding is over time, let me step back. Nestlé began to demand all of its eggs be UEP Was it your testimony that when you certified eggs? began in 2008, Nestlé was purchasing some eggs A. Yes. that were not certified? Q. And I think when I asked as to the A. I believe so, yes. reason that the requirement existed in some 10 Q. And then at some later point, parts of the company, not other parts of the 11 11 it -- well, let me step back. company, you were noting supply may not have 12 12 Was there a requirement in been as prevalent? 13 13 A. Yeah, it may not have been the August 2008 when you started that all eggs 14 14 should be UEP certified? vendor we were buying from as UEP certified. 15 15 A. No. Q. Okay. But there was certainly a 16 16 Q. That requirement came later? time where non-Dreyer's parts of the company did 17 17 not require UEP certified eggs, correct? 18 So prior to your starting, there was A. Correct. 19 Q. Why was it that it was a requirement a requirement for some eggs purchased by Nestlé to be UEP certified, but that requirement didn't that Dreyer's have UEP certified eggs but at the extend across the whole company? same time there was not a requirement that other 22 A. No. parts of Nestlé have UEP certified eggs? 23 23 Q. It did not extend -- bad question. A. They were meeting a competitive 24 24 A. Yeah, bad question. Try it again. situation. Q. All right. So back before you Q. I think you had mentioned earlier

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

210 212 discussions with others at Nestlé relating to Q. Looking at the top e-mail then from legislation in California relating to the Mr. Lewis. production of eggs. A. Are we still on 15? Do you recall that? Q. We are. A. Yes, I do. Okay. Q. And what was your understanding as Q. -- to John Brommer and copying to the impact of that legislation on Nestlé? Bill Trask, he thanks Mr. Brommer for providing A. The increased requirement of space information about the upcharge, and he states, will drive out the egg producers in California, "What we're doing is preparing to answer 10 10 thus, generating greater freight for me to questions if Häagen-Dazs' preference for UEP, by 11 11 deliver eggs to California. implication, puts pressure on other Nestlé 12 12 Q. Do you recognize that a lack of brands." 13 13 clarity as to animal welfare standards could Do you see that? 14 14 lead producers to not add capacity? A. Yes, I do. 15 15 A. Do I recognize it? Q. What's your understanding as to what 16 Mr. Lewis means there? Q. Yes. A. Possibly. A. Use of UEP eggs at Häagen-Dazs could 18 18 Q. Why don't you take a look at Trask lead to consumer pressure or marketing pressure 19 15 for a moment. to get all brands into UEP. 20 20 Q. Do you know at this time, A. Okay. 21 21 Q. And looking at the bottom e-mail on August 2008, does this reflect that Häagen-Dazs 22 the second page, it's an e-mail from a Mr. Lewis 22 was using UEP eggs? 23 to John Brommer. 23 A. This is before my tenure. 24 Are you familiar with John Brommer? 24 You're right. By about two weeks, 25 25 Yes, I am. right? 211 213 Q. Who is John Brommer? A. Yeah. Q. Yeah. Do you know when you started A. John Brommer worked for, I think, whether Häagen-Dazs was using UEP eggs? Michael Foods, but he sold us eggs. That's what I know. A. I do not. Q. Okay. And there's a comment here Q. You don't know either way? from Mr. Lewis, "What upcharge would there be if A. I don't know either way. Danville were to ask for UEP whole eggs." Q. Are you aware of discussions at the Do you see that? company as to pressure if some brands of Nestlé were using UEP certified but other brands were A. Yes, I do. 10 10 Q. And what's that a reference to? 11 11 A. The higher cost that UEP eggs A. I'm not -- I've never been involved 12 12 in any discussions such as that. 13 13 Q. What was Danville? Q. Does this e-mail reflect there was 14 14 A. Danville is Buitoni. It's a some discussion of that pressure? 15 15 factory. A. I don't know what drove Mr. Lewis to 16 16 Q. So is this a question were write it. 17 17 Michael Foods to supply -- were the eggs that Q. Let's go to the next document, 18 Michael Foods supplied to Buitoni converted to Trask 16. 19 UEP, would there be additional cost? A. Whoops. I jump from 15 to 16 to 17. 20 A. That's how I read -- understand it. What does that look like? Q. So is it your understanding at that THE WITNESS: Let me take yours. 22 time the eggs that were being supplied were not MR. CAMPBELL: Take that. 23 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. 24 24 BY MR. BOETTGE: A. I think we can conclude that, but I don't know that. Q. And here now you're copied on the

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

55 (Pages 214 to 217)

214 216 top e-mail; it's an e-mail from Dale Bohman. Discussions just about them? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Q. And I want to take a look at the Yes. last page -- well, let's step back. Q. What do you understand about those The subject is September CFO update. discussions? Do you see that at the bottom of the A. Availability and additional cost. first e-mail? Q. And your understanding is A. Yes, I do. Häagen-Dazs today uses UEP certified egg? 9 Q. Do you understand this to be a CFO A. Yes. 10 10 update? Q. And do you recall when Häagen-Dazs 11 A. Yes, I do. 11 began to use certified egg? 12 12 A. Sometime in 2008, I think, it Q. Okay. Did purchasing update the CFO 13 from time to time relating to eggs? 13 started. 14 14 A. Yes. Q. Let's go to what's been marked as 15 15 Q. How frequently did it provide the Exhibit 17. 16 updates? A. I think I got 17. 17 A. I'm not trying to be a wise guy. It Q. And let's go to the second page of 18 18 was -- we updated the CFO quarterly, but not on the exhibit, and let's start at the bottom 19 19 every -- every material, every quarter. e-mail, which is from Denise Shurney. 20 20 Q. Got it. Do you see that? 21 21 If you note, the first bullet point A. Yes, I do. 22 discusses a strategy "moving between fixed and 22 Q. And are you familiar with 23 formula pricing as markets dictate." 23 Miss Shurney? 24 Do you see that? 24 A. Yes, I know Denise. 25 25 A. Yes, I do. Q. Is she still with the company? 215 217 Q. What's your understanding as to what A. Yes, she is. is mean by that? Q. Does she work in the same group that A. Move from a fixed price against the Ros O'Hearn works? A. No. Urner Berry historical breaking stock to a formula price which is breaking stock plus Q. Ros O'Hearn is public affairs? overages. A. Public affairs. Q. And again, when you say fixed price Q. This is regulatory affairs. based on historical Urner Berry, again, you A. Regulatory affairs. don't know how a producer has set their fixed Q. And how are they different? 10 10 price; is that correct? A. Regulatory affairs deals with the 11 A. That is true. 11 conformance to the government regulations. 12 Q. And in the next bullet is, "Evaluate 12 Q. And there's a comment that 13 alternatives to sugar yolks in Häagen-Dazs." 13 Miss Shurney makes at the bottom of this second 14 14 Do you know what that's a reference page. "Animal raising claims are an 15 to? 15 informative, consumer communication that could 16 A. Not at all. 16 differentiate our products from our 17 Q. In the last bullet. "Challenge use 17 competitors." 18 of animal welfare eggs in Häagen-Dazs." Do you agree with that statement? 19 Again, do you have an understanding 19 A. I think that's her opinion. I don't 20 as to what was being meant by challenging the agree with it. 21 use of animal welfare eggs? Q. You don't agree with it? 22 A. I do not. 22 Α. 23 Q. Do you recall any discussions at 23 What is it that you disagree with? 24 Nestlé relating to the use of certified eggs in 24 A. This is my personal disagreement. I 25 Häagen-Dazs product? don't believe that animal claims are a driver of

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

56 (Pages 218 to 221)

218 220 food buying decisions. end of the e-mail, "A side issue that Mary may Q. Do you understand animal raising be aware of is what does an animal welfare claim claims could differentiate Nestlé products from by one Nestlé brand imply about other Nestlé another manufacturer's product? brands." A. They may, but I'm not a marketing What did you understand Mr. Lewis to person. I'm a buyer. mean there? A. It's a great question. If you Q. Do you have an understanding that the comment made by Miss Shurney relating to broadcast that you're using animal welfare eggs animal raising claims as a means to for Häagen-Dazs, what does that say? If you 10 10 don't say a bunch of other brands would mean differentiate products being an opinion that was 11 11 held by others at Nestlé? you're not using animal welfare eggs. So it 12 A. I do not know that. 12 just error of omission or too much information. 13 13 You tell me. Q. Let's look at the e-mail directly 14 14 above that from Mr. Lewis, which you're copied, Q. And that would create a concern for 15 15 and there's a reference about "We are addressing Nestlé? 16 16 this issue with Häagen-Dazs right now. A. Of course, because you don't want to 17 Häagen-Dazs wants to use animal welfare eggs. give people the wrong impression. 18 We pay a premium for those and are limited in Q. And how would that be conveying a 19 our supplier base." wrong impression? 20 20 Do you see that? A. If you're touting it from one brand, 21 21 you must be selecting that brand and doing A. Yes, I do. 22 22 something special for it as opposed to all your Q. Does that refresh your recollection 23 as to whether Häagen-Dazs at this time was using 23 brands. So if you're not going to do it for 24 certified eggs? 24 all, don't tout it for one. 25 25 A. Well, this was written in October. Q. Do you know how that question was 219 221 I said I -- I know that I thought it started in resolved at Nestlé? 2008, so that would support what I say, no? A. There was no concerted effort to Q. Do you have an understanding from convert everybody over to UEP eggs in any one looking at this e-mail whether at this time fell swoop. Häagen-Dazs was using certified eggs? Q. Say that again. A. I don't know. I just don't recall. A. There was no directive to convert I can't tell you. everybody to UEP eggs with a deadline or one Q. Understood. fell swoop. Do it as you can. Q. Again, and that's a distinction from A reference to H-D wanting to use 10 10 animal welfare eggs. Dreyer's which was a one fell swoop, they must 11 11 Do you see that? all be as to the others, do it as you can. 12 12 A. Right. A. Yes. 13 13 Q. Do you understand more about that? Q. What did you understand was meant by 14 14 animal welfare eggs? Were there discussions you were involved in that 15 15 A. UEP. That's the only thing that I reflected on this, do it as you can? 16 knew of as a terminology. A. No. No. It's just we built it into 17 17 Q. The reference to paying a premium 3 the budget and paid for it in future years. 18 to \$400,000 for those, "those" being animal That's all. welfare UEP certified eggs? Q. Were you involved in the decision 20 for Nestlé to move to certified egg in these A. I believe that's correct. 21 21 Q. And limited in supplier base, what other divisions? 22 22 does that mean? A. Not at all. 23 23 A. Not all our vendors were UEP Q. And that again came from the 24 24 certified. marketing group for each of the other divisions? Q. And then there's a comment at the A. That's right. Or the leadership

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

57 (Pages 222 to 225)

222 224 team in those divisions. I believe what we're talking Q. There's a comment here about the about -- this is the second bullet, but I suggest you read the full bullet -- relates to "Long-term impact be in terms of raw material animal raising claims. supply? A. Which paragraph are we on here? A. Okay. (Document review.) This is that same e-mail from So they're trying to infer that Mr. Lewis in the middle of the second page. we're going to use high -- be animal welfare A. Well, they already -- Mr. Lewis conscious and make a claim and somebody else who already warns that there's a limited amount of isn't animal welfare conscious is going to make 10 animal welfare eggs just for Häagen-Dazs. I the same claim? Doesn't sound reasonable to me. 11 11 think he's just cautioning that there may not be Is that what you think it means? 12 12 enough for everybody if all the other brands Q. Let's step back. 13 13 jump in. Why don't you explain to me what you 14 14 understand Miss Marr was referring to in her Q. Let's move then to the first page of 15 15 the e-mail chain, and this is an e-mail from e-mail. 16 16 A. I -- I -- it's too far afield. I Mary Marr. 17 17 Do you know who Mary Marr is? don't know her. This is somewhat a confusing 18 A. I do not. statement particularly and I'm afraid to try to 19 Q. There's a comment on the second guess what she means. So I can't tell you what 20 bullet of Miss Marr's October 24 e-mail. She she means. 21 21 Q. And then Mr. Lewis forwards the states, "As for the specific notice listed 22 22 e-mail to you and notes the discussion he had below, Ed noted that we would be in favor of 23 supporting standardized guidelines for 'animal 23 with Mary Marr. 24 raising claims' for meat and poultry." 24 Do you see that at the top of the 25 25 Did you have an understanding that e-mail chain? Directly at the top? 223 225 Nestlé was in favor of standardized guidelines? A. Oh, yes, I do. A. For specifically animal raising Q. Okay. And do you recall having a claims? discussion with Mr. Lewis about these topics? A. Absolutely not. Q. Correct. A. Yeah, no, I was never aware of that. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 11, Q. Did you have discussions with anyone at Nestlé relating to the use of standardized E-Mail Chain w/Attachment, Bates Labeled NES00000394-00000396, was animal welfare guidelines? A. I have not. marked for purposes of 10 10 Q. In that last sentence of that same identification.) 11 11 paragraph it notes, "We (Nestlé) hold ourselves 12 12 to a very high standard." Q. What I'm showing you, Mr. Feyman, 13 13 has been marked Feyman Exhibit 11. It's a Do you agree with that? 14 14 A. Yes, I do. document Bates numbered MFI0259547. It's an 15 15 Q. "And with the current system another e-mail from -- or it's correspondence addressed 16 16 company could have an unfair competitive to Mr. Steve Warner. 17 17 Do you see that? advantage making the same claim but using a low 18 standard." A. I do, yes. 19 Do you see that? Q. And I want to direct your attention 20 20 to the fourth paragraph relating to RFP pricing. A. Yes, I do. 21 21 Q. Do you agree that that would be a And there's a comment about 22 22 "committed to packaging dried whole egg or yolks 23 23 into super sack containers for the Danville A. I don't even know what it means. 24 24 What claim are we talking about here? plant." Q. Fair point. Do you see that?

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

58 (Pages 226 to 229)

226 228 A. Yes, I do. A. I am not aware of any that do so. Q. And was the opportunity to have Q. You don't know one way or the other? A. No, I'm not aware of any that super sack containers a benefit to Nestlé? provide a rebate. A. It would be a benefit. Q. How so? - - - - -A. Less material handling, lower cost. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 12, Q. Do you know if all your suppliers E-Mail Chain w/Attachment, Bates were able to provide super sack containers? Labeled MFI0259554-0259555, was A. I do not. marked for purposes of 10 10 Q. But that would be a consideration in identification.) 11 11 deciding to purchase eggs from Michael Foods. 12 12 the fact that they could supply super sack Q. Showing you what we've marked as 13 containers, correct? 13 Feyman Exhibit 12, and this is a document from 14 14 A. Called a competitive advantage. Michael Foods again. 15 15 THE WITNESS: I'm so tangled in this A. I didn't get a sticker on mine. 16 16 Q. Oh, I apologize. You get the chair. 17 17 MR. BOETTGE: Let's take a short stickered copy. 18 break. This is an e-mail from Michael Foods 19 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:22. MFI0259554. Again, I'm providing you a redacted 20 20 We're off the record. copy; provided your counsel with an unredacted 21 21 (Recess taken.) сору. 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 22 The e-mail at the bottom is from 23 record. The time is 1:34. 23 Mr. Steve Warner to a Pres Colwell. 24 BY MR. BOETTGE: 24 Do you see that? 25 25 Q. I want to direct your attention to A. I do. 227 229 what we marked yesterday as Trask Exhibit 18. Q. You understand -- well, let's look And this is an e-mail from Bill Trask to at the e-mail from Mr. Warner. It notes in the yourself and Mr. Lewis comparing UEP, VPC and last sentence of the paragraph "We will also Rembrandt's own policy. agree that should we hit the million pound level Do you see that? in Q4 shipments of whole, white and yolk powders A. Yes, I do. combined, Michael Foods will rebate Nestlé Q. Do you recall asking Mr. Trask for a \$150,000." comparison of UEP, VPC and Rembrandt? Do you see that? A. I do not. A. Yes, I do. 10 10 Q. Do you recognize this as an example Q. Do you know why Mr. Trask prepared 11 11 this? of Michael Foods offering a rebate to Nestlé if 12 12 A. I do not. it met a certain volume threshold? 13 13 Q. Do you recall looking at and A. I was not involved. I wasn't even 14 14 analyzing the UEP program versus VPC and employed at the time. I don't recognize it, as 15 15 Rembrandt's own policy? not knowing enough about it to tell you 16 16 A. I do not. anything. 17 17 Q. And we talked earlier, Mr. Feyman, Q. Okay. I understand. 18 with respect to the pricing that's reported on Fair to say prior to you arriving at 19 19 the Globe software. An example would be the Nestlé, you don't know one way or the other 20 Trask Exhibit 9. whether Michael Foods or other producers 21 21 Do you know if the prices that are provided a rebate for --22 22 reflected there include any rebates? A. Prior to my arrival, I cannot tell 23 23 A. I do not know. you if they did or did not. 24 24 Q. Are you aware of a vendor of eggs Q. And again, you didn't do anything providing Nestlé with rebates? before the deposition today to prepare you to

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

59 (Pages 230 to 233)

230 232 answer questions relating to whether rebates would be an absolute appropriate way to make were provided prior to your arrival at Nestlé? that calculation. A. I did not. Q. Do you see that on the bottom it's Q. Are you aware of any adjustments to listed the custodian is SAP? price that could occur that would not be A. Yeah. reflected in Globe? Q. Does that give you any greater A. I am not -- since, you know, August confidence that this is a document that you of 2008, I've made no adjustments to any pricing could determine pricing from, or same answer, or contract associated with discounts, rebates, don't know either way? 10 10 the like. The only adjustments could be made A. I don't know either way. 11 11 would be made at the invoicing -- at the payment Q. Do you know if Dreyer's still 12 12 purchases egg products from Rose Acres? of invoice time, if there were damages to 13 13 product and they didn't report it to the company A. I do not know who the current 14 14 and the trucking company. Other than that, supplier is. 15 15 there should be none. Or short ships. Q. How about three months ago? 16 16 A. I don't know either. Q. Let's take a quick look at 17 17 Exhibit 10, which was the egg purchase data for Q. I asked three months ago. 18 18 2000-2005. This is Feyman Exhibit 10. A. Yeah, I understand. I was answering 19 A. I'm getting there. 17. that way. 20 20 Q. It's right here. Sorry about that. Q. Okay. Don't know either way? 21 21 A. Don't know either way. A. Okay. 22 22 Q. And let's look back to page 7. Okay. 23 And again, this is excerpted data, 23 24 this is the data that was provided by Nestlé in 24 25 the litigation. 231 233 And do you understand that this data is aggregate purchases for an entire year? (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 13, E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled A. Let me just look here. (Document review.) RAUPDATE0013073, was marked for purposes of identification.) It appears to be so, yes. Q. And would you assume that to A. Give me the sticker. Give me the determine a price per pound; you could divide sticker. total dollars by total pounds? Q. Yes. Showing you, Mr. Feyman, what A. I would -- I would hesitate to 10 10 we've marked as Feyman Exhibit 13. It's an support that premise. 11 11 e-mail chain. It was produced -- or the Bates Q. You don't know either way? 12 12 number is RAUPDATE0013073. A. No. I would -- I believe it would 13 13 Do you see this document? be an inaccurate calculation from this document. 14 14 A. Yes, I do. I don't know what went into this document, and 15 15 Q. And the bottom e-mail is from a all that has to do is miss one load of 40,000 16 Jacki Pecek? pounds on some of these, and it's going to 17 17 A. Yes. change it dramatically. 18 18 Q. And she worked in purchasing for So I can't support that and say, 19 19 eggs? yes, that would be a fair calculation, not from 20 Yes, she did. this document. 21 Q. And she's writing to Brad Ginnane of Q. You don't know one way or the other 22 Good Egg. whether that would be an appropriate way to 23 Do you see that? 23 calculate price per pound, correct? 24 A. Yes. I do. 24 A. If I was confident where the numbers Q. What is Good Egg? came from and how they were calculated, that

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

60 (Pages 234 to 237)

234 236 A. It must have been a vendor back in A. Correct. 2007. I'm not familiar with them. Q. And likewise, in those fixed-price Q. Do you see an indication here -- or contracts, there's no agreement between Nestlé do you understand any connection between Good and the vendor how that fixed price should be Egg and Rose Acre? determined, correct? A. No, I do not. I'm not aware of that A. Correct. connection. MR. BOETTGE: I have nothing 8 Q. Does this suggest to you from 9 Miss Pecek that Nestlé is committing to sugared MR. CAMPBELL: I have no questions. 10 10 egg yolks UEP for Fort Wayne and Houston? We will not waive signature. We'll read and 11 11 A. Yes, it does. sign. 12 12 Q. I'm showing you what has been marked THE REPORTER: Okay. 13 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes as Rose Acre -- I'm sorry -- as Feyman 14 Exhibit 14, Bates-stamped RAUPDATE0013130. It's 14 the deposition. The time is 1:46. We're off 15 15 an e-mail from Jacki Pecek to, again, Brad the record. 16 16 Ginnane. And Miss Pecek asks, "Is Rose Acre in (Discussion held off the record.) 17 17 a position to be able to supply our Laurel, 18 18 Maryland facility with tankers of sugared egg MR. BOETTGE: Back on the record. 19 19 yolks." 20 20 Do you see that? (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, 21 21 A. Yes, I do. Nestle USA, Inc.'s Objections and 22 22 Amended Answers to Defendants' First 23 23 Set of Interrogatories, was marked 24 24 for purposes of identification.) 25 25 235 237 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 14, (The following testimony is on E-Mail Chain, Bates Labeled stenographic record only.) RAUPDATE0013130, was marked for BY MR. BOETTGE: purposes of identification.) Q. Back on the record. I've shown Mr. Feyman what I've marked as Exhibit 15. It's Q. Does this refresh your recollection Nestlé's Objections and Amended Answers to that prior to the time of you joining, Dreyer's Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories. 9 purchased eggs from Rose Acre, or does this give Do you see this? 10 10 you any indication as to when --A. Yes, I do. 11 11 A. This indicates that they did. Well, Q. Have you seen this document before? 12 12 this indicates that they solicited for them. It A. Yes, I have. 13 doesn't say there was a purchasing agreement 13 Q. And do you know whether you will be 14 here. Pecek was soliciting for the need of 14 verifying the answers to these interrogatories? 15 15 supply, but there's no confirmation. A. I do not. 16 16 MR. CAMPBELL: I don't know. Q. Mr. Feyman, we talked earlier about 17 17 formula pricing versus fixed pricing, and I BY MR. BOETTGE: 18 18 understand the formula price -- or the fixed Q. And for the record, it's my 19 19 pricing is pricing that stays consistent understanding -- well, I understand counsel for 20 20 throughout the term of the contract. Nestlé will check that I have not yet received 21 21 verified answers to the interrogatories. 22 22 Q. And I further understand from your In reviewing these interrogatories 23 23 testimony that Nestlé doesn't have knowledge as do you believe them to be accurate, the answers 24 24 to how the vendor in a fixed-price contract set to interrogatories? that price, correct? A. I do.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

61 (Pages 238 to 241)

238 240 Q. The first interrogatory on page 3, MR. CAMPBELL: No, he's thinking. do you see that? THE WITNESS: I'm reading. A. Yes. Okay. Go ahead. Ask that again. Q. Asks, "If during the period covered BY MR. BOETTGE: by your Complaint you made purchases...where you Q. Sure. expressly agreed that prices would be Just looking at the first part of Interrogatory 2 that asks, "During the period determined, in whole or in part, on the basis of the Urner Berry price quotation, then fully discovered by your Complaint you made purchases describe each such agreement." of products where you did not expressly agree 10 1.0 Do you see that? that the prices would be determined on the basis 11 11 A. Yes, I do. of Urner Berry quotation." 12 12 Q. Is it your testimony, or do you have My question is: Does that concept 13 13 cover the fixed-price contracts that we an understanding as to whether a fixed-price 14 contract that we discussed earlier is a contract 14 discussed today? 15 15 where -- that Nestlé and the vendor expressly A. Yes. 16 16 agree that prices would be determined based on Q. And then looking at the last 17 Urner Berry? paragraph --18 A. Say it again. A. Of? 19 Q. Sure. I apologize. Q. Page 6 of Interrogatory 2. It 20 20 A. It may be me. Maybe my ears are states, subject to objections, "From the period 21 21 scrambled. covered by the Complaint to the present, most of 22 22 its egg purchases were based, in whole or in 23 23 part, on prices that each supplier set based on I believe your testimony was with 24 24 respect to a fixed-price contract, there is no Urner Berry price quotations." 25 agreement between the vendor and Nestlé that the Does that reference exclude the 241 prices would be determined based on Urner Berry; fixed-price contracts we discussed earlier is that correct? today? A. That's correct. A. Okay. Go ahead. Ask that question Q. So the fixed-price contracts would again. be contracts that would be responsive to a Q. Sure. different interrogatory than what's listed here Following up from the earlier as Interrogatory 1, correct? question, does this reference that most of A. I don't think I can answer that Nestlé's egg purchases were based, in whole or without spending some time reading this. in part, on prices that each supplier set based 10 Q. Sure. on Urner Berry price quotation, does that 11 11 Well, let me make sure I understand. reference purchases exclude those purchases that 12 The question for Interrogatory 1 asks -- let me were --13 13 withdraw that. A. No. It does not exclude them. 14 14 Let's look to Interrogatory Q. And how does it include them? 15 15 Number 2. A. The Urner Berry price history is the 16 16 A. Yes. industry Bible for seasonality of egg prices and 17 17 Q. Which asks, "If during the period breaking stock prices. And we believe that is 18 18 covered by your Complaint you made purchases of used as a part of setting fixed-price contracts. 19 products where you did not expressly agree that Q. And again, you don't know that for certainty? the prices would be determined in whole or part on the basis of the Urner Berry quotation." A. I do not, but I can only answer --22 I'll stop there. Would that include take advice from counsel how best to phrase it, 23 23 the fixed-price contracts that we discussed but that's what we see. 24 during your deposition today? THE REPORTER: Is that a yes?

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

62 (Pages 242 to 245)

	02 (1 ages 242 to 243)
242	244
¹ MR. BOETTGE: And I have nothing	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
² further.	² ³ The State of Ohio
	The State of Ohio) SS:
4 (The deposition was concluded at 1:55 p.m.)	5 County of Cuyahoga.)
6	6
7	⁷ I, Donnalee Cotone, a Notary Public
8	⁸ within and for the State of Ohio, duly
9	commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify
10 11	that the within named witness, STEVEN G. FEYMAN,
12	was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the
13	cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given
14	by the above-referenced witness was by me
15	reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said
16	witness; afterwards transcribed, and that the
17	foregoing is a true and correct transcription of
18 19	the testimony so given by the above-referenced
20	witness. l do further certify that this
21	deposition was taken at the time and place in
22	the foregoing caption specified and was
23	completed without adjournment.
24	24
25	²⁵ (Certificate continued on next page.)
243	245
1 ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 2	¹ (Certificate continued.)
³ I,, do hereby	³ I do further certify that I am not a
acknowledge that I have read and examined the	4 relative, counsel or attorney for either party
foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct	or otherwise financially interested in the
and complete transcription of the testimony given by	events of this action, nor is the court
 me, and any corrections appear on the attached Errata Sheet signed by me. 	 reporting firm with which I am affiliated under a contract as defined in Civil Rule 28(D).
9	9 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
10	set my hand and affixed my seal of office at
¹¹	¹¹ Cleveland, Ohio, on this 1st day of
12 (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	¹² May, 2014.
13	13
15	15
16	16
17	17
18	Donnalee Cotone, Notary Public
19 20	within and for the State of Ohio
21	²¹ My commission expires February 7, 2017.
22	22
23	23
	I .
24	24
24 25	24 25

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

	234:16 235:9	110:2	67:3 75:13	215:13
A 4150 000 220 7	Acres 64:25	advantage 132:9	112:23,24	amended 4:3,4
\$150,000 229:7 \$24 28:20 55:9	232:12	223:17 226:14	138:19 195:9	5:6 10:15,25
II •	action 9:7 49:17	advantageous	195:12,12	62:3,8 236:22
\$4.80 109:2,8	61:6 245:6	48:24	204:24 232:15	237:7
110:5	ACTIONS 1:8	advice 202:6	232:17	America 13:17
\$400,000 219:18	activity 32:14	241:22	agree 41:10 42:4	14:8
\$5 152:8 \$600 55:10	actual 158:18,22	advise 34:7	43:2,5 47:4	American 17:21
ll '	159:5 177:13	affairs 187:16	58:7 99:22	22:19 23:16,18
A-N-G-E-L-O 55:20	192:7 196:2	188:1,19 194:6	101:24 148:25	25:15
A-N-N 55:19	add 18:20	194:8 197:21	183:2 184:1,4	amount 25:23
a.m 1:16	210:14	198:19 217:5,6	217:18,20,21	27:9 44:3
	addition 57:3	217:7,8,10	223:13,21	156:18 160:8,8
ability 206:23 able 24:22 41:3	additional	affect 101:16	229:4 238:16	160:12,14
44:5 48:17	211:19 216:6	141:4 201:14	239:19 240:9	185:25 186:4
83:7 90:22	Additionally	affiliated 245:7	agreed 238:6	222:9
99:16 162:15	190:15	affiliates 26:16	agreement	analysis 4:16
191:4 197:5	address 8:16	26:20 63:19,24	116:5 235:13	5:24 35:11
226:8 234:17	96:6 154:20	65:8	236:3 238:9,25	105:17 152:23
above-referen	181:17	affiliation	agreements	161:3 169:16
244:14,18	addressed 74:22	188:15	115:23	169:19,23,24
absolute 232:1	189:18 225:15	affiliations	agricultural	170:3,5
absolutely 53:22	addresses 96:8	188:6	117:23 118:5	analyzes 40:25
122:23 172:5	addressing	affirmative	191:3 193:16	analyzing 36:9
225:4	189:7 218:15	82:20 117:16	197:11	227:14
accept 109:22	adequate 99:14	affirmatively	ah 100:12	Andy 55:18
accepted 116:12	138:19	34:15	ahead 97:19	anecdotal 40:17
account 205:17	adjournment	affixed 245:10	153:3,8 198:16	91:5 181:3
accurate 142:12	244:23	afield 224:16	240:3 241:3	anecdotally 83:4
159:7 237:23	adjust 151:25	aforesaid	Akron 8:18	83:5,6
acknowledge	201:18	244:13	albumen 154:7	animal 72:23
243:4	adjusted 201:15	afraid 224:18	allegations	73:13 77:2
ACKNOWLE	adjustments	ag 193:15	58:24 59:12	82:19 84:5,10
243:1	50:18 230:4,8	age 8:4	60:19	189:8,18,25
acquired 168:21	230:10	agency 97:1	allow 34:22 41:8	190:9,22 191:5
acquires 50:18	administrative	aggregate	131:13,23	192:1 193:2,23
acquiring 125:9	54:5,8	159:15 231:2	138:20	194:10,18
Acre 65:17 69:3	Administrator	aggregating	allowed 94:4	195:16 196:5,8
69:11,13 87:16	1:25	159:11	alternative	196:11,25
87:19 88:4,8	adopt 109:9	ago 8:12 9:4	134:6,16 186:1	197:4 198:2,21
88:17 90:17,22	121:19	18:22 23:20	alternatives	198:25 203:11
117:7 234:5,13	advance 109:11	30:5 52:10	183:18,24	203:13 204:2
,				

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

204.12 205.16	0.14.226.22	222.1	A sia 204.9	agtuto 10.11
204:13 205:16	9:14 236:22	232:1	Asia 204:8	astute 48:14
210:13 215:18	237:7,14,21,23	approval 36:8	aside 201:5	attach 105:25
215:21 217:14	Antitrust 1:5	46:25	asked 54:13	attached 243:7
217:25 218:2,9	7:12	approve 192:22	67:8 68:20	attaches 77:8
218:17 219:10	anxious 72:22	approved 24:15	90:20 102:16	attachment
219:14,18	anybody 92:3	34:21,25 35:2	113:10,25	106:5 113:16
220:2,8,11	184:13	35:17,18,23,24	117:1,6 119:7	attempt 45:9
222:10,23	anymore 53:5	36:7 41:3	127:17 129:9	118:2
223:2,8 224:4	Anything's	46:14,24,24	136:6 153:1	attention 38:16
224:7,9	92:22	47:6 48:22	188:17 209:8	38:25 41:20
animals 190:13	anytime 59:22	49:14 50:7	232:17	79:15 106:8
190:15,19	160:1	94:19 207:1	asking 9:12,22	189:22 225:19
192:23 193:8	apart 31:21 69:2	approving	90:10 163:20	226:25
193:11 195:15	69:7,13 93:16	35:20 36:4	227:7	attorney 245:4
197:11 199:20	apologize 19:18	approximately	asks 82:19	audibly 9:17
201:6	148:1,18 164:4	11:25 12:11	234:16 238:4	audit 36:6,15
Ann 55:15,19	173:7,16	14:10 18:22	239:12,17	46:18 191:17
announcement	228:16 238:19	64:17 75:13	240:7	191:22 192:5,7
193:22	apostrophe	178:4 204:24	aspect 22:7	192:20 193:20
announcements	55:20	April 1:16 7:5	194:10 198:20	197:2
194:17	appear 243:7	area 54:1 118:3	assembly 44:19	audited 195:6,7
annual 79:20	appearances 2:1	areas 40:10 56:9	assess 168:15	auditing 197:9
178:5	3:5 7:17	118:3 197:10	assigned 56:9	audits 190:24
answer 9:17	appeared 66:16	198:8	63:12	194:21,24
10:5 32:6	appears 109:13	Ariba 105:17,20	assignment 15:4	195:1,3,10,25
34:14 45:18	167:21 168:24	105:24 106:2,6	assigns 51:10	196:2,9,16,19
59:1,7 82:20	184:17 231:5	107:12,13,14	assist 54:10	196:20,22
82:23 85:6	appetite 130:3,5	107:19 109:14	assistant 13:10	197:8,15,25
89:19 127:19	130:8	121:15 144:13	188:17	198:6
145:22 147:18	applicable	152:22	assisting 54:11	August 46:21
153:18,24	185:12	arm 22:20 24:1	associated 23:2	121:12 186:23
171:25 180:11	applications	26:3 91:10	67:17 96:9	205:25 206:6
182:17 196:1	32:15,21 34:12	arrangement	126:19 180:1	207:4,13
199:1 200:25	42:15	156:4	188:18 230:9	212:21 230:7
201:1,3 202:9	apply 58:4	arranging 54:11	Association	authors 32:17
202:12,21,23	appointment	arrival 22:5	187:5 188:9	avail 133:25
206:20 212:9	43:24	43:21 229:22	assume 10:6	availability
230:1 232:8	approaching	230:2	31:22 67:12	145:14 216:6
239:8 241:21	203:13	arrive 43:22	90:4 124:21	available 86:22
answering	appropriate	arrived 199:2	182:1 231:6	133:21 140:2
182:9 232:18	47:24 94:23	arriving 229:18	assumption	183:24 191:4
answers 5:7	129:22 231:22	ASA 35:11	149:7	193:25
	127.22 231.22	11011 33.11	17/./	173.23

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				3
	l	l	1	l
average 175:8	152:22 169:15	238:16 239:1	behalf 2:3,12	97:1,4,8,12
avoid 82:12	174:5 179:13	240:22,23	8:21 11:10	98:3,8,17 99:4
83:16 139:4	188:19 195:11	241:8,9	15:24 26:15	99:20 103:25
avoided 138:24	206:4 207:5,11	basically 118:24	93:5 94:11	119:23 120:2
avoiding 139:8	207:25 214:4	basis 38:3 46:18	201:24 202:16	120:13 122:22
aware 36:25	224:12 226:22	51:1 72:12	202:17 203:5	126:7,8,19
39:15 43:9	230:22 234:1	152:6 176:19	belief 177:18	131:15,16
44:8,11 46:2	236:18 237:5	200:17 238:7	believe 12:10	132:18,20
58:18 63:11	background	239:21 240:10	14:1 17:14	136:8,19,22
68:25 80:10	12:22	Bates 4:6,7,9,12	20:25 21:3,6	137:2 138:25
81:5,6 82:25	bacteria 42:10	4:14,16,18,20	29:16 33:15	151:19 175:4,5
83:4,7 84:9,18	bad 122:11	4:22,24 5:3,4	45:8 46:23	175:7 176:6,10
87:1 88:23	207:23,24	5:12,15,16,18	51:5 53:17	176:17,19
90:10 92:4	bag 169:9	5:20,22,24 6:3	61:1 63:4	181:10,11
101:12 102:12	bags 169:7	6:5,7,9,10,12	65:10 69:24	215:4,8 238:8
102:18,22	Bainbridge 1:19	6:14,16 39:21	70:1 74:6,9	238:17 239:1
117:11,13,15	7:10	74:17 76:5	80:20 85:22	239:21 240:11
141:25 182:5	Baker 4:17	79:4 111:18	89:17 111:22	240:24 241:10
183:15 190:7,8	166:10	115:10 161:3	114:13 117:1	241:15
190:20 193:10	Bakerdale	166:11,21	121:10 122:20	Berry-based
193:21 194:17	171:12	172:17 225:7	142:12 153:1	118:24 119:20
197:7,25	Bakersfield	225:14 228:7	159:21 160:18	Besman 2:23
203:11,15	124:12,15,21	233:3,11 235:3	166:3 174:23	7:24,24 65:2,5
204:12 213:7	171:13,14	Bates-stamped	187:3 191:22	65:12 101:5
220:2 223:5	Ballas 117:7	79:11 111:24	198:23 200:16	149:21,25
227:24 228:1,3	132:10 133:3	115:16 161:11	200:22 203:10	150:6
230:4 234:6	144:17 157:9	166:18 234:14	203:18 206:1	best 28:4 29:1
awareness 189:6	178:21 179:8	bear 184:21	206:14 207:9	36:18,20 40:17
	Bar 29:16,18	bearing 147:7	217:25 219:20	89:8 115:2
B	base 72:6 91:4	becoming 46:3	224:1 231:12	145:6 149:5,8
B 2:23 163:17	102:1 128:20	beef 17:1	237:23 238:23	241:22
B-O-H-M-A-N	196:6,7 218:19	began 72:14	241:17	Betsy 46:7
19:24	219:21	80:7,13,22	believed 119:11	better 45:17
back 19:14	based 51:11	81:23 82:10	200:5,10	49:15 105:11
45:19 47:2	56:24 96:18	84:19,25 88:16	Ben 83:22,23	bias 175:25
61:17 67:2	107:17 117:19	88:22 90:7	84:8,10,15	Bible 99:21
68:17 73:1	122:22 133:22	192:12 206:6	205:11	241:16
97:16 107:8	138:5,11	207:7 209:5	beneath 148:15	bidding 34:20
115:5 117:20	142:11 144:6	216:11	benefit 24:13	bids 41:1
122:5 124:10	151:12 165:4	begins 11:3 77:1	226:3,4	big 100:5 117:22
131:18,23	169:19 175:3,6	127:6 130:17	benefits 26:1	140:20
133:17 136:9	201:15 215:8	148:19	Berry 96:23,25	bigger 57:1
	201.13 213.0	110.17	2011, 70.23,23	~ 5501 57.1
	I	I	<u> </u>	l

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

biggest 117:25	173:16,20,23	222:12	bullet 79:16	195:14 197:5
bill 4:11 21:2	173:25 174:7	Bread 13:6	125:23 145:24	204:7
41:17 111:17	189:5 198:18	break 61:12	146:4,6 147:19	buyer 15:9,20
112:7 113:14	199:8 201:13	123:7 149:21	148:5,5,6,14	20:1 30:3
123:18,25	202:15 203:2	174:1 226:18	148:15,18	53:13 55:16
132:6 142:25	213:24 226:17	breaking 97:5	182:7 184:19	56:2 71:12
143:5 152:15	226:24 236:7	138:5 140:2,8	214:21 215:12	86:17 90:21
175:19 178:17	236:18 237:4	140:14,23	215:17 222:20	93:12,14,20,21
185:8 212:7	237:17 240:4	141:2,2,6,22	224:2,3	94:1 98:16,21
227:2	242:1	141:24 151:19	bunch 122:14	104:17 137:22
Bill/Steve 47:9	Bohman 19:23	152:18 155:10	220:10	141:13 218:6
birds 73:19	214:1	175:5 181:8,9	burn 49:16	buyers 30:1,7,13
82:19 191:15	boss 59:21 60:5	185:1 215:4,5	Bush 4:11	40:19 52:25
191:15,18	117:21 120:24	241:17	111:17 112:7	54:11 74:2
201:8	Boston 14:9,14	briefly 9:9 12:21	113:14	91:7 138:7
bit 130:5	bottleneck	33:7	business 18:4,9	144:14
blind 147:25	56:15 57:10,21	bring 100:13	22:15,18 23:16	buying 24:18
block 2:14 7:22	bottom 39:19	101:5 119:12	23:24 24:1	28:3 49:2
94:10 107:5	64:14 76:18	broad 63:17	25:14,19 26:6	122:13 126:14
Bloomington	77:6 78:4	broadcast 220:8	26:8,15 33:12	126:18 147:5
154:16	79:16 123:17	broadened	33:25 36:11	177:11 181:25
blow 49:17	123:23 125:18	195:1	40:9 130:4	182:2 206:12
blurbs 189:9	125:23 142:23	broken 138:18	131:22,25	206:16 209:14
board 145:21	167:2 175:18	Brommer	139:6,7 144:1	218:1
Boettge 2:6 3:10	185:10 210:21	210:23,24	144:2,3 180:3	buys 27:9 31:9
7:18,18 8:9,13	214:6 216:18	211:1,2 212:6	businesses	
32:11 37:15,18	217:13 228:22	212:7	18:16 23:1	C
37:21 59:8	232:3 233:15	brought 62:9	32:25 33:6,8	cage 204:23
61:11,19 62:6	bought 15:25	101:6	34:2 100:21	cage-free 73:18
64:10,12 65:4	83:24 144:3	bubble 51:9	111:12 146:22	204:18 205:14
65:9,14,22,25	Brad 233:21	54:18,19 56:9	147:6 174:21	Cake 13:7
76:1,9 85:13	234:15	56:24 57:1	buy 28:15 33:16	Cal-Maine 65:1
86:9 93:22,24	brand 33:18	budge 137:14	34:19 42:12	65:19 66:1,9
93:25 95:6,7	73:2,3 91:22	budget 221:17	48:25 50:6	66:22
100:16 101:9	192:15 208:9	build 128:9,11	56:25 66:18	calculate 128:7
104:25 105:2	220:3,20,21	150:2 177:25	72:24 85:19	231:23
105:15 106:18	brand's 73:7	built 120:14	94:5 101:11	calculated
106:21,25	brands 69:20	128:15 221:16	128:18 133:16	231:25
123:6,14	87:15 89:3	Buitoni 33:13	138:4 144:5,10	Calculating
130:14 148:13	212:12,19	87:4 185:12	144:10,12	54:14
149:18 150:11	213:8,9 220:4	211:14,18	147:3,6 176:1	calculation
150:24 155:25	220:10,23	bulk 168:8	179:5 191:2	231:13,19

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				<u> </u>
232:2	captures 158:2	135:1,8 199:21	214:18	54:19 56:9
California 30:4	159:10	206:24	chain 4:7,21,23	64:13,20 68:25
73:18 210:2,9	career 28:18	certified 8:6	5:3,4,12,16,18	69:15
210:11	Cargill 44:22	46:6,16,23	5:20 6:3,9,10	charter 24:2
call 83:1 119:18	45:2	70:5,11,20	6:12,14,15	charter 24.2 charts 99:5
128:14 158:17	Carol 27:23	71:3,9,17,22	13:16 76:5	cheaper 135:11
called 8:4 13:16	case 7:10 11:17	72:4,9,16 74:1	135:16,18	135:13
15:5 29:16	27:2 44:17	74:3 75:17,19	142:24 144:16	check 26:13
99:10 195:2	53:9,11 60:1	77:13,18 78:16	222:15 224:25	47:24 163:1
226:14	85:11 101:25	78:22 79:19	225:7 228:7	188:17 237:20
calling 158:14	102:2,19	80:1,8,13,22	233:3,11 235:3	cheese 14:5
calls 54:11	118:14 131:19	81:21,24 82:10	chair 226:16	Chicago 2:17
82:18	131:20 134:3	82:22 83:11	Challenge	chicken 14:9,15
Campbell 2:15	154:17	84:15,16,21,25	215:17	17:2 48:7,8
7:6,21,21 32:5	categories 28:2	85:8,9,20,21	challenging	120:8
32:8 37:13,17	56:18,20	85:23,25 86:1	215:20	chickens 118:16
37:20 58:25	category 53:7	86:5,11,15,18	change 23:19,22	chief 17:13,20
64:9 65:20,24	55:1,2,4,11	86:24 88:9,19	23:23 28:13	children 100:14
85:10 86:7	67:16	88:22 89:11	109:18 151:24	Children's
93:18,23 95:4	cause 244:13	90:1,8,18,23	152:13 181:8	100:12
100:12 104:22	caused 48:1	91:2,8,12,18	181:10 194:9	choosing 43:13
105:10,14	cautioning	91:21,23 92:13	206:23 231:17	44:15 58:12
106:16,23	222:11	92:15,21 93:2	changed 23:21	circumstance
123:8 130:12	caveat 59:11	134:21,24	25:9,16 35:4	149:5
148:6,10	center 146:21	135:3 200:6,10	46:22 53:2	circumstances
149:16 150:2	central 22:20	200:14,23	56:13 192:25	9:5 47:19
150:15 155:23	36:6,15 191:22	201:22 202:2	194:3 195:21	50:22 145:10
173:14,18,24	certain 31:12	203:9 205:20	195:25 198:5,7	145:12 149:9
198:15 200:24	42:10 43:23	206:3,8,12,13	changes 31:12	citing 136:9
202:4,8,22	44:3 57:19	206:16,19	55:1 152:9	City 124:13,22
213:22 236:9	94:20 102:3	207:8,14,20	181:4,5 198:1	171:18,19
237:16 240:1	103:20 119:3	208:2,11,18,22	changing 50:17	Civil 245:8
candidates	145:10,11	209:6,14,17,20	78:6,11 137:15	claim 84:16
69:16	147:9 156:19	209:22 213:9	155:18	220:2 223:17
candy 29:20,21	175:13 229:12	215:24 216:8	character 150:3	223:24 224:8
29:23 100:18	certainly 209:15	216:11 218:24	characterize	224:10
100:22	certainty 241:20	219:5,19,24	158:11	claims 63:12
capacity 8:21	Certificate 3:12	221:20	characterizing	82:13,15,17
210:14	244:1,25 245:1	certify 244:9,20	39:16	83:25 84:1,2,6
capital 25:25	certificates 35:6	245:3	charge 14:16	217:14,25
capitalized 35:8	certification	cetera 58:10	93:15 120:10	218:3,9 222:24
caption 244:22	89:3 134:6,16	CFO 214:5,9,12	chart 51:9 54:18	223:3 224:4
<u> </u>				

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				0
clarify 9:25	40:9 87:21	communicatio	competitor	conclusion
93:18 95:3	coming 73:7	68:22,24 69:5	205:8	166:2 206:11
clarifying 64:2	136:17,18	companies 69:6	competitors	condition 151:2
65:2	208:4	69:15	176:16 177:3	151:8 163:17
clarity 210:13	comment 31:21	company 15:22	217:17	163:23,25
Clark 2:16	32:3 41:21	21:16 22:3,5	complaint 4:4	164:3,7,22
class 61:6	42:17 45:21	29:16 50:7	61:9 62:3,9	168:13 170:1
Cleveland 7:10	46:5 47:8	62:19,23 63:1	65:10 238:5	190:13
245:11	80:24 125:19	63:2,7 66:20	239:18 240:8	conditionally
climb 180:17	129:25 130:15	86:4 88:21	240:21	46:19
climbing 179:1	137:12 139:13	99:10 186:24	complaints 84:9	conditions
179:20	147:20 155:1	194:16 198:4	complete 10:11	110:5 137:16
close 14:12	175:22 178:19	199:11 207:21	243:6	150:18,23
167:7 175:25	181:14 186:15	208:9 209:10	completed	154:15 163:3,9
176:4,6,9,23	211:5 217:12	209:11,16	244:23	163:12,15
177:12,13	218:8 219:25	213:8 216:25	complexity 31:3	191:14
closely 181:18	222:2,19	223:16 230:13	complied 199:21	conducted 47:25
closer 49:24	225:21	230:14	complete 133.21	conduit 18:15
closest 177:6	comments 47:9	company's	component	confection 28:17
code 156:17	135:25 136:22	66:17 198:1	28:14 48:13	29:4,7,9,19
color 47:24	155:11	compare 126:7	49:3 50:15	30:12
column 38:17	commission	160:10 161:21	100:3 126:15	confection-rel
106:9 107:1	245:21	184:13	144:10	27:25
108:10 157:7	commissioned	compared	components	confection/nu
160:15 163:17	244:9	182:20	48:25 81:15	29:10
170:10,14	committed	comparing	120:10 138:16	confections 27:9
columns 157:4	225:22	184:5 227:3	computer	30:10
170:3	committing	comparison	105:20	confidence
Colwell 4:11,18	234:9	227:8	concept 240:12	166:4 232:7
111:16 112:6	commodities	compete 41:8	concern 121:23	confident
166:10 167:25	102:4 147:23	183:19	198:14 220:14	174:18 231:24
228:23	149:3 191:4	competition	223:22	CONFIDENT
combined 229:6	commodity 31:4	130:7 177:10	concerned 122:9	1:12
come 34:10	31:8,19,22	competitive	concerning	confirm 116:21
52:11 58:16	44:12 96:17	48:23 82:12,15	73:18	confirmation
60:1 131:16	112:21 122:8	82:16 83:19	concerns 77:2,3	235:15
166:2 191:23	197:12	130:7 176:1,11	204:2	conflict 164:17
194:13	common 117:24	176:25 177:8	concerted 221:2	164:21
comes 28:14	communicating	209:23 223:16	conclude 211:24	conformance
57:20 119:1	144:1	226:14	concluded 242:4	217:11
131:14 202:5	communication	competitively	concludes	confused 119:22
comfortable	69:1 217:15	40:8 41:8	236:13	196:17
L	ı	I	ı	ı

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

I r				
confusing	consolidating	98:7,13,16,21	conversations	18:7 19:6 21:7
224:17	107:11	101:19 103:1,6	59:3,6	21:17 23:6,18
conjunction	consulting 15:4	103:23,24	convert 118:22	24:24 27:3,8
42:16	consumer 24:17	104:2 107:18	169:1,13 221:3	29:22 30:6
connected 26:8	45:10 138:3	113:23 114:21	221:6	31:20 32:20
connection 27:1	145:5 212:18	116:17 120:3	converted 160:2	34:9 47:7
31:16 32:18	217:15	120:19 127:23	211:18	48:10 58:18
44:9 73:13	consumers	128:2,3,5,6	conveyed	64:4 68:9,12
81:2 90:12	190:15	129:8 133:21	163:14 164:7	69:2,8,19
113:11 188:12	contact 204:5,13	134:1 139:1	conveying	70:12 78:20,24
189:25 191:9	contacted	140:22 141:8	220:18	81:7 82:24
197:1 234:4,7	203:16,19	141:10 149:15	convince 131:13	83:12 84:17
conscious 224:8	204:1,10	150:13 151:11	cooked 44:18	86:1,2,19
224:9	contacting	151:18,22	cookie 33:12	87:14 90:8
consider 43:11	204:14	152:7,12 153:2	185:13,16	91:18 92:21
44:12 95:20	contacts 187:11	153:5 155:18	186:17,20	93:24 96:10
97:8	contained	162:25 163:22	Cookies 69:24	97:19 98:9,10
consideration	158:11 161:9	164:13 165:1,4	copied 146:20	98:13,14,18,19
44:14 49:19	161:12 162:19	167:5,18,23,24	146:23 181:14	98:23,24
145:7 198:21	containers	175:12,14	185:8 213:25	102:10 103:9
226:10	225:23 226:3,8	207:1 230:9	218:14	103:10,21,22
considerations	226:13	235:20,24	copy 62:11	104:2,3 106:21
72:23 191:6	contamination	238:14,14,24	76:14 79:12,14	107:6 108:8,16
considered 28:6	41:23	245:8	95:9 115:18	108:19 109:6,8
58:15 110:18	context 24:25	contracting	149:15 228:17	109:12 110:7
considering	25:2 36:23	103:7 165:24	228:20,21	116:20 119:3
84:4 147:2	130:20 131:7	165:24 166:5	copying 76:22	119:21 120:20
201:6	continue 16:21	contracts 93:13	135:21 142:25	126:24 127:15
considers 40:25	132:8 135:2	95:25 128:25	175:19 178:18	129:3 133:12
58:12	191:4	129:12 236:3	212:6	134:19 135:5
consisted 25:5	continued	239:4,5,23	core 38:21 39:2	140:16,25
consistency	244:25 245:1	240:13 241:1	corn 146:9,13	141:12 143:21
36:10	continues	241:18	146:25 148:16	153:5,15 154:4
consistent	154:10	control 35:12	149:1	154:5,12 160:6
235:19	contract 5:22	111:10,11	corporate 26:16	160:16 162:11
consistently	24:19 93:6,7,9	controlled 59:13	63:3 120:23	162:21 163:12
35:15	94:2,4,5,7,11	controlling	193:21 199:16	165:7,14 170:7
consisting 51:10	94:16,18,25	59:14 60:22	202:18	171:3 173:4
consolidate 24:3	95:3,9,13,19	201:7	Corporation	174:10 175:14
24:11	95:20,23 96:5	controls 24:14	15:14	177:22 178:6,7
consolidates	96:12,16,20,21	conversation	correct 11:20	178:16 179:15
35:22	97:13,17 98:5	47:10,13	15:17 16:14	179:23 196:20

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				0
106 24 100 24	144 2 152 0	220 14	1	100 2 110 4
196:24 199:24	144:2 152:8	create 220:14	damages 60:13	109:2 110:4
205:12 206:4,8	177:12	created 29:7	230:12	151:8,16
206:9,13 208:3	Cotone 1:24	67:13 159:25	Danville 169:2	161:17 163:18
208:15,16,19	7:15 244:7	167:25	211:7,13,14	167:20
209:3,17,18	245:18	creating 124:23	225:23	decide 204:22
215:10 219:20	counsel 2:24	criteria 51:8	data 52:17	decided 144:5
223:4 226:13	7:16,25 11:14	191:17	88:25 156:4,22	deciding 145:8
231:23 235:25	11:21,24 59:18	critical 35:12	156:24 158:1,4	226:11
236:1,5,6	61:10 76:14	56:15 57:9	158:12,14,25	decision 92:1
239:2,3,7	79:13 115:18	178:1,2	159:4,5,9	125:15 128:21
243:5 244:17	201:2 202:8	cross 19:12	161:8,10,13	143:23 144:9
corrections	228:20 237:19	72:17	162:18 169:16	144:14 194:9
243:7	241:22 245:4	cross-pollinati	171:20 172:1,4	205:3,7 221:19
correctly 208:20	counts 42:9	19:12	172:23,24	decisions 22:22
correspondence	County 244:5	CRR 1:24	173:1,3,5,6,12	47:17 205:18
46:20 54:12	couple 56:14	crude 146:9,14	173:15,17	218:1
76:16 225:15	course 59:21	147:1	174:9,19	deeply 28:9
corresponding	101:13 131:19	Cuisine 62:25	230:17,23,24	defendant 7:20
101:22 160:15	220:16	current 182:24	231:1	8:13
cost 23:8 78:6	court 1:1 7:13	223:15 232:13	date 7:4 71:6,25	Defendant's 4:3
78:10 96:19	8:2 9:13,18	currently	75:9 103:3	10:15
97:12,18,18	149:22 150:7	125:19,25	110:25 113:2	defendants 2:3
98:4 101:21	245:6	200:13	115:6 150:21	5:7 10:25 12:9
117:24 118:10	cover 106:5	custodian 232:4	164:8 167:19	62:10 236:22
118:11,12,16	127:2,10	custom 29:6	167:19 243:12	237:8
118:17 119:14	128:15 137:6	31:11	dated 4:6,12,18	Define 22:6
119:16,24	195:3 240:13	customers	74:17 77:8	defined 65:6
121:25 122:2	covered 22:9	115:24	111:18 166:11	245:8
122:10 125:12	34:2 43:14	cut 140:7 147:10	186:23	definitely 58:14
126:6 140:24	127:13 238:4	153:17	dated11-11-08	130:10
141:5 146:13	239:18 240:21	Cuyahoga 244:5	5:14	definition 62:15
146:16,25	covering 198:8		dates 20:17,23	degree 12:23,24
177:25 184:22	cream 15:14,15	D	68:16,19 103:4	13:3,5 59:19
211:11,19	15:19 22:12,13	D 55:19	164:13	122:10
216:6 226:6	22:16 33:13,18	D'Angelo 20:24	dating 21:6	degrees 13:1
cost-producer	33:20 45:11,12	21:4,7 55:15	day 100:12	deliver 169:10
48:23	63:7 75:24	55:19	101:6 164:20	179:2 210:11
costing 181:23	83:20 84:1,3	daily 129:13	165:10 186:23	delivered 29:4
costs 49:6	84:14,19 88:5	131:15 152:5	245:11	152:14 154:15
101:15,16	124:24 139:6	dairy 16:1	deadline 221:7	168:7
102:5 120:5,6	176:14 204:21	Dale 19:23	deals 217:10	delivery 96:6,8
120:7,9 128:17	205:4	214:1	December 21:23	154:20
			<u> </u>	

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

delved 28:9	63:16 72:2	determined 43:7	differently	150:20
demand 71:21	74:15 76:4	195:18 236:5	138:15 140:9	discussions
71:25 84:14	79:2 81:17	238:7,16 239:1	DiGiorno 62:24	73:11,16,17,21
91:21 92:13	95:10 104:20	239:20 240:10	direct 79:15	73:25 78:18
100:2,6 101:13	111:15 112:3	determining	106:8 142:16	122:3,24
138:3 140:5	115:8 123:21	35:17 193:7	225:19 226:25	187:10 193:6
141:22 182:25	161:2 166:9	develop 33:1	directed 139:4	201:1,16 210:1
183:4,4,7,12	172:16 203:25	developmental	direction 52:8	213:7,12
183:18 184:20	225:6 228:6	53:6	102:5 118:1	215:23 216:1,5
209:5 211:12	229:25 233:2	DGIC 129:22	147:22 149:2,6	221:14 223:6
demanded	235:2 236:14	DGIC's 129:23	149:11 179:10	dispense 105:21
70:20 72:7	236:20 239:24	dictate 214:23	186:10	dispute 116:20
78:16	242:4 244:21	dictates 100:2	directive 221:6	dissuaded
demanding 71:2	depositions	Diercks 4:6	directly 148:14	122:19
78:22 84:25	59:23,23	74:16,22 76:22	218:13 224:25	distinction
89:25	derived 120:15	77:7,23	disadvantage	18:11 221:9
demands 183:9	120:15	difference	176:25	distinguish 31:6
Denise 216:19	describe 23:24	141:14	disagree 184:11	45:10
216:24	40:6 51:4	differences 31:7	217:23	distinguished
denominator	52:22 66:13	139:14	disagreement	25:6
117:24	73:15 107:9	different 10:1	217:24	distinguishes
department	194:23 238:9	19:13 23:11	disbursed 52:1	137:8
14:17 15:7	description 4:2	28:3,11 45:12	disbursement	distinguishing
55:17 59:7	5:2,11 6:2 37:9	49:9 50:22	49:5	139:22
departments	39:1 110:11	53:3 56:23	discounts 230:9	District 1:1 7:13
35:20,25	156:17 182:7	93:8,10,10	discovered	7:13
depend 201:1	descriptions	94:8 95:19	191:13 240:8	divide 231:7
depending	28:9 38:18,21	96:4,9 99:23	discovery 59:4	division 1:2 13:7
54:25	design 208:9	102:14,24	discuss 60:14,18	29:25 33:19,21
depends 117:8	designate 31:12	107:20 124:11	77:2 129:22	34:7 80:15
142:16 145:19	designates	137:24,25	201:7,11	84:1,3,14,19
206:25	155:14	138:8 139:24	discussed 60:11	87:13 90:7
deponent 2:13	desire 82:11	150:17 151:12	121:5 175:11	91:22 93:5
7:23 243:1	83:16 84:14	152:24 154:3	238:14 239:23	130:9,11
deposed 8:6	177:1,18	159:2 174:21	240:14 241:1	143:20
60:1	destroy 52:4	177:23 182:2	discusses 214:22	divisions 26:25
deposition 1:14	details 60:21	196:18,20	discussion 72:19	30:17 36:3,5
4:3 7:8 8:24	78:5	217:9 239:6	83:13 110:23	39:16 63:18,24
9:11 10:14,16	determine 48:1	differentiate	121:8 181:22	80:9 81:25
10:25 11:13,19	165:23 191:18	44:9 141:17	213:14 224:22	86:25 87:8
12:4,19 17:24	197:19 231:7	217:16 218:3	225:3 236:16	89:4,18,21,24
37:3,4,6 62:2	232:8	218:10	discussion's	90:5,11 91:10

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

91:11 93:8,11	dollar 67:22	177:2,16,21	244:11	e-mailed 77:23
95:16 125:6	dollars 29:1	178:9 179:22	duration 128:25	e-mails 6:5
177:19 221:21	170:6 231:8	180:1,4,7,9,13		125:5 189:16
221:24 222:1	Donnalee 1:24	180:16 204:21	E	earlier 40:23
divorce 120:18	7:15 244:7	204:23 205:4	e-mail 4:7,11,21	68:14 149:14
docks 44:4	245:18	208:11,18,22	4:23 5:3,4,12	165:3 174:8
document 1:7	door 150:7	209:20 221:10	5:13,16,18,20	203:20 209:25
4:9,14 10:21	doubt 77:21	232:11 235:8	6:3,6,9,10,12	227:17 235:16
37:8,11,16,22	Doug 7:18 8:13	Dreyer's/Häa	6:14,15 41:16	238:14 241:1,6
38:2,10 39:12	37:13 65:20	88:16	41:16,21 46:7	ears 238:20
39:20,20 50:24	93:23 104:23	dried 100:8	46:11 76:5,11	easier 142:20
51:6 54:16,23	106:16 173:19	138:17 185:5	76:19 77:1,7	Eastern 1:2 7:13
55:14 68:13	dough 185:13	225:22	78:4 79:11	easy 146:3
74:13,21 75:14	185:16 186:17	dried-out 100:5	106:5 111:16	Ed 5:14 6:6
79:3,10,12	186:21	drive 8:18 100:6	111:24 112:6	21:11,12 72:18
95:11,15,17	Douglas 2:6,23	101:1 117:22	113:16 123:17	123:3 135:20
107:10 111:24	7:24	118:4,20	123:23,25	222:22
112:4 115:9,16	douglas.boett	120:21 183:3,7	124:12,16,18	educate 72:2
115:22 116:15	2:10	210:9	124:18 127:1	81:10 82:2,6
131:8 143:12	downstream	driven 120:3	129:21 130:25	92:25 104:9
147:17 150:16	147:22 149:3	138:2	132:5,7 134:4	114:24 117:17
154:1 156:1,11	dramatically	driver 96:19	135:16,17,18	199:9 203:24
161:7 166:18	231:17	97:13,18 98:4	136:12 142:23	educational
166:24 182:13	draw 155:16	101:8 117:25	142:24 143:4	12:22
185:22 213:17	Dreyer's 22:12	118:11,13	144:16 146:3	effect 73:19
224:5 225:14	22:13,16,21	119:14,17,24	175:17,20	201:12
228:13 231:3	23:9 33:13	122:2 140:20	178:17 180:6	effective 75:20
231:13,14,20	39:7,12 45:11	217:25	181:13 185:7	efficiently
232:7 233:13	45:15 63:6	drivers 98:1	185:10,14	169:10
237:11	69:25 70:2	121:25 140:24	189:10 210:21	effort 221:2
documents 12:8	75:2,4,24	drives 133:7	210:22 212:1	egg 1:5 2:4 4:20
12:12,15 37:2	79:19,25 80:16	183:4	213:13 214:1,1	7:11 23:5,10
40:11 51:14,18	80:17,21,22	driving 141:3	214:7 216:19	25:11 27:7,14
51:21 52:3	81:21 88:6,7	drop 138:20	218:13 219:4	30:1,7 31:16
67:9 80:6 81:3	124:24 125:1	180:18 183:14	220:1 222:6,15	34:8,19 35:1
88:20 90:11	125:11 134:5	drove 213:15	222:15,20	41:21 43:7,9
113:11 124:5	134:15 135:7	dry 139:19	224:15,22,25	43:13 44:10,12
158:7 161:22	170:24,25	169:10,14	225:7,15 227:2	44:15,19 45:6
doing 40:9	171:1,9 172:4	dual 21:18	228:7,18,22	45:21 46:2
191:2 197:13	172:13 174:10	due 176:1	229:2 233:3,11	47:20 48:16
201:10,11	174:19,21	183:18	233:15 234:15	49:9,21 56:2
212:9 220:21	175:24 176:18	duly 8:5 244:8	235:3	57:8 58:13,20

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				11
50.01.50.10	102.10.104.14	70.24.00.12	212.17.22	74.2.145.4
58:21 59:13	183:19 184:14	78:24 80:13	212:17,22	74:2 145:4
64:3,16,22	184:20 185:3	81:11,14 82:22	213:3 214:13	191:1 199:19
65:16 66:2,21	185:17 186:3	84:5,20 86:24	215:18,21,24	enter 105:23
67:16 69:16,21	193:10,14	87:2,7,12	218:17,24	120:19
70:4,5 71:8,17	195:6,25	88:22 90:23	219:5,10,14,19	entering 103:5
71:18 73:19	197:16 199:18	93:4,6,7,15	220:8,11 221:3	entire 26:5 65:8
78:22 80:1,8	200:7 201:5	95:21 97:5,12	221:7 222:10	130:20 231:2
80:22 81:11,11	205:17 206:7	97:21 98:4	226:11 227:24	entirely 20:15
81:21,24 82:10	210:9 216:8,11	99:1,8 100:8	233:19 235:9	entities 58:20
83:11 84:15,16	221:20 225:22	101:8,14,14,17	eight 54:6	63:12,19
84:25 85:9,19	230:17 232:12	101:23 102:4	195:12	entitled 15:10
85:20 86:1,17	233:22,25	104:1 112:19	either 27:16	entity 63:3,9
86:23 87:16,18	234:5,10,18	114:20 115:3	29:11 66:11	93:21 94:1,12
87:23 88:19	240:22 241:8	117:12,19	68:8 78:13	environment
90:1,8,21 91:1	241:16	118:6,7,7,14	80:4,5 81:5	190:18 198:12
91:2,12,17,21	eggs 14:22 16:2	118:16 122:10	85:4 89:6,7	environments
91:23 92:12,14	16:4,8 17:3	125:9,13,15	90:14,15 92:6	41:7
92:20 93:1	18:18,22,24	129:15,16	104:7,8 105:25	equipment
98:16,21 99:23	19:5,8 20:4,9	135:11,14	113:25 116:22	25:25 50:15
100:6,7 101:2	20:15,18 22:4	138:18,23	116:23 117:14	equitable
101:8,21 102:7	22:8,14,16,21	139:11 140:2	126:14 127:25	197:12
102:8,9,13,14	22:23 23:3	141:15 142:6	128:1 133:18	erase 52:5
106:12 107:7	25:1,5 27:1,4	142:13 143:23	157:24 179:10	Errata 243:7
107:23 108:4	27:10,18,21,25	144:4,5,9	187:8,12 200:4	error 128:9
120:4,4,5,9	28:17,22,23	147:2,9 152:2	200:12 213:5,6	220:12
126:15 131:22	29:4,7,14,23	159:6 160:5	231:11 232:9	Esq 2:6,15,23
135:4,25	30:18,19 31:18	176:18,19	232:10,16,20	essentially 120:4
137:10,10,13	31:19,22,23	177:11,11,19	232:21 245:4	establish 42:10
137:22,23,24	32:1,19 33:8	177:24 178:5,8	either/or 179:9	established
138:2,9 139:15	33:11,16 36:23	185:2,16,25	employed	103:3
139:17,18,22	36:24 39:17	186:4,5,14,17	229:14	establishes
139:22,23	40:21,22 41:1	186:20 191:9	employee 41:7	42:13
140:13 141:13	41:11 44:9,14	191:15 204:12	53:10 120:11	et 58:10
141:14,16	44:18 48:9,12	204:15,18,25	employees 9:7	ethics 105:11
142:2,4,7,13	53:11,19 55:3	205:11,14	52:1	Evaluate 215:12
143:8 145:8	55:4,6,24 56:1	206:3,11,15	employer 26:10	evaluates 50:25
146:16 147:14	63:24 64:3	207:7,13,19	employment 9:6	event 106:7
154:7 156:19	67:25 68:6	208:4,6,18,21	empty 49:4	107:12,13,14
157:9,20	70:10,11,21,21	209:5,6,17,20	ends 141:22	107:19 121:15
158:23 162:10	71:3,21 72:3,3	209:22 210:3	energy 98:2	122:12 144:13
162:13 172:17	72:7,14,24	210:11 211:3,7	ensure 49:11	events 245:6
179:14 180:9	75:20 77:13,18	211:11,17,22	50:5 57:24	everybody 22:9
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,		
L	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

31:9,10 176:14	excuse 122:12	existed 208:3		59:9 63:21
221:3,7 222:12	169:6	209:9	facilities 192:8	64:6 78:1
evolution	exercise 165:16	existence 165:10		93:22,23
194:23	165:22	exists 55:14	192:21	107:21 121:19
evolved 35:4	exhibit 4:3,4,5,7	expanded 208:8	facility 49:25 234:18	124:21 129:17
198:8	4:9,11,14,16	expect 127:22	fact 55:14 68:5	129:19 132:16
exact 53:20 71:6	4:17,20,21,23	expected 127:20	83:10 86:17	136:10 162:18
75:9 102:5	5:3,4,6,12,14	expensable 49:6	98:15 104:10	165:6 168:23
109:11 110:2	5:17,18,20,21	experience		184:8 197:12
exacting 42:18	5:22,24 6:4,5,7	57:11 102:3	110:6 116:4,11 116:16 133:14	208:10 223:25
42:19 43:8	6:9,11,12,14	186:3,6 208:8	139:5 153:12	229:18 231:19
exactly 55:8	6:16 10:14,20	experienced	155:17 160:5	fairly 30:24
118:21 153:3	11:2,4 37:5,10	103:17	165:5,12,19,21	fall 47:5 51:12
examination 3:9	37:19 41:15	experts 40:18,20	165:23 166:1,4	falling 82:12
8:5,8 35:10	45:19 61:20	139:4	188:22 195:1	83:17
examined 243:4	62:2,8,12 64:7	expires 245:21	197:14 201:18	familiar 23:4
example 26:19	68:19 74:13,15	expiring 107:18	226:12	26:9 34:3
31:24 45:10	76:4,11 77:17	explain 9:9	factor 101:11	38:20 39:25
47:15 100:4	77:22 78:5	119:25 184:3	factories 57:15	40:2,3 50:24
102:6,16 124:4	79:2,9 105:4	224:13	93:10 94:8,17	69:4 96:11,22
150:12 152:2	111:15,23	explaining	94:20 96:9	156:4 186:7,8
154:6 227:19	113:22 115:8	183:17	152:10	205:22 210:24
229:10	115:15 123:17	explains 77:13	factors 40:24	216:22 234:2
examples 31:15	130:23,24	77:17	43:11 44:8,11	familiarity
36:22 48:3	132:3 142:19	export 100:5	56:23 57:4,8	114:4
102:12	149:14 150:10	expressed 86:14	58:1,3,8,11,14	familiarize
exceed 42:23	155:21 161:2	90:17 149:1	100:11 101:1	81:19
excellence 35:22	162:25 166:9	expressly 238:6	101:10,12	far 68:17 122:15
exception 27:4	166:17 172:16	238:15 239:19	122:15 140:17	138:9 224:16
30:9 48:12	172:22 179:12	240:9	140:19 183:2,6	fashion 173:2
170:22	180:23 181:12	extend 207:21	207:2	fast 208:14
excerpt 161:8	216:15,18	207:23	factory 28:14	favor 222:22
161:10,13	225:6,13 227:1	extended 34:21	35:10 36:7	223:1
169:16	227:20 228:6	87:25	41:4 50:13,14	favorable 49:12
excerpted	228:13 230:17	extent 59:2	50:21 94:4,21	131:24 133:18
230:23	230:18 233:2	60:17 200:25	94:23 133:16	134:22
excess 201:8	233:10 234:14	202:5	151:24 191:12	Fax 4:17 166:10
exclude 240:25	235:2 236:20	extract 67:1,4,7	211:15	feasible 24:6,8
241:11,13	237:6	67:8,10,14	failed 193:19	February
excluded 43:10	exhibits 3:7 4:1	68:17 95:12	failing 191:13	245:21
Excluding 69:9	5:1,10 6:1 37:3	extremely 28:19	193:11	feed 51:8 57:22
69:10	exist 53:4	41:13	fair 10:3,8 31:22	101:15,16

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

[-				13
110 15 120 0	26.15.16.56.22	P 100 10	EN 41 107 5	07.1.2.6.12.22
118:15 120:8	36:15,16 56:22	five-year 128:19	FMI 187:5	87:1,2,6,12,22
feeds 51:6	57:3	fixed 103:1,5,11	188:18,20	87:24 90:20
feel 40:8 190:17	financially	103:12,14,16	189:17,24	104:4,10 106:9
fell 221:4,8,10	245:5	103:19,24	focus 38:16	108:25 109:10
Feyman 1:14	find 55:13 125:5	108:8,17,21	123:17,22	109:23 110:10
3:9 5:14 7:9,23	130:21 134:11	118:23,25	164:4 191:25	110:12,18
8:4,8,10,17,19	137:17 148:3	119:1 125:20	195:1 196:5	111:2 113:17
10:19,20 11:13	fine 148:2	126:4,6,17	foggy 21:5	113:22 114:6
37:1 61:19	finfish 17:2	127:23 128:5,6	folks 34:11	114:10,16,20
62:7 74:12,13	finished 125:13	128:8,25 129:8	100:14	115:3,9,16,23
76:2,10,11	176:2	129:23 130:6	follow 102:8	116:5,9,13,16
77:16,21 78:5	firm 7:19 14:9	131:14 132:25	followed 21:4	125:21 132:24
79:8,9 81:9	15:2,5,9 53:17	133:3 152:17	181:18 182:10	133:20,25
96:11 105:5	56:7 245:7	152:25 153:5,7	following 54:12	135:14 144:17
111:23 112:3	first 5:7 8:5 37:8	155:18 165:5	115:24 205:11	144:21 161:16
113:21 115:14	41:2,25 46:5	165:19 168:7	237:2 241:6	163:17 166:18
115:15 123:15	61:2 76:13	168:12,22	follows 8:7	178:21 179:2,7
161:7,12,15	78:4 79:16	179:8 181:9	154:19	198:14 211:3
162:8,19,23	109:15 121:6	214:22 215:3,7	food 24:5 36:14	211:17,18
163:7 164:15	125:18,24	215:9 235:17	41:6,12 145:6	226:11 228:14
164:25 165:17	130:16,20,21	235:18 236:4	188:6 189:7	228:18 229:6
166:16,17	131:6 132:6	fixed-price	190:14,14,16	229:11,20
172:21,22	134:9,10	133:21 134:1	196:21,22	forecast 99:17
174:8 225:12	137:12,18	151:18 153:2	197:1 198:14	99:18
225:13 227:17	150:25 154:6	165:23 166:4	218:1	forecasting
228:13 230:18	155:12 157:2	235:24 236:2	foods 2:3 4:9,14	99:14
233:9,10	167:11,14,23	238:13,24	7:20 8:14	foregoing 243:5
234:13 235:16	175:18,23	239:4,23	15:10 33:10	244:17,22
237:6 244:10	186:15 187:21	240:13 241:1	62:19,22 63:1	form 32:5 85:10
field 203:4	198:24 214:7	241:18	63:2 64:25	96:1 121:16
fifth 2:7 148:5,6	214:21 222:14	fixed-priced	65:17 69:2,10	formal 192:7
148:18	236:22 237:8	175:12	69:14,22,23	format 174:21
figure 67:22	238:1 240:6	flavor 47:25	70:3,9,11,17	formula 56:24
98:9	244:11	float 130:6	70:20,22 71:2	107:1 117:25
filed 58:19	firsthand 68:3,4	flock 201:9	71:8,14,16,17	119:6 122:17
60:25 61:5	83:3	flooded 49:17	71:21 72:4,8	122:21 125:20
filing 61:9	fiscal 157:12	flour 14:5	72:15 74:22	126:4,11,11,12
Fill 5:19	163:18	flows 56:23	75:18 76:12,17	126:13 133:7
filtered 163:17	fit 28:19	fluctuate 102:13	79:3,10,18,25	141:9 147:4
final 118:19	fits 37:9	fluctuates 96:18	80:8,14,23	151:19,23
144:9	five 53:17 54:25	fluctuation	81:12 84:23,25	155:9,15 165:6
financial 35:7	128:22	138:25	86:4,10,14,18	175:1 179:8
<u> </u>	•	•		•

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				14
101.7.014.02	16.7	140.2 6	22.17.19.56.6	221.16
181:7 214:23	16:7	149:2,6	33:17,18 56:6	231:16
215:5 235:17	front 11:15,18	generally 29:19	57:8 78:13,13	good 8:10,11
235:18	45:20 123:16	generates 160:1	97:19 101:21	36:19,19 41:5
formula-based	161:23	generating	102:4 107:16	103:13 132:23
132:9 141:7,10	frozen 15:25	210:10	111:11 117:25	191:2,14
165:24	17:2,3 33:11	generations	121:25 139:2	198:11,11
formulas 29:25	139:19	191:5	140:12,12,17	233:22,25
144:6	full 8:15 10:10	generic 169:25	141:21 142:19	234:4
formulated	34:23 121:6	germane 47:16	147:15 148:20	goods 49:25
31:10	186:16 224:3	getting 94:9	151:24 152:13	50:8 165:15
formulation	full-time 55:16	149:24 171:2	153:21 154:1	177:25
29:18 50:18	fully 44:18	230:19	157:4 161:25	government
Fort 75:19,23	238:8	Ginnane 233:21	165:21 178:14	217:11
124:13,22	function 13:19	234:16	179:12,13	governmental
234:10	13:20 36:11,12	Gino's 13:17,25	180:23 185:6	49:17
forth 131:18,24	54:7 120:16	14:3,8	189:3 191:6	grade 191:13
133:17	functional 26:2	give 10:10 12:21	195:11 197:23	graduated 13:2
forward 25:4	functionality	36:22 42:25	198:16 199:17	grain 96:18,19
forwards	23:22,23 25:16	50:8 53:7	213:17 216:14	97:18,22 98:1
224:21	funny 100:23	80:12 100:4	216:17 240:3	111:11 118:14
found 99:16	further 109:23	102:16 106:17	241:3	119:17,23
181:16	110:7 122:3	131:7 132:14	goes 36:6 50:6	122:11,14
four 51:10 56:8	134:4 161:14	145:22 152:2	78:15,17	126:11,12,13
56:10 152:11	185:15 186:16	163:1 220:17	107:17 140:8	139:5 147:4
153:6 204:24	235:22 236:8	232:6 233:7,7	145:5 148:7	grain-based
fourth 39:1	242:2 244:20	235:9	going 20:19	96:12 97:13,17
108:10 115:21	245:3	given 34:24	29:11 34:23	98:4,7,13,15
132:22 145:24	future 103:4,4,8	45:15 53:9,12	37:1 45:22	98:20 101:19
146:6 147:19	147:8 191:5	72:24 133:16	46:19 49:1	103:23 104:5
161:25 225:20	221:17	142:15 243:6	56:15 64:14	104:11 110:15
frame 86:8	futures 128:19	244:13,18	73:19 98:9,13	110:18,24
free 204:23		gives 49:18	104:1 106:8	111:2 113:17
freight 49:2,6,24	<u> </u>	Glendale 30:4	111:22 115:14	113:23 114:5
210:10	G 1:14 3:9 8:4,8	Globe 170:25	122:11 123:5	114:11,14,21
frequently	8:17 244:10	171:2 172:6,8	131:23 133:24	115:4,23 116:5
145:20 214:15	gain 122:16	174:10,16	140:1 142:17	116:9,12,17,24
fresh 14:5,21	gained 59:2	227:19 230:6	150:2,20	117:3,12,19
16:1 138:22	gather 51:20	gluten 184:6,14	151:20 152:17	119:6,14,15,18
152:5,7,9	gatherers 52:21	GMA 187:4	160:11 165:16	120:3,19
Friday 60:10	gathering 51:17	188:20 189:13	182:25 184:21	121:20 122:1,2
Friendly 15:13	GB 110:12,14	189:14 190:3	185:4,5 192:9	122:17,21,25
15:15,18,24	general 147:21	go 13:14 19:14	220:23 224:7,9	139:1 140:22
	-	•	•	•

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				13
141:11 175:2	119:22 125:1	happy 9:25	155:1 223:12	33:12 69:24
Granted 181:14	155:14 206:10	hard 120:12	224:7	71:20,22 72:8
great 220:7	206:20 224:19	208:14	higher 45:11	72:15 85:5
greater 139:3	guidelines	harm 191:2	59:15 78:23	87:3 185:16
210:10 232:6	222:23 223:1,8	harmed 190:16	128:10 168:15	186:17,20
greatly 45:22	guy 214:17	harvest 198:13	211:11	Houston 75:19
Grocers 188:8	guys 105:11	head 9:18 17:8	highest 145:5	75:24 171:15
Grocery 187:4	147:25	18:3 22:11	highly 1:12	234:10
ground 9:10		75:5 92:2	128:8	huge 183:12
59:1	H	112:11,25	Hill 4:5 22:10	humane 191:7
group 16:17,24	H-D 219:9	heals 128:1	22:11,22,25	197:10 203:12
18:17,23,24	H-E-A-R-N	health 25:25	23:9,13 74:16	204:1,14
19:4,15,17,19	187:18	26:22	74:23 75:1	humanely 193:8
20:3 21:14,21	Häagen-Dazs	hear 150:8	76:19 77:4,23	193:12 199:20
27:22,25 28:5	33:14,15,20	heard 68:1,10	78:8 124:16,18	Hygrade 2:4
29:11 32:15,16	88:5 125:2	117:16 184:13	125:14 135:20	
32:21,24 33:3	212:10,17,21	204:9	137:4 175:18	I
33:4 34:12	213:3 215:13	hearing 39:9	175:22 176:4	I-B-I-S 8:18
35:22 36:6.9	215:18,25	134:13 137:20	181:13	Ibis 8:18
42:15 70:22	216:8,10	148:23	hindsight 139:7	ice 15:13,15,18
73:3,8 89:22	218:16,17,23	heat 120:6	hinged 31:3	22:12,13,16
89:25 90:3,5	219:5 220:9	hedge 128:15,16	hired 21:13	33:13,18,20
107:8 112:17	222:10	128:23 133:6	117:21	45:11,12 63:6
112:21 143:18	Hahn 46:11	133:19	historical 99:5	75:24 83:20
159:11 187:2	half 12:1	held 13:16 21:19	215:4,8	84:1,3,14,19
191:17,22	halfway 134:8	53:5 113:8	history 67:2	88:5 124:24
192:15,19	Hampshire	188:2 218:11	99:20 103:18	139:6 176:14
194:8 199:18	15:11	236:16	104:15 131:15	204:21 205:4
201:9 204:13	hand 245:10	helpful 130:19	181:10,11	idea 132:23
217:2 221:24	handle 27:10	hen 195:21	241:15	168:5 171:6
group's 201:16	handling 226:6	Henderson 7:3	hit 229:4	identification
groups 19:13	HAP 35:11	hens 64:18	hold 16:19,21	10:17 39:5
72:22,25 73:12	happen 70:13	98:12,23	17:22 35:13	62:4 74:19
89:24 90:12	149:12	192:12,17	223:11	76:7 79:6
187:8,12	happened	195:10 202:3	home 60:1	111:20 115:12
188:12,16	122:18 129:5	203:9 204:15	hope 105:1	161:5 166:14
190:6 198:20	182:4 183:14	hereinafter 8:6	Hostess 13:7,14	172:19 225:10
203:12 204:1	186:11,13	hereunto 245:9	13:15	228:10 233:5
204:20 205:13	happens 48:5	hesitate 231:9	Hot 33:12 62:24	235:5 236:24
growing 192:2	98:17,22	high 41:22	hour 12:2	identified 11:9
196:5	happenstance	55:11 122:9	hours 12:1	17:23 37:10
guess 89:16	208:5	125:12 139:5	house 7:25	38:17 65:10

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				10
02.12.05.0	100.0	225.12	150.21 161.12	T4'44 - 100.6
93:13 95:9	190:9	235:12	158:21 161:12	Institute 188:6
108:11 113:17	impression	indication	161:15 164:6	189:7
113:21 115:25	220:17,19	115:22 126:25	174:15,23	instruct 58:25
124:12 160:9	improvement	127:9,12 234:3	194:7 212:8	200:25 202:9
160:14 163:10	36:15,17 197:9	235:10	220:12	instructed 91:7
164:14 167:15	inaccurate	indistinguisha	informative	91:11 202:20
identifies 39:20	231:13	31:9	217:15	insurance 35:6
64:16 159:5	include 17:1	individual 8:21	ingredient 147:7	120:11
identify 10:24	26:16 63:18	17:23 21:12	ingredients	interested 245:5
20:7 40:12	93:7 118:6	35:21 36:1	16:18,23,24	interesting
167:17	122:13 195:13	145:23 150:22	17:8 18:8 26:2	164:17
identifying	227:22 239:22	155:11	31:13 46:18	internal 4:9,14
159:14 161:16	241:14	individual's	112:12,13,16	76:16 79:3,10
Illinois 2:17	included 20:8	93:16	112:19 113:1	115:9,16
154:16	31:14 74:5	individuals 20:7	169:11	International
illness 53:10	118:8 147:13	89:18 125:6	inhumanely	13:7
imagine 94:10	170:20 190:23	135:19 187:14	190:17 192:23	interpret 134:2
immediate	195:10	industrial 12:25	193:3	interpretation
58:16	includes 87:4	138:4,6 140:6	initially 153:13	134:18 135:6
immune 59:3	including 58:20	industry 36:20	initiative 191:23	interrogatories
impact 101:22	102:4 135:19	201:10 241:16	192:2	5:8 236:23
122:10 177:24	inclusion 44:18	inedible 27:7	initiatives	237:8,14,21,22
183:10 200:6	inclusive 68:18	inelastic 183:18	190:21	237:24
200:11,15	incoming 46:17	infer 224:6	input 57:14	interrogatory
210:7 222:3	incorrect 56:14	inference 78:1	102:5 144:2	238:1 239:6,7
impacted	increased	155:16 162:18	193:5	239:12,14
200:23	148:12 210:8	inform 89:24	inputs 101:21	240:7,19
implement	increasing	90:6	146:15 147:23	intervention
119:8 121:13	191:25	Informa 99:10	149:4	49:18
implemented	increments	135:24,25	inquiry 83:8	intimate 26:5
121:6	127:17	136:8,13,17,21	inspected 41:5	45:14 51:2
implication	independent	136:25 137:2	50:14 191:12	57:5
212:11	201:2	information	inspection 192:8	intimated
implications	Independently	45:16 71:13,15	192:20	191:19
78:6,11	201:4	72:18 80:12,21	inspections	introduction
imply 220:3	INDEX 3:1,7	88:15 89:9	192:11	196:7,10
importance	4:1 5:1,10 6:1	90:6 97:2,3,6	inspector 197:3	inventories
208:8	indicate 80:7	99:7 105:21,24	inspects 36:6	138:19 140:1
important 9:21	indicated 138:9	116:19 117:2	instance 47:15	140:11,11,12
41:11,13 43:17	155:17 159:4	136:4,16	102:23	141:3
43:25 48:17	162:17 206:2	146:13,21	instances 43:6	inventory 140:7
49:8,23 144:24	indicates 235:11	147:13 158:15	82:25 128:4	140:15,23

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

[-				1 /
	1001510-50	l	,, ,,,,	44.5.40.55.5
141:5	106:15 107:22	join 14:9	kind 57:21	116:10,11,14
inverse 142:16	150:22 154:7	joined 86:15	194:21 196:4	116:22 117:14
investigation	154:10,24	90:18 186:24	Kitchens 44:23	119:2,4 126:3
47:25	162:1,4 163:5	199:19	Klein 27:23	129:10 132:21
invited 114:7,12	163:25 165:18	joining 235:8	knew 152:11	133:5 135:7
invoice 152:14	items 14:2,6	joint 32:14 33:5	219:16	138:21 141:23
156:18 160:8,9	15:23 25:6,18	Jones 46:7,11	knocked 104:25	141:23 146:18
160:12,14,20	25:22 49:15	Joseph's 33:24	know 9:1 21:25	149:7,25
166:7 169:19	96:5 107:20	69:23 70:25	22:3 27:13,14	151:15 152:1,3
230:12	139:24 147:22	71:3,9,12,18	27:16,17 29:5	153:3,8,18,19
invoices 153:22	149:3 154:3,19	85:2,3 87:2,5	29:6,24 30:13	153:20,23,24
169:22	162:20 165:22	143:8,16,18,20	33:17,18 34:16	155:6 157:16
invoicing	ITT 13:7	144:8 170:22	34:17,18,23	157:16 158:1,4
230:11	$oxed{\mathbf{J}}$	July 115:24	38:1,22 39:14	158:16,25
involved 20:4,18		116:4,17	40:14 42:20	159:3,9,13
22:7,22,24	Jack 15:10	161:17 163:18	46:1 48:14	160:13,16,21
27:20 32:12	Jacki 74:23	jump 213:19	50:20 51:2,13	162:16 164:19
47:20 51:17	75:10 76:23	222:13	53:16 56:11	168:3 170:23
52:20 60:4	233:16 234:15	jumped 109:4	60:2 61:11	170:23,24
89:19 125:15	Jan 168:7	June 149:15	63:10 65:6	171:4,5,6,20
189:17 193:7	January 108:13	151:4,15 168:7	66:11 67:10	171:23,25
194:12 198:20	167:19 168:22	168:22	68:8 69:17,18	172:14 174:13
205:2 213:11	January-March		71:10,11,24	174:14 175:12
221:14,19	108:17	K K C C T A K	73:1,10 77:3	175:23 177:12
229:13	Jenner 2:14	K-O-S-T-A-L	78:2,15 80:3,4	178:10,11,22
involvement	7:22	19:22	80:5 81:1,22	179:3 180:10
51:23 187:7	Jerry's 83:22,23	K-U-L-K-A-R-I	81:23 85:1,2,4	181:24 183:25
involves 185:17	84:8,10,15	19:3	85:5 87:6,10	184:6 186:9,22
IQF 48:12	205:11	keep 40:15	87:13,17,18	186:24 187:4
Iron 52:19	job 113:5,8	108:14 132:23	89:6,12,16,19	189:3,6,15
153:22 158:8	Joe 142:25	150:6 177:13	90:3,14,15	191:19,21
issue 189:7,18	143:7 147:17	Keith 2:22 7:4	91:14,15 92:6	192:11,13,24
218:16 220:1	Joe's 70:24	20:11 52:12,24	92:7,10,17,18	193:18 194:2,5
issued 92:8	John 4:5 22:10	Keith's 52:22	92:23,24 98:12	195:11,18,19
152:10 170:16	22:11 74:16,23	Kellogg 31:25	103:6,11,20,25	195:20,23
170:17	75:1 76:19	32:2	104:4,7,8,13	197:14,18
issues 47:5	124:16,18	Ken 19:22	104:14 105:6	198:4 200:2,3
190:9 195:4	132:8 135:20	Kevin 17:11	109:10 110:1,4	200:4,5,8,9,12
issuing 54:14	137:4 175:18	key 52:20	110:17 113:7	202:23 203:19
Italian 15:5	181:13 210:23	kids 100:18	113:10,15	203:22 204:8
item 31:5,8	210:24 211:1,2	101:5,6 130:13	114:2,9,15,19	205:5,6 206:20
33:16 96:6	212:6	killing 201:8	115:1,5,19	211:4,25
<u> </u>		•		

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				10
	1	 [1	 [
212:20 213:2,5	5:18,20,22,24	leader 182:20	229:1 230:16	46:25 47:6
213:6,15 215:9	6:3,5,7,9,10,12	leadership	230:22 239:14	94:21 156:16
215:14 216:24	6:14,16 74:17	221:25	letter 77:9,12,17	listed 40:23
218:12 219:1,6	76:5 79:4	leads 93:10	77:22	46:16 68:25
220:25 222:17	111:19 115:10	Lean 62:25	level 18:5 229:4	69:15 94:1,3
223:23 224:17	158:13 161:3	leaning 179:2	leverage 24:5,7	96:5 106:12
226:7 227:10	166:12 172:18	learn 174:15	Lewis 5:14 6:6	107:23 124:19
227:21,23	225:8 228:8	188:21 198:24	21:11,12,22,25	154:3 162:23
228:2 229:19	233:3 235:3	learned 36:18	72:18,21 123:3	162:24 164:13
230:7 231:11	labor 49:17	136:25 137:2	123:18 124:3	164:24,25
231:14,21	120:11	learner 189:4	131:1 132:6	222:21 232:4
232:9,10,11,13	lack 210:12	leave 21:22	135:20 181:13	239:6
232:16,20,21	Lake 124:13,22	left 14:9 56:7	185:7,11,15	listing 144:16
237:13,16	171:18,19	145:3 154:25	210:22 211:6	154:8
241:19	language 167:22	157:6 169:19	212:2,16	lists 94:16,19
knowing 77:25	167:24	185:2	213:15 218:14	litigation 1:6
114:22 158:20	larger 24:18	legal 7:3 59:7	220:5 222:7,8	7:12 12:9
165:4 177:10	largest 119:17	legislation 210:2	224:21 225:3	51:14 81:3
229:15	late 12:16	210:7	227:3	113:12 156:12
knowledge 59:2	137:16	length 129:7	licensed 33:16	158:5 172:24
59:5 61:2 64:1	Latrobe 15:4	Leonard 2:5	life 26:1 34:4	230:25
64:24 68:3,4,5	Laurel 75:19,23	7:19	87:25 107:17	little 21:5 61:13
72:13 80:25	124:12,22	lesser 128:25	144:21,24	130:5 142:20
116:3 135:9	171:10,11	let's 19:14 33:7	145:3,7,17,18	189:19 204:8
199:2,6 201:2	174:17 234:17	52:9 62:10,13	lift 134:5,15	LLP 2:5,14 7:22
201:17 202:10	law 7:19 73:17	64:7 95:8	135:8	load 168:11
202:24 205:24	lawful 8:4	97:16 105:1	lifting 135:1	231:15
235:23	lawsuit 58:24	108:3 123:6	likewise 83:6	loaded 169:8
knowledgeable	59:12,18 60:4	132:2 142:18	98:11,20	local 145:14
189:24 197:22	60:8,12,15,19	142:23 150:25	197:18 200:9	locally 28:5
known 32:15	60:25,25 61:5	154:1 157:4	201:24 236:2	located 30:2,4,8
knows 50:7,9	63:13 90:12	161:21,21,25	limited 218:18	30:12
kosher 106:13	200:17,20	163:9 173:25	219:21 222:9	location 25:23
Kostal 19:22	lay 120:5 125:7	175:16 178:14	limits 42:11,13	29:3 49:20,22
Kulkarni 19:3	layer 98:12	178:20 179:12	line 136:11	50:4,17 133:14
20:25 21:7	laying 64:18	180:23 182:6	lines 155:11	locations 124:11
	201:9	183:16 185:6	liquid 87:25	174:17
L	lead 51:25	199:17 213:17	143:8 162:10	lodged 84:10
labeled 4:6,7,9	145:14 147:13	214:4 216:14	162:13 168:6	logical 89:17
4:12,14,16,19	166:3 169:2	216:17,18	list 11:3 33:9	90:4
4:20,22,24 5:3	206:10 210:14	218:13 222:14	38:18 39:22	long 11:24 13:12
5:4,12,15,16	212:18	224:12 226:17	40:1,3 46:24	13:24 14:24
	212.10	221.12 220.17	10.1,5 10.21	15.2 11.2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				19
16 10 112 7	105 0 126 11	110 15 15		140 10 142 15
16:19 113:7	125:8 136:11	118:15,15 205:8	manufacturing	142:12 143:15
138:23 156:24 168:20 188:2	148:12 151:25		47:23 50:4	145:25 146:4 147:14 152:6
	153:10 154:25	Majoras 20:11	188:8	
192:9,10	174:16 192:12	20:13,18	March 108:13	175:25 176:5
196:23	192:16 210:21	Majoris 20:24	margin 120:12	176:10,17,19
long-term 40:7 222:3	212:1 219:4	21:1,7	128:9	176:24 177:6
	227:13 240:6	majority 184:22	mark 20:10 29:9	177:13,14
longer 19:7,9	240:16	making 83:25	61:19 76:1	178:3 182:18
33:24 127:23	looks 124:7	84:2,6,15	173:18	182:19
128:3,6 145:17	136:18,21	143:23 146:16	marked 4:2 5:2	market-based
150:5	143:14 146:19	190:16 191:3	5:10,11 6:1,2	141:15
look 57:18 62:10	147:1 163:16	205:2,17	10:16,20 37:3	marketing
62:13 64:7	167:6 173:8	223:17	41:15 62:3,8	45:15 72:22,25
95:2,8 96:4	lose 122:15	manage 53:8,11	74:13,18 76:6	73:2,7,12
97:22,25 98:8	lot 169:8	management	76:11 77:16	82:11 89:24
98:11 99:4	loud 149:24	42:16 175:24	79:5,9 105:3	90:2,5 91:21
103:24 105:3	Louis 171:16,17	manager 13:11	111:19,23	188:6 189:7
110:8 126:5,23	low 28:19	16:17,24 18:24	115:11,15	205:4 212:18
132:2 135:15	184:19 223:17	19:4,15,17,19	123:16 132:3	218:5 221:24
136:3,7,21	low-cost 48:22	19:23,24 20:3	142:18 155:21	marketplace
142:18,23	lower 24:20,22	21:14,21 27:22	161:4 166:13	41:9 142:17
144:15 145:23	49:2,24 119:12	143:15,17	166:17 170:3	145:14 176:2
145:24 147:4	226:6	managers 18:17	172:18,22	176:12
147:19 149:13	lowest 117:24	manages 194:7	175:17 178:14	markets 111:12
150:25 151:20	118:9,11,12	managing 59:14	216:14 225:9	139:22 140:9
153:20,25	119:13,16,24	Manchester	225:13 227:1	147:9,21
154:18,23	129:7 177:12	15:10	228:9,12 233:4	148:15 149:1
155:20 156:19		mandatory	233:10 234:12	214:23
167:15 175:16	<u>M</u>	155:12	235:4 236:23	Marr 222:16,17
181:12 182:6	M-A-J-O-R-A	manner 44:6	237:6	224:14,23
183:16 210:18	20:11	manufacture	marker 165:8,9	Marr's 222:20
213:20 214:3	M-O-R-A-W	41:6 43:3	market 45:5	Mary 220:1
218:13 229:1	52:13	57:19	97:9,12 98:3,8	222:16,17
230:16,22	Mace 80:17,18	manufactured	98:18,25 99:8	224:23
231:3 239:14	80:20 81:2	33:22 45:12	99:17,19 100:7	Maryland
looked 149:14	88:14 135:20	50:17	101:2 103:25	234:18
152:22 181:2	178:18 179:22	manufacturer	127:21 128:8	Master's 12:24
197:1	179:24	41:12	128:20 130:6	13:3,5
looking 65:21	maintain 24:4	manufacturer's	133:7,24 136:4	match 152:14
66:14,25 96:1	maintains 172:4	218:4	137:16,23,24	163:2 164:14
107:22 109:5	major 43:15	manufacturers	138:9,21,25	164:25
119:23 120:16	73:24 101:7,7	45:13 187:5	139:15,17	matched 162:24
	•	•	•	•

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

ir-				20
material 11:15	83:18 84:2	187:4 188:20	228:19	228:18 229:6
11:18 35:14	91:6 99:15	members	MFI0259554	229:11,20
45:4 94:19,19	104:16 111:9	188:22	4:24 228:8	Michael's 64:25
94:24 96:17	118:12 125:2	Memo 4:5 74:16	MFI0259556	70:1
99:12 128:18	126:9 128:16	memory 58:17	4:13 111:19,24	microbiological
145:20,23	120.9 128.10	mention 112:13	MFI0553015	35:10 41:23
154:17 156:17	131:20 132:12	147:10 196:4	79:11	50:13
161:18 162:3,8	139:7 141:20	mentioned 5:10	MFI0553015	microstandards
162:23 179:6	149:8 158:14	6:1 23:15 26:3	4:10 79:4	42:7,8,18
214:19 222:3	163:4 167:8	26:14 35:25	mic 104:24	middle 167:24
226:6	168:9 169:12	54:16 55:2	198:15	222:7
materials 11:16	176:13 181:5	56:8 68:1,14	Michael 2:3 4:9	Mike 113:6
12:7,13 24:10	182:23 184:25	75:1 83:16	4:14 7:20 8:14	million 28:20,22
24:17 26:2,23	186:12 190:25	86:25 97:17	17:5 65:17	28:24,25 55:9
35:9 36:10	191:10 194:23	118:9 119:13	69:2,10,14,22	55:10 79:20
47:22 50:3	215:2 219:22	133:15 135:19	70:3,9,20 71:2	178:5 229:4
52:18 59:24	220:6,10	135:25 139:10	71:8,14,16,17	mine 149:19
66:8 68:19	meaning 118:17	139:12 172:7	71:21 72:4,8	199:15 228:15
97:7 99:9	135:2	178:4 209:25	72:15 74:22	Minneapolis 2:8
117:23 118:5	means 46:17	message 90:2	75:18 76:12,17	Minnesota 2:8
138:17 145:2	93:20 130:2	met 8:12 11:14	79:3,10,18,25	minute 65:20
150:17 158:16	157:17 182:24	43:1 81:13	80:8,14,23	minutes 104:23
160:2	183:25 184:20	229:12	81:12 86:4,10	miscellaneous
matter 8:14	185:1 212:16	methodology	86:14,18 87:1	20:1
40:18 62:10	218:9 223:23	177:2,6	87:6,12,22,24	missed 20:22
196:8	224:11,19,20	MFI0032735	90:20 104:4,10	misspoke
matters 54:13	meant 42:21	4:8 76:6	106:9 108:25	136:20 148:7
McGregor 2:22	47:2 133:10	MFI0102001	109:10,23	163:19 173:14
7:4	134:15 146:18	115:17	110:10,12,18	mistreated
MDL 1:6 7:11	173:15,16	MFI0102001	111:2 113:17	195:17,22
meal 44:19	215:20 219:13	4:15 115:10	113:22 114:6	197:4
48:15 146:9,14	meat 15:9 19:24	MFI0109021	114:10,16,20	mistreating
146:25 148:16	152:5,7,9	4:6 74:18	115:3,9,16,23	195:14
149:1	222:24	MFI01093250	116:5,9,13,16	misunderstood
meals 33:11	meats 15:25	6:11	125:21 132:24	93:19
mean 24:7 26:4	medical 26:1	MFI0259539	133:20,25	mix 44:20
28:12 31:7	meet 11:21 35:8	166:19	135:14 144:17	129:22 132:24
36:13 42:19	35:14 41:3	MFI0259539	144:21 161:16	mixed 48:13
43:19 45:25	42:23 43:4,8	4:19 166:12	163:17 166:18	142:22
49:13 50:10	50:23 129:22	MFI0259547	178:21 179:2,7	Mm-hmm 125:3
59:24 60:10	meeting 209:23	225:14	211:3,17,18	180:8 185:9
80:16 82:16	member 187:1,3	MFI0259554	226:11 228:14	model 117:12,19
<u> </u>	-	-	-	-

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				21
122:21,22	movement	need 9:17 34:8	NES00004517	90.19 91.12 14
moment 163:1	movement 194:22 197:8	88:13 89:14	5:23	80:18 81:12,14 81:20,23 82:10
210:19	194.22 197.8	99:5 149:21	NES0004501	83:1,7 85:8,19
	moves 140:4	152:8 235:14	5:24	86:13 87:2,7
moments 8:12 58:9			3:24 Nestle 5:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	moving 198:5	needed 30:20		87:15,16,19,23
money 122:16 122:18	214:22	needs 54:10	236:21	88:3,8,21 89:2
1	mozzarella 14:5	negotiate 24:20 24:22	Nestlé 1:18 2:12	89:10,11 90:16
Monte 80:17,18	multiple 57:25		2:24 7:22,25	90:21 91:1,11
80:20 81:2	multiplying	negotiated	8:21 11:1,9,10	91:18,20 92:12
88:14,14	160:20	109:15	12:8 16:12	92:14,20 93:4
135:20 179:22	mushy 48:7	negotiation	17:17,18,25	93:21 94:11
179:24	myriad 49:15	109:23	19:15 22:1,15	95:20,25 98:25
month 97:22,23	N	negotiations	22:18 23:12,15	99:6 103:6,10
157:12,20	name 7:3 8:12	110:7 127:7	23:24 24:1,2,4	103:24 104:5
163:18 170:9	8:15 23:21	168:17	24:13 25:10,13	104:11 106:2
monthly 131:15	8:15 23:21 39:20 54:20	NES00000072	25:18,19 26:3	109:9,10,22
175:6,8		6:16	26:6,12,14,15	110:1 112:14
months 15:6	66:4,12,17	NES00000111	26:17,20 27:20	113:24 114:7
18:22,25 30:5	68:1,10 83:21	5:17	30:17 31:18,23	114:11,19
112:23,24	93:17 167:6,7	NES00000130	32:12 33:8,10	115:2,25 116:6
127:14,18	167:12,14	5:15	35:16 36:19	116:11,25
129:2,6,11,16	187:17,21,23	NES00000177	40:12,15,24	117:11,18
129:18 138:18	190:3 205:5	6:4	43:7,11,25	121:9 122:25
150:19,21,23	named 244:10	NES00000394	44:14 45:1,5,9	125:19 127:12
152:25,25	names 23:15	4:22 6:13	46:17 47:17,20	127:16 133:25
153:1,7 232:15	56:13 73:1	225:8	48:18 49:20	136:3,6 138:24
232:17	90:3	NES00000403	50:3,25 53:15	140:21 142:2
Morawski 52:13	NAP 17:21	6:9	53:16 58:11,19	144:3,24
54:2,3,4	22:19 31:17	NES00000458	59:7,17 60:24	145:16 146:17
morning 8:10	nature 31:9	5:12	61:5,8,9 62:9	147:1 153:1,14
8:11 9:10	NBS 22:18	NES00000482	62:15,18,22	156:14,23
11:23 12:1,17	26:20,23 30:1	6:8	63:2,3,5,6,8,11	157:10 158:19
38:12,13,23	30:16 31:17	NES00000499	63:13,15,17,17	158:21,22
mortality 120:7	32:22 33:5	6:14	63:20,23 64:22	159:5,6 162:20
Mountain 52:19	34:7,18 144:3	NES00002013	65:6,7,8,11,16	165:15 168:21
153:22 158:9	144:8 179:24	5:18	66:2,21 67:25	168:25 170:6
move 99:23	180:2	NES00002084	68:6,23 69:5	172:23 178:5
131:23 133:17	NCRA 1:25	5:21	69:16,20,21	180:3 181:2
138:11,12,13	necessarily	NES00004500	70:3,9,19 71:1	187:1,11
138:15 142:14	30:15 33:17	4:20 172:18	71:2,8,21 72:3	188:14,22
215:3 221:20	138:13	NES00004501	72:7,14 78:23	189:23 190:10
222:14	Ned 41:16	4:16 161:4,11	80:6,11,13,16	190:21 198:20
			, , - , -	
	1	l .	ı	

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

100 5 1 100 15	l		l	
198:24 199:15	nevertheless	noticed 100:18	90:17	157:3 162:15
199:17,25	74:10	noting 209:11	objections 5:6	163:21 166:22
200:5,9,13,18	new 15:10 47:3	number 4:2 5:2	236:21 237:7	172:5 187:20
200:22 201:25	192:6,8,15,18	5:11 6:2 7:11	240:20	193:15 225:1
202:1,6,10,18	192:20	9:7 17:19 37:5	obtain 13:1	228:16
202:23 203:5,7	newsletter	39:21 44:23	obtained 79:21	Ohio 1:20 7:10
203:13 204:2	189:12	49:20 50:2	87:23 135:11	8:18 244:3,8
204:14,16,18	NEX0000076	58:19,21 60:3	135:13 161:15	245:11,19
204:22 205:13	6:5	60:11 82:18	obtaining 13:4	oil 146:9,14
205:16 206:2	nine 14:1 15:6	83:2 94:8	81:2	147:1,6 148:16
206:11,16,22	nominal 120:11	106:17 107:20	occasion 34:21	149:2 204:6
207:7,19 208:3	non-certified	108:12 118:3	173:11	okay 20:21 21:1
209:1,5,22	71:18	135:19 152:8	occasions 60:11	32:9 36:22
210:1,7 212:11	non-Dreyer's	154:8,9,11,12	occur 13:21	37:20 39:9
213:8 215:24	209:16	154:20 156:18	23:19 180:22	59:10 62:17
218:3,11 220:3	non-egg 186:5	159:23,24	203:17 230:5	64:11 65:12,24
220:3,15 221:1	non-UEP 78:12	162:3 166:21	occurred 24:21	73:4 94:22
221:20 223:1,7	92:20 93:2	187:3 233:12	36:23 48:4	105:14 106:23
223:11 226:3	noncompetitive	239:15	205:22	108:3,4,7,14
227:25 229:6	111:13	numbered	occurring 92:4	108:20 109:17
229:11,19	normally 180:20	225:14	occurs 180:20	109:21 110:9
230:2,24 234:9	North 2:16	numbers 28:18	October 46:21	120:2 123:21
235:23 236:3	17:21 22:19	42:25 141:5	75:20 79:20	127:5,8 131:2
237:20 238:15	23:16,18 25:15	231:24	109:2 110:4	131:5,8 132:17
238:25	Notary 244:7	numerous 51:8	151:8 218:25	134:11,12,13
Nestlé's 35:9	245:18	nurturing 120:6	222:20	136:13 137:17
42:17 43:2,8	notation 75:18	NUSA 17:14,16	off-site 52:18	137:21 141:4
84:19 158:1	79:17 155:8	26:8	offer 104:18	142:1 143:3,6
188:15 199:10	note 47:9 92:11	nutrition 29:13	109:7	146:4 148:11
199:13 202:5	114:4 185:11	30:9,11	offered 104:5,11	148:17,20,21
237:7 241:8	214:21		108:8 114:17	149:17,23
Nestlé's/Dreye	noted 12:6	0	116:13,24	150:9 151:7,17
79:17	88:24 118:4	O 187:18	offering 48:2	153:9 155:10
never 29:24,25	120:21 163:11	O'Brien 115:19	108:25 179:7	156:13,25
32:2 34:13	222:22	O'Hearn 187:15	229:11	161:24 162:2
38:4,7,13,15	notes 77:12	187:18,23,23	office 25:25	164:5 165:11
38:23 45:15	129:21 132:8	190:1 217:3,5	30:15 245:10	166:23 167:18
117:16 157:22	132:23 223:11	object 32:6	officer 15:22	173:24 179:21
182:4 183:14	224:22 229:2	58:25 200:24	17:13,20	179:25 182:14
184:13 186:11	notice 4:3 10:15	202:4	oh 28:25 48:5	185:22 192:16
186:12 213:11	10:25 11:19	objection 32:5	65:22 81:18	195:8 196:22
223:5	222:21	85:10 86:7,14	84:6 108:14	203:3 208:14

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

200 15 210 20	150 04 160 2 4	242.4	120 16 20	/6. 11 102 4
209:15 210:20	159:24 160:3,4	p.m 242:4	130:16,20	pass/fail 193:4
211:5 212:5	160:15 170:16	Pack 15:10	131:6 134:9,10	pasta 14:6 33:24
213:23 214:12	199:19	package 172:9	137:9,13,19	69:23 70:24
224:5 225:2	ordered 43:22	172:12	175:23 222:5	71:4,9,12,18
229:17 230:21	orders 54:15	packaging	223:11 225:20	85:2,3 87:3,5
232:20,22	170:17	225:22	229:3 240:17	143:8,16,18,20
236:12 240:3	organization	page 3:3 11:3,4	parameter	170:23
241:3	15:3 23:21	39:1 41:21	68:21	paste 14:4
old 192:22	24:2 30:14	45:21 46:5	paramount	146:20,23
omission 220:12	119:11 190:17	62:14 64:9,14	190:14	147:11
on-boarding	organizations	64:14 76:13,18	Pardon 7:8	pasturized
35:3,5	188:23	77:7 78:4	parent 194:15	162:11,14
once 28:18	organized 44:6	79:16 113:20	part 11:17 12:7	Pat 19:25,25
54:24 137:14	organoleptic	115:21 125:19	32:25 33:5,25	pathogens 42:10
ones 43:15	45:23	125:24 135:16	50:5 63:5,8	Pauline 52:13
45:24 70:7	orientation	135:17 142:24	67:9 89:10,11	54:2,5
139:19 142:9	72:17	143:1,1,2	102:7,8,9	Pause 196:10
ongoing 72:20	original 117:21	144:15 145:25	105:9 107:7	pay 78:23 98:9
online 170:24	outcome 196:3	146:1,2 153:25	112:19,21	98:13,16,21
open 62:16	Outlook 145:25	154:9,24	118:15,15	120:7,8 130:5
operate 197:6	146:4	161:21,25	143:18 164:17	152:15 165:15
operation 50:12	output 59:14	166:23 167:23	168:16 190:23	185:3 189:21
opinion 132:14	outputs 201:7,7	175:18 182:6	196:12,13	197:12 218:18
183:8 200:21	outside 27:25	185:11 210:22	208:3 238:7	paying 49:5
217:19 218:10	59:6 152:2	214:4 216:17	239:20 240:6	97:21 103:7
opportunities	202:11	217:14 222:7	240:23 241:9	104:1 109:12
24:6,8	outstanding	222:14 230:22	241:18	110:2 126:15
opportunity	54:13	238:1 240:19	participate	151:11,16
49:16 226:2	Oven 15:5	244:25	34:22	153:4 219:17
opposed 119:19	overage 126:19	pages 12:13	particular 23:10	payment 166:7
159:10 202:23	overages 215:6	156:24 157:2	49:9 58:12	230:11
220:22	overhead 98:2	paid 118:18	97:21 119:3	Pecek 74:23
opposite 102:5	Overview 143:9	153:14 158:19	161:18 197:10	75:10 76:23
opted 153:4	owned 29:15	158:23 162:20	particularly	77:24 233:16
option 132:1	64:17 83:24	221:17	41:11 162:1	234:9,15,16
options 23:2		palm 204:6	224:18	235:14
49:18 77:13,17	P	Papa 13:16,24	partners 18:4,9	Pennsylvania
125:17	P 2:15	14:3,8	parts 23:11	1:2 7:14 15:4
order 24:4 92:9	P-E-T-R-I-E	Papetti's 2:4	184:2 209:1,10	people 30:14
94:24 142:20	17:11	paragraph	209:10,16,22	40:23 44:25
142:21 145:4	P-R-A-S-H-A	62:13,17 64:8	party 245:4	50:19 52:16,19
151:25 159:22	19:2	75:17 127:1,5	pass 35:9	52:21 53:7
<u> </u>				

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

T _F				
50.10.50.0	1 204 5 4 4		125 11 100 10	1 210 15
59:19 60:3	204:5,14	62:24	135:11 180:18	219:17
69:7,9 89:13	Petrie 17:11	point 70:14	poultry 14:20	preparation
122:9 151:24	pH 50:16	109:19 132:19	15:25 19:23	12:4 59:23
151:24 169:8	phrase 241:22	133:20 136:10	97:6 222:24	72:1 104:19
189:3 194:12	physical 35:8	140:13 192:4	pound 79:21	prepare 11:12
197:12 198:11	pick 133:16	207:10 208:11	108:13,24	12:18 30:22
220:17	picking 152:3	214:21 223:25	109:3 110:5	67:6 229:25
percent 25:8	piece 50:15	pointing 107:25	126:15 128:17	prepared 33:10
64:17 125:12	55:10	149:22 150:15	128:22 152:8	37:25 38:3,5
145:3	pieces 35:21	points 35:12	229:4 231:7,23	50:25 54:23
perfect 147:20	pizza 14:4 62:24	policy 198:1	pounds 49:1,4,7	55:6 62:19,22
148:19 149:10	place 7:9 9:6	227:4,15	126:16 162:11	62:25 63:2
period 20:20	35:13 48:7	ponder 189:21	168:11,14	69:23 70:10,17
103:4 109:2,7	128:14 192:22	Poor 45:23	231:8,16	70:21 84:23,24
126:16 127:24	197:23 205:24	popularity	powder 106:12	87:2 227:10
128:12,19	208:24 244:21	145:20	107:24 108:4	preparing 32:13
151:12 161:17	placeholder	pork 17:1 19:24	109:1 154:7	81:16 212:9
164:10 168:12	153:16,17	portion 25:4	powders 169:1	Pres 4:11,18
172:25 175:14	places 57:1	76:13,15 178:8	229:5	111:16 112:6
194:25 208:7	Plaintiff 11:1	POs 152:10	Power 29:16,18	166:10 167:25
238:4 239:17	plan 9:8 35:11	153:17	practice 101:25	228:23
240:7,20	127:6	position 14:24	practices 36:19	presence 42:9
periods 152:24	planning 169:13	16:15,20,21	36:21	244:15
153:6	plant 35:8 49:16	24:19 49:12	Prashant 19:2	present 2:21
perishable	170:9,14,18	53:7 111:13	predated 21:1	20:6 48:21
138:22 140:3	174:16 191:12	176:2 177:9	22:5	116:16 240:21
person 11:9	225:24	234:17	predates 78:3	presented 110:6
16:9 23:1	plants 24:9	positive 87:21	168:19	115:23 116:5
42:21 54:6,9	49:20 50:6	possibility 174:9	predictor 147:8	presently 16:22
88:14 89:8,12	75:24 124:23	185:24	149:11	30:3
115:2 141:24	170:20 171:8	possible 32:4,10	predictors	preservation
144:7 218:6	play 177:24	63:18 92:19,22	147:21 148:19	199:25
person's 205:5	played 189:25	134:6,16	preference	president 15:3
personal 199:22	plays 118:14,15	175:25 176:5,7	23:14 212:10	15:21 187:16
217:24	please 7:16 8:2	176:10 177:13	preferences	187:25
personnel 13:11	plugs 165:6,7	203:22,23	23:10	press 61:3,4
13:19	plus 55:10	possibly 90:9	preferred 39:22	pressure 212:11
Pertained 9:6	120:10 126:7,8	175:10 182:2	40:1,2,3,5,13	212:18,18
pertaining 52:4	126:19 152:18	210:17	40:16	213:8,14
pest 122:12	155:1,10 215:5	potatoes 17:3	premise 231:10	pretty 73:23
PETA 203:12	PO 156:18	potential 41:22	premium 79:21	99:21 103:13
203:16,20,25	Pockets 33:12	potentially	185:4 218:18	prevalent
		1 J		1-1.55
<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	1	1

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				23
209:12	101.7 0 102.0	114.11 01	160:19 171:24	141:24
previously 5:10	181:7,9 182:9 182:10,20	114:11,21 115:4 116:9,12	194:6,13	product 31:4
6:1 29:15	183:1,3,4,7,10	116:17,25	process 34:20	43:13 44:21
41:15 179:6	183:14 185:4	117:4 118:1	35:3,5,13 51:7	45:1 57:19
196:16	201:12,14	117.4 118.1	192:15,16	58:13 71:4
price 58:11	215:3,5,7,10	125:20 126:4	193:21	102:13,14
78:13,15,17,23	230:5 231:7,23	127:3,10	Processed 1:5	106:11 109:12
96:17 97:9,9	235:18,25	129:24 132:9	7:11	120:5 125:9,13
97:12,22 98:3	236:4 238:8	159:8 168:6,21	processing	137:10,13
98:16,21,25	240:24 241:10	175:2,2,3	31:13	138:22 139:23
100:6,8,9	241:15	176:17 177:2	procure 27:5	154:15 157:20
101:2,17,23	priced 40:8 41:8	177:11 181:1	procured 25:21	176:2,11 179:2
101.2,17,23	152:5	183:17 201:11	procurement	182:3 186:4
103:2,7,11,12	prices 24:20,22	214:23 225:20	15:21 18:15	205:17 215:25
103:2,7,11,12	54:14 59:14	227:18 230:8	22:19 23:17,18	218:4 230:13
103:14,10,17	60:22 97:5	232:8 235:17	25:15 35:21	production
108:23 108:12	99:5,22 100:3	235:17,19,19	54:7	49:25 88:5
109:17,18	101:8 108:8,21	primarily 14:20	produce 50:21	210:3
110:2 118:17	109:14 111:11	141:15	141:18 142:4,5	products 1:5 2:4
118:19,23,25	119:12 126:22	Primera 117:7	142:7 145:21	7:12 16:1
119:1,17	126:23 129:13	principally	produced 51:14	27:14 41:6,22
120:15 121:16	131:15 140:12	142:4,5,7	74:21 75:24	42:11 44:10
126:11 127:23	142:13 151:12	180:12	76:12 141:15	45:5,6 48:16
128:1,8,9,10	151:23 152:10	principles	141:16 156:11	49:10 58:10
129:14 130:6	152:17,17,23	193:22	158:5 172:23	64:4,23 65:16
131:14 132:17	153:7,12,14	print 156:23	233:11	66:2,22 69:16
132:25 133:3,7	158:18,22	print 130.23 printing 157:1	producer 43:7,9	69:20,21 70:4
134:22 138:6	162:22,24	prior 55:16 71:7	48:22 49:21	70:5 81:11,11
138:12,20,20	163:6,7 164:9	145:25 173:12	50:2 85:8,20	86:23 87:15,16
140:1,4,8,14	164:12,14,24	199:7,11	85:21,24,25	87:18,23 99:23
141:2,4,4,5	164:25 165:5,5	207:18 229:18	86:19 103:12	101:2 137:24
147:2 151:15	165:6,19	229:22 230:2	103:15 215:9	138:4 139:17
151:19,21,25	200:11,23	235:8	producer's	139:18 140:14
152:9,11,12,13	227:21 238:6	privately 13:16	44:10,10	141:16 142:4,7
152:14 153:4	238:16 239:1	privileged 59:3	producers 57:19	142:14 156:19
154:14 155:9	239:20 240:10	probably 25:8	58:20,21 59:13	157:9 158:23
155:15 160:20	240:23 241:9	55:15 58:15	64:17,21 65:15	169:13,14
162:20 165:9	241:16,17	59:15 60:16,17	68:24 141:14	177:25 217:16
165:13 168:12	pricing 97:2	70:18 129:4,16	142:3,4 199:18	218:3,10
168:15 175:7	99:21 104:5,11	131:22 133:13	201:5 210:9,14	232:12 239:19
175:13 176:6	107:1 113:18	134:21 137:1	229:20	240:9
176:19 179:8,8	113:23 114:5	139:6 153:21	producing	program 86:15
ĺ				
L	•		•	•

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

I -				20
	l	1	1	1
198:25 199:11	75:18 82:22	63:24 65:16	238:5 239:18	225:9 228:9
199:14 200:1,6	88:9 90:22	69:21 84:5	240:8,22 241:8	233:5 235:5
200:10,14,23	114:1 120:6	87:16 91:12	241:11,11	236:24
201:22 202:3	132:24 136:23	93:4 94:20	purchasing	pursuant 11:1
203:9 227:14	147:21 149:2	95:16 118:5	13:20 14:16	151:11
programming	184:21 214:15	125:7,15	15:6 17:8,13	push 117:22
95:1	226:8 228:4	128:20 143:24	17:20,21 18:3	118:4 119:10
progressed	provided 12:8	145:9 151:25	18:13 19:15,19	put 48:24 66:8
15:21	52:8 71:17	153:2 159:1,22	19:23,24 20:3	68:20 111:12
progressively	76:14 78:6	159:24 160:3,4	22:11,20 24:5	123:15 124:6
70:15	114:10 228:20	160:9,15,16	24:15 25:5	131:25 139:6
projects 21:20	229:21 230:2	162:20 170:15	26:23 47:16,20	151:21,21
promotion	230:24	170:17 172:17	75:5 80:7,13	152:6 156:6,16
198:21	providing 79:12	173:5,6,15,17	80:22 89:19,22	198:13
pronounce	133:3 136:16	176:17 180:9	89:22,25 91:10	puts 49:11 56:24
45:23	146:12 212:7	204:18,22	91:22 92:3,20	57:21 212:11
proper 35:12	227:25 228:19	205:10,13,18	93:1 96:18	putting 191:25
properly 35:7	proving 166:6	226:11 230:17	112:11 113:1	
proposal 104:5	psychology	purchased 14:4	117:12,23	Q
104:11 107:15	12:24,25	16:4,9 22:16	118:22 119:16	Q4 229:5
109:10 110:13	public 187:16	25:22 28:4,17	125:8 136:24	quadrant 51:1
110:18 111:3	187:25 188:19	31:18 33:11	139:1 140:21	51:11
114:5,7,11,14	193:25 194:6,8	44:18,22 45:1	142:2 143:15	quadrants
116:9,12	197:21 198:19	66:21 72:4	143:17,18	51:10 56:10,11
121:16 142:10	217:5,6 244:7	81:12 87:19	180:13,16	57:2 58:5
proposals 64:22	245:18	114:20 115:3	206:3 207:7	qualified 167:16
105:22 110:24	publish 97:4	117:18 151:10	214:12 233:18	244:9
117:5 121:20	publishes 97:2	160:5 177:19	235:13	qualify 141:1
proposed 67:24	pull 52:18 99:5	207:19 235:9	Purina 27:5	151:17
68:6 113:23,25	153:22	purchasers	purport 37:22	quality 24:5,17
proposing 66:2	pulled 66:6	186:4	purported	36:14 41:10,11
109:24	158:8	purchases 24:3	156:22	42:16 45:7,11
proprietary	purchase 4:20	24:12 26:15	purporting	45:23 47:5
31:4,11	14:3,20 15:23	28:21 31:16	107:10 143:12	48:2 50:12
protein 52:25	16:2,8 17:1	40:25 56:25	172:24 182:16	57:11 58:9
185:17,18	18:16 20:4	57:7 58:10	purposes 10:16	145:5 190:14
186:1	22:8,13,20	66:7,15 88:4	62:4 63:16	quantities 24:18
proteins 16:25	23:3 24:8 25:3	91:1,18 92:12	74:18 76:7	28:4
45:21 46:2	25:19 26:21	95:21 157:19	79:5 81:3	quantity 5:22
48:7 183:20	27:21 30:18	158:16 159:1,6	111:20 115:11	43:22 103:2
provide 50:19	33:8 54:14	161:16 180:7	157:2 161:4	160:20
70:4,20 71:15	55:9 58:12	231:2 232:12	166:13 172:19	quarter 214:19
,				
	1		1	

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

		•	ī	ī
quarterly 175:9	R	18:21	205:1 210:4	189:3 226:20
214:18	R 2:6	realized 208:7	215:23 216:10	226:23 236:15
queried 158:8	R-E-A-R-D-O	really 23:7	219:6 225:2	236:16,18
queries 52:17	20:12	43:14 55:11	227:7,13	237:3,5,18
query 67:13	R&D 47:10,16	145:19 157:5	receive 44:4	records 66:4,5
156:5,16	47:21 181:23	181:3 195:2	49:25 83:1	88:20 158:25
178:12	race 155:22	Realtime 1:25	117:3	recovery 60:12
question 9:25	raid 130:12	Reardon 20:11	received 42:24	redacted 62:11
10:7 57:23	raising 217:14	20:13,21 21:9	44:7 47:22	76:13,15 79:12
65:3 72:10	218:2,9 222:24	52:12 53:11,14	72:18 116:8	115:17 228:19
82:3 85:14,16	223:2 224:4	56:5,6,7	189:17 237:20	redo 104:22
89:20 93:19	rank 51:7 56:17	reason 10:10	receives 103:11	reduce 185:16
102:25 114:24	56:19,21	19:10 49:8	receiving 43:24	186:17
164:23 182:9	rate 49:2 191:7	77:21 84:18	Recess 61:16	reduced 186:4
182:14,15,16	RAUPDATE0	91:20 127:16	123:11 174:4	186:21 244:15
185:23 202:25	5:3 233:4,12	160:18 173:13	226:21	reducing 185:25
207:23,24	RAUPDATE0	176:9 183:12	recipes 24:10	refer 64:3 65:5
211:16 220:7	5:5 234:14	198:10 209:9	Recipients 6:7	96:16 169:5
220:25 239:12	235:4	reasonable	recognition	186:2
240:12 241:3,7	raw 16:18 26:2	125:5 126:2	183:23	reference 39:21
questions 9:12	112:12,13,16	224:10	recognize 28:8	42:6 46:13
9:22,24 10:6	112:19,25	reasoning 23:5	37:11 38:10,11	62:18 75:16
166:24 181:17	222:3	121:22	95:11,12	78:5 105:16
212:10 230:1	rcampbell@je	reasons 50:1	111:25 112:1,2	110:14,15
236:9	2:19	138:14	112:3 141:13	130:1 144:20
quick 95:2	reach 50:17	rebate 228:4	156:1,7 162:22	155:5 169:4,20
169:15 230:16	reached 118:2	229:6,11,21	164:12 166:20	177:9,15
quickly 140:4	166:2	rebates 227:22	167:9 173:1,8	178:22 179:3
157:5 169:18	reaction 199:10	227:25 230:1,9	210:12,15	179:14 180:15
quite 67:2	read 130:19	recall 12:11	229:10,14	181:20 185:24
quotation 238:8	131:6,8 148:21	16:4 35:13	recollection	189:20 211:10
239:21 240:11	148:24 182:12	47:13 51:15	29:2 66:18	215:14 218:15
241:10	184:1 185:20	54:22 57:17	110:21,23	219:9,17
quotations	211:20 224:3	65:19 66:1	111:2,5 117:10	240:25 241:7
175:7 240:24	236:10 243:4	67:21 68:15,16	126:1 189:20	241:11
quote 113:18	reading 39:9	71:1 75:15	218:22 235:7	referenced
126:19	134:12 137:20	83:15 86:10,13	recommending	68:11 168:4
quoted 138:6	148:23 239:9	88:11 89:2	40:9	references 146:8
quotes 127:2,9	240:2	90:16 121:2,18	record 7:2 9:19	185:12
127:13,17	reads 108:17	147:16,17	10:24 61:14,18	referred 139:21
131:16	Real 169:15	172:2 174:12	62:11 123:10	referring 11:19
	realignment	189:11,16	123:13 174:2,6	25:14 37:5
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				28
	l	l	l	l
63:17 64:3	202:2 203:8	149:22,23	73:6 74:4,10	18:14,18,24
65:7,9 77:4	relative 47:10	150:7 199:4	135:3 207:12	19:5,7,9 25:7
95:14,17	245:4	236:12 239:25	207:16,19,20	27:12 35:16
133:13 139:11	relatively 25:23	REPORTER'S	208:2,21,25	36:3 40:23
139:23 151:1,6	183:17	3:12 244:1	209:2,9,19,21	143:22,25
176:4 180:22	relevant 146:17	reporting 26:6	210:8	195:3 196:8,12
224:14	reliability 43:16	97:1 245:7	requirements	196:14,15
reflect 88:21	57:14 58:9	represent 7:2	28:10,12 50:12	197:8,15,25
158:18 212:21	Rembrandt	8:13 76:15	requiring 72:14	198:5
213:13	64:25 65:17	79:9 108:22,23	81:20,24 82:10	responsive
reflected 162:8	69:3,10,13	156:10,21	84:19 88:16,22	239:5
173:1 221:15	134:7,17,21,23	161:8,14	90:7 160:2	rest 49:4 136:24
227:22 230:6	135:12 227:8	172:22	206:7	156:20
reflects 162:19	Rembrandt's	representation	requisition	restaurant
refresh 218:22	227:4,15	94:10 159:8	160:2	13:16
235:7	remember	representative	rescinded 9:8	restructure
regarding 36:24	53:20 57:6	180:3 199:16	research 52:1	19:11
84:10 194:18	59:25 71:6	202:19	59:20	result 35:19
198:1	83:20 132:13	represented	researching	78:22 92:13
regular 38:3	133:15 196:23	82:5,7 85:19	59:21	125:14 186:9
regulations	remote 189:20	representing	reside 8:17	results 106:6
217:11	repeat 85:15	7:19,22 159:19	resilient 137:15	196:2
regulatory	replace 20:13	request 11:17	resolved 221:1	resumés 34:14
217:7,8,10	21:13 53:1,5	12:7 52:4	respect 48:9	retail 138:4,21
rejected 122:6	56:4 186:14	71:24 107:15	70:16 74:1	140:3,3,9
rejection 36:8	replaced 56:5	114:12 121:16	75:17 81:10,16	141:21
relate 157:15	replacement	142:10 158:7	82:3 84:23	retention 153:23
related 27:6	181:16,24	requested 52:6	194:23 195:25	retire 75:8,12
28:17 56:17	replacing	67:9 68:19	227:18 238:24	retired 21:24
60:22 63:11	185:17,25	114:13 127:13	respond 83:7	75:7,11
122:25 171:9	186:5	147:18 170:4	142:10	retiring 21:13
relates 1:7 76:16	report 17:4,9	requests 51:24	response 105:24	review 120:23
224:3	21:8 26:7	52:11,16,20	responses	131:8 150:16
relating 148:15	197:4 230:13	105:21,22	107:11	173:11 182:13
180:6 193:22	reported 16:10	require 70:3	responsibilities	185:22 224:5
210:1,2 214:13	19:16,19 20:3	74:3 88:8 89:3	14:19 18:21	231:4
215:24 218:8	20:7 122:5	91:23 145:2	21:21	reviewed 11:14
223:7 225:20	188:19 227:18	206:23 209:17	responsibility	11:16 12:6,12
230:1	reporter 7:15	required 70:9	20:8,14 25:12	23:1 104:14
relationship	8:2 9:13,18	89:10 155:12	55:23 190:18	reviewing
26:6 181:1	39:10 134:13	208:11	responsible 16:7	237:22
184:5 201:21	137:21 148:24	requirement	16:11,25 18:12	reviews 12:14
		_	•	
<u> </u>	•	•	•	•

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				29
DED 107 17	60 12 07 16 10	170 01 171 01	20 10 10 22	224 24 225 17
RFP 107:15	69:13 87:16,19	170:21 171:21	39:10,19,23	224:24 225:17
109:14 225:20	88:4,8,17	172:10 232:4	41:18,19,24	225:25 227:5
Richard 2:15	90:17,22 117:7	satisfied 45:4	47:11 52:9	228:24 229:8
7:21	232:12 234:5	save 122:17	53:12 62:20	232:3 233:13
right 21:10	234:13,16	saving 122:16	64:13,19,20	233:23 234:3
74:11 91:23	235:9	saw 28:18 38:11	67:17,19,20	234:20 237:9
95:18 106:22	Rossum 187:19	38:14,15 61:2	74:24 75:21	238:2,10
107:20 108:1	routine 56:16	61:4 66:4,11	76:12,18,20,24	241:23
112:22 124:17	57:10	67:22 152:23	77:6,10,14,19	seeing 51:15
137:11 139:16	row 108:12	155:13 179:6	78:8 79:22	68:15 189:20
141:9 151:3,13	RSA 195:2	saying 72:12	106:14,16,23	seek 36:7
152:1 153:11	ruin 48:15	120:5 152:20	106:24 108:5,6	seeking 60:12
167:2 169:1	rule 11:1 208:15	153:9 160:21	110:10 112:8	seen 10:21 28:1
180:25 202:7	245:8	176:21,22,23	113:19 116:1	29:25 32:2
205:19 207:25	rules 9:10	177:5,5 179:10	123:20 124:14	34:13 38:4,7
212:24,25	run 178:12	says 133:22	124:15 125:22	38:23 40:4,14
218:16 221:12	run-up 133:19	188:19	125:25 126:11	75:14 157:22
221:25 230:20	running 178:21	scenarios	127:4,8,20	172:1 189:10
rights 204:13	Russia 122:13	181:15	129:25 131:2	237:11
risk 122:20,23		scenes 94:25	133:1 134:12	sees 197:4
130:8 139:9	<u>S</u>	120:14	135:22 136:13	segmentation
RMR 1:24	s 5:6 236:21	scrambled	137:8,21	54:22 55:6
Road 1:19 7:10	sack 169:6,9	44:19 48:12	143:10 144:18	selecting 220:21
Roberts 142:25	225:23 226:3,8	238:21	144:22 146:10	self-explanato
143:7,22	226:12	seal 245:10	147:24 148:7	60:23
Rokke 41:16	sacks 169:2,14	seasonality	149:6 150:19	sell 48:17 49:9
46:12 47:9	safe 41:6,7	241:16	154:21 155:3,4	86:1 100:21
role 14:18 18:2	safety 24:5,16	second 4:4	156:25 157:3,6	109:1 128:21
22:4,6 24:10	36:14,14	41:20 45:20	157:13 161:19	192:9 208:18
24:11 52:23	190:14 196:21	62:3,8 75:16	161:20 162:3,5	selling 68:3 87:1
53:4,4,19 56:1	196:22 197:2	77:7 106:9,14	163:24 166:20	87:7,9 117:8
75:4,5,6	198:14 201:6	107:22 127:1,5	167:1 168:1	208:5
roles 21:18	sake 150:20	137:13,19	169:8,18	send 36:8 152:9
189:24	sales 120:2,16	154:9 178:20	170:11 172:7	sending 35:20
rollup 109:14	172:24 173:3	179:19 210:22	174:17 175:20	35:25
roof 133:8	173:12	216:17 217:13	182:12 183:21	senior 2:24
Ros 187:15,18	Salt 124:13,22	222:7,19 224:2	185:19 186:18	15:20 175:24
187:20,20	171:18,19	Secondly 45:21	188:18 196:2,3	sense 28:16
190:1 217:3,5	sampled 45:3	section 154:24	211:8 212:13	184:18
Rosalyn 187:21	SAP 67:4 95:13	securing 35:5	214:6,24	sensitive 125:16
Rose 64:24	156:8 158:1,6	see 10:23 11:2,6	216:20 218:20	sent 51:25
65:17 69:3,11	158:8,21 159:4	38:17 39:3,8	219:11 223:19	sentence 42:1
,		,		
	•			•

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				30
45.40.420.20	l .	, , ,,,,	la	100 15 105 5
46:10 129:20	setting 15:6	shows 35:22	Society 203:12	133:15 195:3
130:17 132:7	241:18	95:15	204:1,14	196:9,12,14,15
132:22 137:13	seven 51:6	Shurney 216:19	software 172:9	South 2:7
137:19 148:21	195:12	216:23 217:13	172:12 227:19	southeast 204:8
168:25 178:20	shakes 9:19	218:8	sold 14:8 15:2	soybean 146:9
179:19 186:16	share 36:20	shut 150:7	33:25 211:3	146:13,25
223:10 229:3	137:3 162:15	sic 19:3 187:19	solely 27:6	147:6
sentiment	Sheet 243:8	side 138:3 155:1	solicited 235:12	space 145:13
148:25	shelf 34:4 87:25	171:24 220:1	soliciting 125:20	210:8
separate 55:5	144:21,24	sign 66:18	126:3 235:14	Sparboe 64:25
63:3,9 74:7	145:3,7,17,18	236:11	solicits 41:1	67:23,24 68:5
93:5 137:9	shell 63:24	signature 94:10	solid 108:4	68:13
141:24 151:7	137:9,23 138:2	167:1,4,9,10	solids 106:12	speak 12:3
200:18,20	138:9 139:15	167:17 236:10	107:7,23 109:1	speaking 42:1
separated	139:22 141:15	243:12	Solon 1:20 30:2	202:16,17
184:20	142:5,13	signed 167:5	somebody 20:22	spec 30:22,24
separately	shellfish 17:2	243:8	82:18 171:24	74:5,7 154:8,9
138:12 174:24	shipments 229:5	significance	176:24 181:23	154:11,12,19
197:7	ships 230:15	170:13	185:3 195:14	special 21:20
separation	short 20:19	signing 103:3	208:5 224:8	192:20 220:22
178:10	61:12 123:6	similar 125:4,5	someplace 71:23	specialist 19:22
September 77:8	173:25 226:17	154:19 177:18	129:10 204:8	52:24 53:4,19
136:12 151:4	230:15	similarities	somewhat 171:1	specific 26:7
214:5	shortcoming	138:10	224:17	29:3 39:12
series 9:12	48:1	single 57:24	soon 149:6	40:18 42:25
served 40:7	shorter 128:2,5	70:14 145:22	150:4	73:7 75:2
service 33:5,6	128:12 145:18	sir 20:5 38:15	sorry 7:7 37:13	102:23 111:1
43:18,19	shortly 121:9	sitting 168:20	65:12,22 76:2	126:12 127:19
services 7:3	show 37:8 41:14	situation 57:21	100:15 105:7	158:15 159:10
22:16,18 23:16	44:4 95:4	83:19 143:15	124:17 135:16	159:12,15,16
23:25 24:1	111:22 115:14	182:18,19	137:18 146:2	159:19 204:12
25:14,19,24	158:22 160:4	209:24	173:6 180:24	208:22 222:21
26:7,15 144:3	showed 66:7	six 129:1,6,11	187:20 193:17	specifically
180:3	showing 10:19	129:16,18	198:17 199:4	28:14 87:17
set 5:7 65:23	37:1 62:7	150:19,21,23	230:20 234:13	88:2 106:11
71:25 103:12	74:12 76:10	152:11	sound 224:10	163:22 189:11
103:14,16,18	79:8 161:7	size 56:24 57:7	source 57:24,25	223:2
153:13 175:13	166:16 172:21	slightly 10:1	99:7 136:1	specification
215:9 235:24	225:12 228:12	50:22	186:1 197:8,15	31:23,25 32:3
236:23 237:8	233:9 234:12	small 27:9 28:4	197:25 198:6	32:16,17 33:2
240:23 241:9	shown 159:14	55:10	sourcing 19:22	33:4 34:10
245:10	181:19 237:5	smaller 177:24	52:24 53:3,18	35:14 42:11
	101.12 207.0		52.2.5,10	
	I	I	I	I

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

(r				31
44.12		010 0 000 01	215 4 5 241 15	1 144 46
44:12	standards 24:4	212:8 222:21	215:4,5 241:17	submitted 11:16
specifications	35:9 43:3,8	240:20	stop 9:24 239:22	12:7
32:13 41:4	50:11,13,23	stationed 180:4	storable 138:17	subscribe 99:6
78:7,11	191:20 192:24	status 36:16	139:19,20	Subsequent
specified 244:22	197:6,19	46:6 51:11	140:6	20:10 71:5
specify 170:1	205:17 210:13	stay 13:22 100:8	storage 52:19	subsidiaries
spectrum 48:16	standing 41:5	100:9 185:5	145:13 158:8	63:23
58:9,10	standpoint 53:6	stayed 15:11	store 138:23	substantial
Speed 4:16 5:24	55:12 56:22	stays 235:19	Stouffer's 62:25	25:23
161:3	starches 14:21	stenographic	strategic 18:13	substitute 182:3
spend 11:24	start 53:14,18	237:3	27:11 28:6	186:5
66:17 67:1,15	121:11 167:19	stenotypy	51:7 53:12	substitutes
169:16,18,22	179:1,1,20	244:15	56:9,15 57:10	183:24
169:24 170:2,5	190:5 199:7	step 51:6 97:16	58:1,2 144:14	successfully
178:5	216:18	107:8 109:15	strategically	24:20
spending 239:9	started 13:10,19	124:10 207:5	22:21 24:6	suffer 190:19
spent 15:5 170:6	15:20 16:12,16	207:11 214:4	25:20	suffering 191:16
spits 58:4	16:17 19:14,17	224:12	strategies 49:12	191:18
spoke 174:8	19:18 20:2	stepping 122:13	strategy 23:2	sugar 75:17
spoken 188:11	21:25 46:21	steps 51:20	37:24 38:17	79:19 215:13
188:14	52:11 70:18	Steve 4:11 17:24	45:15 50:5	sugared 87:20
spot 136:9	73:18 110:25	111:17 112:7	51:7 118:22,23	88:3,9 234:9
spray 138:17	195:13 205:25	112:10,25	118:24 119:7	234:18
154:7	207:4,13 208:1	113:7 135:21	119:10,16	suggest 36:2
spreadsheet	208:1,12 213:2	167:13,25	120:23 121:14	39:11 71:14,15
35:23 51:9	216:13 219:1	168:3 175:19	124:5 125:8	79:24 113:22
56:20 66:24	starting 71:23	225:16 228:23	143:3 214:22	116:15 165:18
106:1	72:13 109:19	Steven 1:14 3:9	Street 2:5,7,16	170:5 224:3
spun 29:17	192:4 199:11	5:14 7:9 8:4,8	7:19	234:8
square 154:25	207:18	8:17 167:15	strength 98:2	suggests 116:18
SS 244:4	state 7:16 8:15	244:10	192:2	185:14
St 171:16,17	244:3,8 245:19	sticker 228:15	strong 137:15	suit 58:19 61:7
stability 36:16	statement 43:5	233:7,8	175:24	Suite 2:7
56:22 57:3	134:5 142:13	stickered 228:17	structure 53:3	sulfurous 45:24
stakeholders	178:25 184:2	Stinson 2:5 7:19	stuck 128:13,13	46:3
137:3 144:1	193:22 194:10	stock 9:8 97:5	stuff 147:6	super 169:2,5,9
standard 42:19	196:6 217:18	138:6 140:2,8	subject 40:18	169:14 225:23
42:23,24 50:20	224:18	140:14,23	124:17,19	226:3,8,12
195:21 223:12	statements	141:2,2,6,22	135:24 136:11	superior 45:6
223:18	193:24 194:2	141:24 151:20	140:23,24	supplied 67:11
standardized	states 1:1 7:13	152:18 155:10	143:8 190:21	71:22 72:15
222:23 223:1,7	133:6 168:6	175:5 181:8,9	214:5 240:20	79:13,25 81:21
<u> </u>				

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				32
		I	l., , ,,,,,,,	
81:24 82:21	208:17 209:11	194:24	taken 1:18 8:24	52:2 60:7
83:11 84:20	211:17 222:4	swear 8:2	61:16 123:11	72:21 81:9
115:18 135:3	226:12 234:17	sweets 29:21,23	174:4 226:21	103:15 114:3
174:20,24	235:15	switch 131:17	244:21	119:9 122:7
193:13 211:18	supplying 49:15	131:17 179:11	takes 48:7	136:20 152:1,7
211:22	51:16 71:8	switching 79:18	talk 33:7 60:24	152:16 153:10
supplier 37:24	87:12	Switzerland	61:8 80:2,11	169:5 177:7
39:2 44:15	support 39:6	194:15,18	88:13 104:17	219:7 220:13
46:6,16,23	45:16 54:6,8	swoop 221:4,8	108:10 163:9	224:19 229:15
95:21 120:4	138:20 199:25	221:10	talked 36:3 58:8	229:22
145:17 218:19	219:2 231:10	sworn 8:5	59:17 61:10	tells 170:15
219:21 232:14	231:18	244:11	81:1 139:14	ten 192:21,25
240:23 241:9	supporting	sync 99:24	165:3 175:1	195:5,9
suppliers 24:16	52:25 222:23	system 95:13	205:21 227:17	tenure 38:6
36:21 39:6	supposed	156:6,8,16	235:16	64:24 78:3
43:13 144:16	201:11	158:6 160:1	talking 25:21	188:3 212:23
206:7,18,23	sure 24:15 46:9	170:25 171:2	51:5 106:20	term 25:13 34:3
226:7	47:1 55:8 65:4	178:13 223:15	122:8 136:14	39:25 40:6
supplies 25:25	72:11,23 75:9	systems 1:25	139:25 147:16	96:12,15,22
204:25	85:17 91:7	24:3	170:8 223:24	104:1 112:14
supply 22:23	97:15 102:15		224:1	132:8 157:22
39:16 49:11	106:18 123:8	<u> </u>	tangled 226:15	163:23 164:7
50:5 52:3	129:9 130:22	T-A-G 32:16	tanker 168:10	169:25 172:6
57:24 64:22	131:3,4 136:10	T2 38:21	168:14	235:20
66:2 67:25	146:24 148:4	T3 38:21	tankers 234:18	terminology
68:6 69:16	148:14 158:3	table 130:13	taste 44:13	219:16
70:10 71:2	167:11 168:18	131:18 132:1	47:24 48:6	terms 17:20
72:8 73:20	172:5 185:21	TAG 32:15 36:9	team 36:15,17	46:22 222:3
81:14 85:8	191:3,7 194:12	take 9:18 15:16	42:16 51:22	terrific 100:24
86:23 88:17	196:25 197:3	16:12 51:20	52:7 136:24	Terry 4:17
98:12,22 100:2	238:19,22	61:12 62:10,13	137:1 222:1	166:10
101:8,13,14	239:10,11	95:2,8 105:3	teams 18:13,14	test 160:19
120:3,17,19	240:5 241:5	123:6 132:2,8	82:11	193:11
138:2,5,5,11	surplus 183:13	142:18 149:13	technical 30:25	tested 48:6
139:10,11,12	surprisingly	155:20 168:11	31:2,23,25	testify 11:9
139:18,21,23	137:15	173:25 175:16	32:13,15,21	244:11
140:8 183:3,6	sustainability	182:6 183:16	34:11,12 42:15	testifying 8:20
192:21 193:14	190:24 192:14	189:2 205:16	technically	testimony 10:11
200:7,15,21	192:19 194:20	210:18 213:21	48:14	70:19 207:6
201:12,15,18	197:11 198:12	213:22 214:3	Telegraph 13:8	235:23 237:2
201:22 202:3	sustainable	226:17 230:16	Telephone 13:8	238:12,23
203:9 204:15	192:5 193:20	241:22	tell 30:19 31:6	243:5,6 244:13

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

T _F				<u> </u>
244.16			220 0 244 24	
244:18	151:1 152:19	threshold	239:9 244:21	212:1 214:1
tests 46:17	158:13 159:3	229:12	timeline 52:6	224:24,25
texture 44:13	159:18 162:17	tied 59:22 60:9	times 9:1 56:14	topics 11:3,10
47:24 48:6	167:16 169:25	ties 159:23	128:24 145:15	225:3
Thank 8:1 64:10	170:8 172:6	time 7:2 9:2,23	184:1	total 14:1 231:8
65:12 173:17	173:20 175:11	21:15 25:5	timing 206:25	231:8
thanks 77:1	175:23 176:20	34:24 36:18	title 16:24 17:7	touch 26:24
212:7	177:4,5 184:17	37:2,2 43:21	17:12 21:19	touched 43:12
theory 101:24	187:5 188:7,10	43:23 44:5	187:24 188:2	tough 48:7
they'd 110:4	189:9 190:4	48:5 51:23	today 8:20 9:13	150:1
153:4	194:6,11	52:9,12 53:20	9:23 10:11	tout 220:24
thing 36:9 50:4	197:23 198:7,7	57:15 61:15,18	11:13 12:4,19	touting 220:20
52:10 94:15	204:6 205:21	66:3 70:8,15	37:2 38:9,24	track 40:15
105:9 108:1	206:2,5,18	71:7 73:12	60:1 64:2	98:25
180:21 181:25	208:12 209:8	77:2 83:23	80:12 91:1	tracked 43:18
219:15	209:25 211:2	86:7 89:2,10	92:20 93:2	43:20
things 25:2 47:2	211:24 216:12	93:15 103:4,6	95:25 100:14	tracks 120:2
50:16 57:22	216:16 217:19	110:19 117:2,9	104:20 133:11	trade 187:1
66:6 122:18	222:11 224:11	123:10,13	134:2,18 135:6	190:6
129:13 169:8	239:8	124:22 126:16	216:8 229:25	training 19:13
170:2 176:1	thinking 100:17	127:14,17,24	239:24 240:14	34:12
think 20:23	131:12 142:1	127:25 129:7	241:2	transaction
21:23 22:9	240:1	132:19 133:4	today's 42:22	88:25 156:22
26:22 28:10	third 46:10	133:17 134:20	63:16	158:4,12,14
34:1,1 40:17	135:16,17	138:13 141:18	told 59:20,25,25	159:4,15,17,23
43:14 46:22	153:25 154:23	142:15 143:19	60:3,21 91:22	174:9,18,20
48:3 52:10,15	166:23	147:4 151:12	tolerance	transactional
52:17 54:6,21	third-party 99:7	153:3,8 155:13	129:23 130:1,3	172:4
55:12 57:11	136:1	164:10 168:12	Toll 33:12 69:23	transactions
59:24 60:20,21	thought 100:18	169:2 173:10	71:20,22 72:8	158:2 159:10
61:1 67:5	131:16 132:13	174:3,6 175:14	72:15 85:5	159:11,12,15
69:14 75:1	193:15 219:1	179:25 182:24	87:3 185:16	159:19 163:16
83:23 93:19	three 18:22,25	192:10 194:25	186:17,20	transcribed
97:16 100:19	30:5 48:25	206:12,19	Tom 4:5 74:16	244:16
100:24 104:25	86:25 108:10	208:7,23 209:4	74:22 76:22	transcribing
105:6 117:9	112:23,24	209:16,21	77:7	9:14
121:6 123:4	152:25,25,25	211:22 212:20	tomato 14:4	TRANSCRIPT
132:15,23	153:7 163:2,5	214:13,13	top 41:16,25	3:1
135:25 141:17	163:11 184:1	218:23 219:4	45:20 47:9	transcription
141:18 142:2,3	232:15,17	226:19,23	64:16 76:12	243:6 244:17
146:22 147:25	three-month	229:14 230:12	143:4 169:19	transfer 112:21
148:4 150:5	152:24	235:8 236:14	178:20 185:14	168:8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	1

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				34
4	100-24 105-6 0	161-01-166-02	01.0 10 10 21	07.11.00.22
transferred	180:24 185:6,8	161:21 166:23	91:8,12,18,21	87:11 89:23
20:25	185:11 210:18	turned 111:6	91:23 92:13,14	91:16 97:20
transferring	212:7 213:18	158:9	134:5,15,21,24	100:10 101:1
55:16	227:1,2,7,10	two 6:5 11:25	135:1,3,8	101:16,18
transition 13:21	227:20	13:22 52:10,21	198:25 199:10	102:24 106:4
169:3	Trask's 136:12	56:2 75:13	199:14,18,21	112:5,5 131:10
transitioned	travel 25:24	108:9 133:14	200:1 205:10	132:11 133:9
13:20 18:23	treat 204:15	157:2,2 160:10	205:11,19	133:11 134:14
Trask 5:12,13	treated 190:17	163:2,7 188:12	206:3,8,19,23	147:14 152:19
5:13,16,18,19	192:12,17	188:15 196:18	207:14,20	156:15 158:10
5:20,22,24 6:3	193:3,8,12	196:20 212:24	208:2,4,6,11	162:7 163:19
6:5,6,9,10,12	199:20	two-way 159:23	208:17,22	164:23 169:20
6:14,15 19:21	treating 192:23	type 23:10 37:24	209:5,14,17,20	176:8 177:17
20:10,24 21:2	treatment 191:8	38:2 56:23	209:22 211:7	180:12 182:8
21:7 37:4,10	197:10	61:7 95:16	211:11,19,23	184:9,10,12,15
37:18 41:15,17	trend 72:20	156:5 181:21	212:10,17,19	184:24 188:5
45:19 53:1,3,5	trending 102:7	typed 167:24	212:22 213:3,9	194:21 195:5
53:6,9,21,22	trick 105:8	types 14:2 15:23	216:8 219:15	195:24 204:4
76:1 95:5,8,10	148:8	25:18 81:10,11	219:19,23	204:11 208:20
96:2 105:4,12	truck 49:1,3,5	99:23 124:4	221:3,7 227:3	211:20 214:9
106:5 121:15	trucking 230:14	196:18	227:8,14	216:4 218:2
123:2,17,18,25	trucks 44:4	typically 78:17	234:10	219:13 220:5
124:6 130:15	48:25	129:10 169:8	ultimately 16:10	221:13 224:14
130:24,25	true 24:25 32:18		94:9 143:23	229:1,17 231:1
131:10,12	48:9 90:21	U VI C 65 7	umbrella 63:20	232:18 234:4
132:3,6,11	110:6 151:14	U.S 65:7	unable 44:5	235:18,22
133:9 134:14	184:23 215:11	UB 155:1	unaffected	237:19 239:11
135:18 136:16	243:5 244:17	UEP 70:4,11,20	98:17,22	understanding
136:23 142:19	truth 244:11,12	71:3,9,22 72:4	unapproved	28:1 35:6
142:25 143:5	244:12	72:8,16 74:1,3	34:22	46:15 58:23
146:12 149:14	try 30:16 45:22	75:19 77:13,18	undergraduate	59:12 62:14
150:10 153:10	131:17 142:19	78:12,16,22	12:23 13:2	65:18 66:9
155:21 158:12	169:13 207:24	79:19,21,25	underneath	67:24 72:6
159:14 161:9,9	224:18	80:7 82:4,7,10	63:19 132:7	78:21 82:9
161:22 162:1	trying 83:20	82:22 83:11	understand	84:13,24 85:18
162:25 163:10	105:8 134:11	84:14,16,20,25	8:19 9:15,20	85:25 86:3
163:20,24	148:8 184:7,9	85:7,8,20,21	9:22,23 10:2,6	90:25 91:9,17
164:14 165:1,4	214:17 224:6	85:22,24 86:1	11:8 25:15,17	92:12 94:13
169:15 172:7	Tulare 171:15	86:4,11,15,18	26:10 30:16	96:14 97:11
175:17,19	turkey 17:2	86:23 88:9	37:7 64:5	101:20 103:14
178:15,17	turn 38:25	89:3,10 90:1,7	78:10 81:14	103:18 129:7
179:12 180:24	41:20 115:21	90:18,22 91:1	82:4 85:7,14	130:2 134:23
			,	
<u> </u>				

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

				33
	1	l	l	l
136:15 137:23	unpasteurized	7:25 17:17,18	value-added	39:16 40:12,13
142:11 147:12	155:7	24:4 26:12,17	31:13	49:14 50:25
157:18,21,23	unredacted	26:20 63:3,5,8	values 137:10	51:8 81:13
160:7 162:18	76:14 79:13	63:13 65:7,11	137:10,13	105:22 107:12
168:18 172:3	115:18 228:20	236:21	variable 129:23	116:25 119:3
176:3 177:8	unrelated 204:7	USD 108:18	varied 31:24	133:14 158:15
180:19 189:14	unsanitary	USDA 41:5	130:9	191:1 193:10
192:17 195:9	191:14	use 25:13 28:15	varies 130:10	193:14,15
198:9 199:13	unusual 129:17	29:13 38:24	various 6:7 23:2	194:1 195:6,7
199:22 200:14	unwavering	40:10 84:7	30:17 36:2	195:25 197:16
200:16 201:20	42:22	88:3 99:18	39:15 81:13	204:15 207:1
202:1,5,11	upcharge 211:6	106:2 128:20	90:11 94:16	219:23
203:8 206:4	212:8	147:22 149:3	95:15 107:12	verified 237:21
207:3 208:2	update 124:7	149:19 152:12	108:7 125:6	verifying 237:14
209:4 210:6	143:14 146:22	160:11 164:7	vary 45:22	Vermont 83:21
211:21 212:15	214:5,10,12	172:10 176:18	veal 17:1 19:25	versus 23:6
215:1,19 216:7	updated 214:18	177:1,5 191:18	vegetables 14:5	28:15 31:4
218:7 219:3	updates 136:23	212:17 215:17	14:21 16:1,1	40:13 44:15
222:25 237:19	214:16	215:21,24	17:3 20:1	119:23 128:5
238:13	Urner 96:23,25	216:11 218:17	vendor 22:23,25	129:23 142:5
understands	97:1,3,8,12	219:9 223:7	23:5,6 27:17	183:18 227:14
50:11	98:3,8,17 99:4	224:7	35:1,17,23,24	235:17
Understood	99:20 103:25	uses 29:23 31:10	36:4,14,17	Vevey 194:13,15
20:16 109:16	118:23 119:20	94:24 95:25	39:22 40:1,2,3	viable 69:15
109:20 164:11	119:23 120:2	216:8	40:5,7,16 41:3	vice 15:21
219:8	120:13 122:22	usually 145:13	46:14,24,25	187:16,25
unexplained	126:7,8,18	utilities 120:10	47:4,6 48:17	videographer
164:22	131:14,16	utilize 24:9	48:21,22 49:9	2:22 7:1,4,7
unfair 105:7	132:17,20	34:20 105:21	51:11 56:17,20	8:1 61:14,17
223:16	136:8,19,22	145:4	56:21 57:8,9	123:9,12 174:2
Unilever 83:24	137:2 138:25	utilized 23:5	78:19 93:7	174:5 226:19
83:25	151:19 175:4,5	24:16 47:23	105:23 109:18	226:22 236:13
unique 23:11	175:6 176:6,9	51:22	131:13,21	Videotaped 1:14
27:11 28:2,7	176:17,19	utmost 198:14	133:18 145:8	Vince 115:19
125:11 177:21	181:10,11		156:17 157:6,9	virtual 30:14
unit 160:20	215:4,8 238:8	V	175:13 191:7	visibility 55:11
United 1:1 7:12	238:17 239:1	valid 151:2	209:14 227:24	vital 184:6
58:20	239:21 240:11	163:23,25	234:1 235:24	volatile 128:8
unloaded 44:6	240:24 241:10	164:7,9	236:4 238:15	volatility 111:8
unnecessarily	241:15	valuable 145:16	238:25	121:23,24
191:16	USA 1:18 2:12	value 179:1,20	vendors 34:18	122:23 127:21
unpast 155:1,6	2:24 5:6 7:22	180:17 181:8	34:21,22 39:13	127:22 128:1
			,== ;==	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

128:10,15	wanting 176:9	185:15 186:16	60:10	whey 185:17
139:3,3	219:9	213:5,6 228:2	week 137:14	whichever
volume 24:23	wants 218:17	229:19 231:11	152:8	133:18
28:16 34:24	Warner 4:11	231:21,22	weekly 129:14	Whitcombe
66:7,14 67:17	17:24 18:3,14	232:1,9,10,19	135:24 152:6	17:5,9 18:6,12
67:19,20,21	111:17 112:7	232:20,21	weeks 138:18	60:6,15,18
79:20 229:12	112:10,25	Wayne 75:19,23	152:11 212:24	113:6
volumes 95:15	113:7,11	124:13,22	weight 48:24	white 106:12
voluntarily	117:21 120:22	234:10	weighted 58:6	107:7,23 108:4
114:17	122:4 135:21	ways 91:24	welfare 72:23	109:1 181:2
VPC 227:3,8,14	167:8 175:19	126:5	73:13 77:2	183:9,12,17
	186:10 225:16	we'll 76:15 95:2	82:19 84:5,11	184:20 185:4
W	228:23 229:2	152:13 236:10	189:8,18,25	229:5
W-A-R-N-E	Warner's	we're 7:1 22:19	190:9,22 191:5	whites 100:6,7
167:7	167:11	24:19 25:21,21	192:1 193:23	102:9,21 179:1
W-H-I-T-C-O	warns 222:9	60:12 61:17	194:10,18	179:14,15,19
17:6	wasn't 80:3	96:1 123:9,12	195:10 196:5,8	180:9,16,17
w/Attachment	168:16 192:3	147:5 154:25	196:11 197:1	181:18 182:11
4:12,21,23	196:15 206:7	174:5 176:23	197:19 198:2	182:21 183:19
5:15 6:7,12,15	208:9 229:13	181:7,9 187:3	198:22,25	183:23 184:14
111:18 225:7	watch 148:9	187:4 188:20	203:11,13	185:2
228:7	198:15	212:9 224:1,7	204:2 205:16	wholes 181:18
w/Attachments	water 50:16	226:20,22	210:13 215:18	182:20
4:18 166:11	way 10:1 26:8	236:14	215:21 218:17	Whoops 213:19
waive 236:10	28:13 31:10	we've 10:20	219:10,14,19	wife 59:25
want 9:24 20:22	34:16 42:20	43:14 45:3	220:2,8,11	William 5:13
30:16 38:25	66:11 68:8	58:8 60:10	222:10 223:8	19:21 37:4
41:14 62:14	69:18 71:16	61:13 73:17	224:7,9	window 43:23
79:15 86:18	77:25 78:2	74:13 77:16	went 13:6,15	wise 214:17
90:22 119:25	80:4,5 81:5	79:8 111:23	15:2,13 29:5	withdraw
123:21 126:21	89:6,7 90:14	115:15 125:16	67:1 68:17	239:13
128:2,3,5,18	90:15 91:25	150:6 166:16	139:5 165:17	witness 8:3 32:7
139:4 141:1	92:1,6 101:11	172:21 175:1	166:6 170:24	32:9 59:1
164:4 174:13	104:7,8 108:3	175:16 177:5	231:14	64:11 65:23
176:18 185:20	114:9,15,22	191:25 203:16	weren't 191:14	85:12 100:13
190:15 191:1	116:3,22,23	228:12 233:10	whatsoever	101:3,7 105:13
214:3 220:16	117:14 127:25	weakness	187:9 188:24	106:19,22,24
225:19 226:25	128:2 149:12	181:19	wheat 184:6,14	148:9,11
wanted 84:1	157:24 158:20	weather 122:11	WHEREOF	149:17 150:4,9
86:23 97:20	159:2,13	web 82:8	245:9	150:16 155:22
119:9 124:9	160:16 174:14	website 193:25	wherewithal	155:24 173:22
181:16	176:15 180:22	Wednesday	35:7	189:2 198:16

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

I -				37
	1	1	1	I
199:6 201:4	148:5 220:17	54:6,25 56:3	151:8,8	180:23 228:6
202:7,13,20,25	220:19	75:13 128:22	08-md-02002	228:13
213:21,23	wrote 42:21	138:19 192:3	1:6	12-month 127:2
226:15 240:2		192:22,25		127:10
244:10,14,16	X	195:6,9,12,12	1	12,000 168:7,11
244:19 245:9	X 1:4,10	204:24 221:17	1 4:3 10:14,20	168:14,21
Wonder 13:6		Yep 69:12	76:18 113:20	12:05 174:3
word 149:6	Y	154:13	146:1 151:8	12:17 174:6
words 87:11	yeah 14:12,13	yesterday 11:22	167:19 185:11	123 5:12
work 13:6,15	21:3,5,19	11:25 12:16	239:7,12	13 5:3 6:7 15:14
15:13 30:15,17	28:25 29:21	37:3 105:4	1.01 163:6	179:12 180:24
38:24 50:7,9	32:6 42:2	123:16 142:19	164:19	180:25 181:12
100:14 101:6	53:25 54:21	227:1	1.02 163:6,8	233:2,10
120:12 140:10	56:10 57:20	yesterday's	1.12 163:6,8	132 5:16
140:11 197:13	85:15 94:18	17:24	1:22 226:19	132 5.10 14 5:4 6:9 185:6
217:2	95:12 100:13	yield 47:23 98:2	1:34 226:23	234:14 235:2
worked 21:6	100:20,25	yoke 75:17	1:46 236:14	142 5:18
29:24 55:21	106:19 107:25	yolk 79:19 169:1	1:55 242:4	142 3.18 14th 77:8
	118:7 119:4,15	•	10 4:3,20 13:13	
117:20 211:2	124:2,7,8,19	169:13 181:2	25:8 62:14	15 5:6 6:11
233:18	125:3 129:16	183:3,3,5,7,10	128:22 172:16	75:20 104:23
working 181:23	130:10 133:22	183:13,17	172:22 173:22	210:19 212:3
works 55:22	139:25 146:7	185:4 229:5		213:19 236:20
140:14 217:3	151:6,9,9	yolks 87:20 88:4	173:23,24	237:6
world 42:22	152:21 153:6	88:9 100:7	230:17,18	150 2:7
65:8 149:10	163:2 164:2	102:9,21	10:50 123:10	155 5:24
worldwide	166:22 171:11	180:13,14,16	100 162:1,4	15th 149:15
100:7		180:17 181:16	163:5,25	151:4,15
worth 28:20	180:2 183:5	181:17,22,25	105 5:13 64:8	16 6:12 213:18
wouldn't 28:5	196:20 207:24	182:10,19,24	11 4:21 6:4	213:19
73:1 89:15	209:13 213:1,2	184:21,21	139:5 175:17	161 4:16
94:3 162:15	223:5 232:5,18	185:2 215:13	225:6,13	166 4:17
186:22 197:18	year 14:25	225:22 234:10	11-16-04 4:18	17 6:14 62:13,17
200:21	23:20 54:24	234:19	166:11	69:14 213:19
woven 169:9	79:20 109:11		11-2-04 167:25	216:15,16
wrap 127:6	110:1 117:8	Z	11:03 123:13	230:19
write 52:17	121:6 153:3,8	Z-A-P-P-O-N-E	111 4:11	172 4:20
184:3 213:16	170:9 203:18	19:25	115 4:14	175 6:3
writing 32:16	231:2	Zappone 19:25	12 4:23 6:5	178 6:5
33:2,4 233:21	years 9:4,11	zero 25:12 67:20	127:13,18	179 6:6
written 46:20	13:13,22 14:1	zigzag 131:13	155:2,10	18 6:16 227:1
218:25	15:14 35:4		178:15,16	185 6:9
wrong 128:14	44:23 46:23	0	179:13,16	19 136:12
wrong 120.14	52:10 53:17	08 121:11 151:4		17150.14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Feyman, Steven G.

April 25, 2014

1973 13:2	2008 15:16,17	25th 7:7	5 4:9 5:18 79:2,9	164:14,15,25
1993 14:11	16:13 20:6,19	28(D) 245:8	142:19 173:9	165:1,4,17
1995 15:11	21:23 25:4	28th 46:21	50 145:3 154:24	8-27-2007 39:22
1999 63:25	46:21,22 71:5	151:4	50-pound	8:02 1:16 7:2
1st 245:11	71:7,18 110:24	29 8:17	106:13 169:7	800 82:18 83:1
	114:4 117:9,20	29th 186:23	52 156:24	
2	121:2,12		55 64:17	9
2 3:5 4:4 5:12	132:14 149:15	3	55402 2:8	9 4:17 5:24
61:20 62:2,8	151:5,15,16	3 4:5 5:14 74:13	5th 7:5	121:6 155:21
64:7 123:17,21	152:23 153:22	74:15 77:17,22		158:12 159:14
130:23,24	161:17 179:25	105:4,5,12	6	161:9,9 166:9
142:24 143:1,2	188:4 192:1	106:5 143:1	6 4:11 5:20	166:17 169:15
146:2 239:15	205:25 206:6	219:17 238:1	37:10,19	172:7 227:20
240:7,19	207:4,7,13	3-31-04 4:12	111:15,23	9-14-06 4:6
2.2 106:19 107:8	212:21 216:12	111:18	112:3 113:22	74:17
107:24 108:4	219:2 230:8	30 128:17	127:13,17	9-29 164:18
2.2.2 108:12,15	2008/2009 121:1	162:11	240:19	9-30 164:19
2.2.5 109:5	2009 20:20	30(b)(6) 11:1	6-15-08 164:1	9:17 61:15
2.2.7 107:4	2010 53:24	30003 1:19 7:9	6-month 127:2	9:26 61:18
110:8	139:5	31 151:8 167:20	127:10 150:12	95 5:22
2.27 107:2,3	2011 156:23	312-222-9350	60654-3456 2:17	
20 9:4 12:13	2014 1:16 7:8	2:18	612-335-1500	
64:16,21 65:15	245:12	31st 151:16	2:9	
20,000 49:4,7	2017 245:21	353 2:16	62 4:4	
2000 172:25	210 6:10	37 5:19		
2000-2005 4:20	213 6:12		7	
172:17 230:18	216 6:14	4	7 4:14 5:21	
2000-pound	22002238	4 3:7 4:7 5:17	41:15 45:19	
169:9	161:18 162:4	11:3,4 76:2,4	112:3 115:8,15	
2001 173:9	162:24 165:19	76:11 78:5	230:22 245:21	
2002 1:6 7:11	225 4:21	79:20,21 132:3	74 4:5 13:3	
2004 113:22	227 6:15	4.950 108:18	76 4:7	
114:19	228 4:23	40 49:7 128:22	79 4:9	
2005 167:19,20	2300 2:7	40,000 49:1	8	
172:25	233 5:3	231:15	8 3:10 4:16 5:22	
2006 75:20 80:1	235 5:4	41 5:20	95:5,8 96:2	
116:4,13,17	236 5:6	44319 8:18	121:5 149:14	
156:22 173:12	24 28:22 178:4	46 64:9,14	150:10 153:10	
2007 70:18	222:20	4615064924	161:2,12,15,22	
71:24 72:7,13	244 3:12	5:22	162:1,8,19,23	
72:13 206:4	24th 46:21	47 64:14	162:25 163:7	
234:2	25 1:16		163:10,20,24	
			103.10,20,27	